

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2017 with funding from Duke University Libraries

III 3

Www. H 14 68



Smy K2

Assertio Septem Sacramentorum:

OR, AN

ASSERTION

Seven Sacraments,

Martin Luther;

By HENRY the VIII.

ENGLAND, FRANCE, & IRELAND, King.

To which is adjoyn'd his Epistle to the POPE; Mr. JOHN CLARK'S ORATION;

And the Pope's Answer thereunto.

AS ALSO.

The POPE's BULL, by which His Holiness was pleas'd to bestow upon K. Henry. VIII. (for Composing this BOOK,) That most Illustrious, Splended, and most Christian-like Title of Descender of the faith.

Faithfully Translated into English by T. W. Gent.

Publiched by Authority.

London, Printed by Nath. Thompson at the Entrance into the Old-Spring-Garden near Charing-Cross. Anno Domini M DC LXXXVII.



The Oration of Mr. fohn Clark,
Orator for HENRY VIII.
Most Potent King of England
and France, Defender of the
Faith. Exhibiting this Royal
Book in the Consistory to Pope
Leo X.

Most Holy Father,

I Hat great Troubles have been stir'd up by the Pernicious Opinions of Martin Luther; which of late years first sprung up out of the lurking holes of the Hulfitanian Heresie, in the School of Wittenberg in Germany; From thence spreading themselves over most parts of the Christian World: How many unthinking Souls they have deceiv'd, and how many Admirers and Adherents they have met with; because these are all things very well known; and because in this place a Medium is more requisite, than prolixity, I care not for relating. Truly, though many of Luther's Works are most Impiously by his Libels spread abroad in the World: Yet none of them seem more Execrable, more Venomous, and

A 2

The Oration

more Pernicious to Mankind, than That, Entituled, The Babylonian Captivity of the Church; In Refuting which, many Grave and Learned men

have Diligently Labour'd.

My most Serene and Invincible Prince Henry VIII. King of England and France, and most Affectionate Sin of Your Holiness, and of the Sacred Roman Church, has Writ a Book against this Work of Luther's, which He has Dedicated to Your Holine's; and has commanded Me to Offer, and Deliver the same, which I here present. But before you receive it, most Holy Father, may it please you, that I / peak somewhat of the Devotion and Veneration of my King towards Your Holiness, and this most Holy See; as also, of the other Reasons which mov'd Him to publish this Work. Nor is it amiss to take notice in this place of this Horrid and Furious Monster; as also of his Stings and Poisons, whereby he intends to Infect the whole World. And to delineate him before Your Holiness in his own proper Colours; that the more formidable the Enemy is, and the greater the Danger appears, the more Glorious may the Triumph thew when That is overcome, and This remov'd. But Ommortal God! What bitter Language? What so hot and inflam'd force of speaking can be invented, Sufficient to declare the Crimes of that most filthy Villain, who has undertaken to cut in pieces the leam.

of Mr. Fohn Clark.

feamless Coat of Christ, and to disturb the quiet State of the Church of God? When like an excellent esteemer of Things, he attributes to Your Holiness no more Power in the Church of God, than to any of the least Priests amongst the People; but like a third Cato, fallen from Heaven, most un-Jeasonably condemns the Behaviour of all the Ministers in the Church. Calls Rome a Sinner, Wretched, an Adultress; And lastly, Babylon it self. He accuses Your Holiness of Heresie: And makes himself (thrice Apostate) as often as there is question in the Explication of the Christian Faith; equal in Authority to St. Peter Frince of the Apostles. And that he may the better demonstrate himself as great an Enemy to Religion, as to Manners, his most Impure Hands have Burnt the Decrees and most Holy Statutes of the Fathers, in which were contain'd the True Discipline of a Good Life. And as one most Audacious, leaving nothing un-attempted: He at last publishes this Book of the Babylonian Captivity. In which, Good God! What, and how prodigious Poison, what deadly Bain, how much consuming and mor. tal Venom this Poisonous Serpent has spew'd out, Not only against the wicked Manners of our Age, which in some manner might have been born with. Nor only against Your Holiness, but also against your Office; against Ecclesiastical Hierarchy, against?

The Oration

against this See, and against that Rock Establish'd by God himself; Finally, against the whole Body of the Church of God. Here, the Bond of Chastity is broken, Holy Fasts, Religious Vows, Rites, Ceremonies, Worship of God, Solemnity at Mass, &c. are abolish'd, and exterminated, by the strangest Perfidiousness that ever was heard of. This Man Institutes Sacraments after his own fancy, reducing them to Three, to Two, to One; and that One he handles so pittifully, that he seems to be about the reducing of It at last to nothing at all. O height of Impiety! O most abominable and most execrable Villainy of Man! What intolerable Blasphemies, from an heap of Calumnies and Lies, without any Law, Method, or Order, does he utter against God, and his Servants, in this Book? Socrates, a man judg'd by Apollo's Oracle, to be the Wisest of Men, was by the Athenians Poison'd for Disputing against the commonly receiv'd Opinion they had of God, and against that Religion which was at that time taught to be the best on Earth. Could this Destroyer of Christian Religion expect any better from true Christians for his extream Wickedness against God? But indeed he did not look for it; who, when dreading Punishment (which he well deserv'd) fled, with a Mischief, into his perpetual lurking Holes in Bohemia, the Mother and Nurse of his Heresies. If he had remain'd

of Mr. John Clark.

main'd, and had not by your Holine's been probibited the free dispersing abroad of his Errours: What Danger, what devouring Conflagration had this Plague brought to all Christendom; let the Hustitanian Herefie evince. Which though contented at first with small beginnings, yet through the neglect of Superiours, increas'd to such a height, that at last it turn'd, not only Cities, and People, but also that most Populous Kingdom of Bohemia from the Christian Faith, reducing it to that Mifery under which it now Languishes. What can we think would be the end of this raging Mischief, which is carried on with such violence and unbridled fury, in his Præludiums, as he calls them; as if some Erynnis were sent from Hell in a trice to confound all before it, and so rapidly transported, as if it would seem to leave nothing whereon to Exercise future fury? Which tracing the steps of the Hustices, has added so much Poison to them, that now the Enemy appears more formidable; by how much more He equalizes all Arch-hereticks in his Doctrine, and surpasses them in his malitious and wicked Intentions: Indeed the danger is also so much the greater, as 'tis easier to add worse Proceedings to bad Beginnings, than to begin ill; and to increase Inventions, than to Invent. But your Holiness most Blessed Father, has circumspettly taken care of your Flock: And meeting the Smoak ready to break

into

The Oration

break into open Conflagration and Flame, omitted nothing that might avail to the preventing so great Evils; or at first to the Reconciliation of their Author; afterwards to his Punishment and Extermination. The great indignity of this matter, as al/o your Holinesses, and the King my Master's Letters mov'd the Emperour to send this man Swell'd with Contumelies, into Exile, Learned men on all sides, have in their Works oppos'd themselves, as so many Bucklers for the Christian Faith, against

the Darts of this Pernicious Villain.

Let others speak of other Nations, certainly my Britainy (call'd England by our Modern Cosmagraphers) Cituated in the furtherstmost end of the World, and separated from the Continent by the Ocean: As it has never been behind in the Worship of God, and True Christian Faith, and due Obedience to the Roman Church; either to Spain, France, Germany or Italy; Nay, to Rome it self; So likewise, there is no Nation which more Impugns this Monster, and the Heresies broach'd by him, and which more Condemns, and Detests them. In which sort of most excellent Praise, I can prefer none to him whom I have now Recorded, King Henry, your Holinesses most devoted Son: Who as soon as he understood, that the Dignity of that Government, Illustrated by your Integrity and Virtue, and enlarged by your great tetions: Was, of Mr. John Clark.

together with the Universal Church so bitterly Inveigh'd against by this Son of Perdition; Not only undertook this Pious Work himself, whereby he has Learnedly Consuted the Errours of this Impious Man. But likewise the most Learned Clergy of this Realm, has to the utmost of their Powers endeavour'd with all Diligence to remove from the Hearts of the People all Doubts, Fears and Scruples, that might in any wife happen to Posses, or Trouble the Minds of the weaker fort; so that among st us, the Church of God is in great Tranquility, no Differences, no Disputes, no Ambiguous Words, Murmurings, or Complaints are heard among st the People: All Troubles of Minil, all Renovations in the World, all vain Horrour of Intichrists Reign is now vanish'd

But now, least my Discourse may seem too Prolix, or tedious to the diligent Attention Your Holiness is pleas'd to give, I shall presently come to a

Conclusion.

Only first be pleas'd, that I declare the Reason that mov'd my most Serene King to undertake this Work. For I believe it mill cause Admiration in several, that a Prince so much busied with the Cares of his own Kingdom, both at Home and Abroad, and whose Affairs affords him so little respit, should undertake such things, as according to the common (aying, might require to imploy a wholly

The Oration

wholly all the Thoughts of a Man, and indeed of such a One, as is no Novice neither: But rather for his whole Time Experienc'd in the Studies of Leasning: Yet notwithstanding all this, He that considers his great Actions done for the Faith of Christ and his accustom'd Reverence towards this Holy See, will not think it so strange that He, who with his Forces and Reveng'd Sword, has formerly defended the Church of Rome when in greatest dangers and Calamities of Wars, should now for the Glory of God, and Tranquility of the Roman Church, by his Ingenuity and Pen, put a stop to Heresies, which so endanger the Catholick Faith,

If no sincere Christian could suffer so great Evils to creep into the Church, without opposing all his Forces and Studies against them; what ought not a Prince to do, and such a Prince, as by Divine Providence, is Advanc'd to that Honour and Dignity, as it were for that very Cause that he might Protest the Catholick Faith, and maintain the Christian Religion Inviolable from all Pestilential Endeavours.

Shall we admire, that Piety should extort from him (being both a Christian and a Prince,) what is but the Duty of every Christian? These, most Holy Father are the chief Reasons of his entring upon this Work, his accustom'd Veneration to Your Holiness,

Chri.

of Mr. fohn Clark.

Christian Piety in the Cause of God, and a Royal Grief and Indignation of seeing Religion trodden under-foot. I confess the desire of Glory might have been able to have indue'd him to these things; That as He who under the Charge of the best Tutors, and a Father none of the most Indulgent, having pass d his younger days in good Learning, and afterwards so well Read in Holy Scriptures, that confiding in his own Abilities, He often, not without great Glory, (Disputed with the most Learned in Britain,) might now also for Glories-sake Fight in the Field of Learning against Martin Luther; a Man indeed not Illiterate.

Nor do I see in what else he could with more Glory and Applause have Imploy'd this Treasure of Knowledge, a Talent doubtless given Him by God himself for this very end. But yet the Pious Prince Himself does Modestly acknowledge in his Preface, how little he Attributes to the Force of his own Wit, which is so much esteem'd by others; For excusing his Insufficiency in Learning in that Preface, he Arrogates no more to himself, than to Confess that this Task might have been much better perform'd by many others, and that He himself, (much unsit, Considing only in the Assistance of the Divine Goodness) had through the Instigation of Piety and Grief of seeing Religion so much Abus'd, to attempt to discover by Rea-

/on

The Oration

son the Lutheran Heresies. Not that He thought it Honourable to contend with Luther, who is for much Despised, Hiss'd at, and cry'd down over the whole World; but that, amongst other things, he might testify to the World what his Opinion was of this Prodigious Monster, and his Followers. Thinking himself concern'd to publish that in Writing, not so much, least scruples of Conscience should follow his Silence, as by his Example to induce others to the like Undertakings, who had receiv'd a Richer Gift of Science from the giver of Light. 1 confess what the Godly Prince has writ against the Errours of Luther, might compel Luther himself (if he had the least spark of Christian Piety in bim) to recant his Herefies and re-call again the straying and almost forlorn Flock, not only from Errours, but from Hell it self, where it miserably runs head-long. But what can be done where Pharaoh's Heart is barden'd? Where the Wound Stinks with Putrifaction? Where wickedness, Lying too it self, is become miserable? Being unwilling to hear That it should understand, or to understand that it should do well. The Change of his mind, and altering his Councils to better, must be a great Miracle of Almighty God, for what Learn'd men have writ against him as yet, does but only iritate him to grow every day worfe and worfe. Truly my most Serene King is so far from expecting any good

of Mr. John Clark.

good from this Idol and vain Phantom, that he rather thinks this raging and mad Dog is not to be dealt with by Words, there being no hopes of his Conversion, but rather that he is not otherwise to be dealt with, than with drawn Swords, Cannons, and other Habiliments of War, such as he would use against the Turks themselves if time permitted.

That being constrain'd by due Punishment, he might be reduc'd, if not to Amendment, at least to Fear. And because, most Holy Father, Hecould not Revenge with the Sword, Gods Cause and Yours: He takes other Arms, and enters the Field of Learning: Not, in this kind of Combate, like another Hercules, to Fight against this Hydra: But because this Vipers Madness rages no where more to the Dishonour of God, than in his Book of the Babilonian Captivity: Nor seems he any where else, by his Deceitful Arguments, more to endanger weaker Judgements. Having therefore begun to Batter down this Work, He Assau'ts it with the Force, and Engines of his Arguments, therein performing the Office of a Pious Magnanimous Generall, whose Duty in Military Discipline, is to supply his Soldiers with most Auxiliaries, where the Enemy presses on with greatest Force. Which Work of his, though it had the Approbation of the most Learned of His Kingdom. Tet he resolv'd

The Oration, &c.

not to Publish, till Your Holiness, (from whom we ought to receive the Sense of the Gospel by your quick and most sublime Indgement) deem it worthy to pass through the hands of Men. May therefore your Holiness take in good part, and Graciously accept this little Book, Sent, and Dedicated to your Examination: In which the Pious, and your most Devoted Prince, has with all his Power endeavour'd to procure in some manner, that weaker understandings should not be drawn out of the way by the most wicked Works of this perverse Man: And hopes so to have acquitted himself, as at least he may appear have demonstrated his Veneration towards Christian Religion, and towards Your Holiness. Dixi.

Holy BISHOP

Answered almost in these Words.

Truly it is such, as nothing could have been fent more acceptable to Us, and our Venerable Brethren. But indeed we know not whether more to Praise, or to Admire, that most Potent, Prudent, and Truly most Christian King: Who, with His Sword has totally subdu'd the Enemies of Christ's Church, that (like the Heads of the Hydra, often cut off, and forthwith growing up again;) has so often endeavour'd to tear in pieces the Seamless Coat of Christ: And at length, the Enemies being vanquish'd, has settled in Peace the Church of God, and this Holy See. And now, having the Knowledge, Will, and Ability of Composing This Book against this Terrible Monster, has render'd Himself no less Admirable to the whole World, by the Eloquence of his Stile, than by his great Wisdom. We render Immortal Thanks to our Creator, who has rais'd up fuch a Prince to D efend His Church, and this Holy See. Most humbly befeeching

The Holy Bishop's Answer.

feeching him Bountifully to bestow on this Great Prince, a most Happy Life, and all other Good Things that He can wish for; and after this Life, to Crown him in his Coelestial Kingdom, with a Crown of Eternal Glory. We, to our Power, by Gods Assistance, shall not be wanting in the Performance of any thing that may tend to the Honour and Dignity of His Majesty, and to His, and His Kingdoms Glory.

on the state of th

The second of th

n, lagurgam (pagas tari

LEO

LEO Bishop,

And Servant of the Servants of God:
To our most Dear Son in Christ
Henry, the Illustrious King of
England and Defender of the
Faith, sends Greeting, and gives
his Benediction.

mighty God, presiding in the Government of the Universal Church, though unworthy so great Charge. We daily imploy all Our Thoughts, both at home and abroad, for the continual Propagation of the Holy Catholick Faith, without which none can be Saved. And that the Methods which are taken for repressing of such as labour to overthrow the Church, or pervert, and stain Her by wicked Glosses, and malitious Lies; may be carried on with continual Profit, as are Order'd by the sound Doctrine of the Faithful, and especially

of fuch as shine in Regal Dignity: We imploy with all our Power our Endeavours, and the Parts of our Ministry. And as other Roman Bishops our Predecessors, have been accustom'd to bestow some particular Favours upon Catholick Princes, (as the Exigencies of Affairs and Times requir'd) especially on those who in Tempestuous times, and whilst the rapid Perfidiousness of Schismaticks and Hereticks rag'd, Not only persever'd constantly in the true Faith, and unspotted Devotion of the Holy Roman Catholick Church; but also as the Ligitimate Sons and Stoutest Champions of the same, have oppos'd themselves both Spiritually and Temporally against the mad Fury of Schismaticks and Hereticks.

lent Works, and worthy Actions done for Us, and this Holy See, in which by Divine Permifsion we Preside: Do desire to confer upon your Majesty, with Honour and Immortal Praises, That, which may enable and engage you Carefully to drive away from our Lords Flock the Wolves; and cut off with the Material Sword, the rotten Members that Insect the Mystical Body of Jesus Christ, and confirm the Hearts of the almost discomfited Faithful in the Solidity of Faith. Truly when our Beloved

loved Son John Clark, your Majesties Orator, did lately, in our Confistory, in Presence of our Venerable Brethren, Cardinals of the Sacred Roman Church, and divers other Holy Prelates: present unto Us, a Book, which your Majesty, mov'd by your Charity, (which effects every thing readily and well,) and enflam'd with Zeal to the Holy Catholick Faith, and Fervour of Devotion towards Us, and this Holy See; Did. Compose, as a most Noble and Wholesome Antidote against the Errours of divers Hereticks, often Condemn'd by this Holy See, and now again reviv'd by Martin Luther, When I say, he offer'd this Book to Us to be Examin'd, and Approv'd by Our Authority; And also declar'd in a very Eloquent Discourse; That as Your Majesty, had by True Reasons, and the undenyable Authority of Scripture, and Holy Fathers, confuted the Notorious Errours of Luther; so you are likewise ready, and resolved to Prosecute with all the Forces of your Kingdom, those who shall presume to Follow, or Defend them. Having found in this Book most admirable Doctrine, sprinkled with the Dew of Divine Grace; We render'd Infinite Thanks to Almighty God, from whom every good Thing, and every perfect Gift proceeds, for being pleas'd to fill with his Grace, and to inspire your most Excellent Mind,

Mind, inclin'd to all good) to defend by your Writings, his Holy Faith, against the new Broacher of these Condemned Errours; and to Invite all other Christians by your Example to to Assist and Favour with all their Power, the Orthodox Faith, and Evangelical Truth, now

under so great Peril and Danger.

Considering that it is but Just, that those who undertake Pious Labours in Defence of the Faith of Christ, should be extoll'd with all Praise and Honour: And being willing, not only to magnifie with condign Praise, and approve with Our Authority, what your Majesty has with great Learning and Eloquence writ against Luther: But also to Honour your Majesty with such a Title, as shall give all Christians to Understand, as well in our Times, as in succeeding Ages, how Acceptable and Welcom Your Guift was to Us, especially in this juncture of Time. We, The true Successor of St. Peter, whom Christ before his Ascention left as his Vicar upon Earth, and to whom he committed the Care of his Flock: Presiding in this Holy See, from whence all Dignity and Titles have their Source: Having with our Brethren maturely deliberated on these Things: And with one Consent unanimously Decreed to bestow on your Majesty this Title, viz. De-

fender

fender of the Faith. And as we have by this Title Honour'd you ; We likewise Command all Christians, that they Name your Majesty by this Title; and in their Writings to your Majesty, that immediately after the Word KING, they add, DEFENDER OF THE FAITH. Having thus weigh'd, and diligently confidered your singular Merits, we could not have invented a more Congruous Name, nor more worthy Your Majesty, then this Worthy and most Excellent Title: Which as often as you Hear, or Read, you shall remember your own Merits and Virtues: Nor will you by this Title exalt your self, or become Proud, but according to your accustomed Prudence, rather more Humble in the Faith of Christ; and more strong and constant in your Devotion to this Holy See, by which you were Exalted. And you shall rejoyce in our Lord, who is the giver of all good things, for leaving such a perpetual and everlasting Monument of your Glory to Posterity, and shewing theway to others, that if they also covet to be Invested with such a Title, they may study to do such Actions, and to follow the steps of your most Excellent Majesty: Whom, with your Wife, Children, and all who shall spring from you, We Bless with a Bountiful and Liberal Hand; in the Name of

him from whom the Power of Benediction is given to Us. And by whom Kings Reign, and Princes Govern, and in whose Hands are the

Hearts of Kings.

Praying, and Beseeching the most High, to confirm your Majesty in your Holy purposes, and to Augment your Devotion: And for your most excellent Deeds done in Desence of his Holy Faith, to render your Majesty so Illustrions and Famous to the whole World, as that our Judgement in adorning you with so remarkable a Title, may not be thought vain, or light, by any person whatsoever. And finally, after you have finish'd your course in this Life, that he may make you partaker of his Eternal Glory.

It shall not be Lawful for any Person what-soever, to infringe, or by any rash Presumption to Act contrary to This Letter of our Subscribing, and Command. But if any one shall presume to make such attempt; let him know, that he shall therefore Incurr the Indignation of Almighty God, and of the Holy Apostles Peter

and Paul.

Given at St. Peter's in Rome, the fifth of the Ides of October; In the year of our Lords Incarnation 1501. And in the ninth year of our Papacy.

To Our most HOLY LORD

LEO X.

Chief BISHOP.

HENRY

By the Grace of God,

KING

Of England and France, and Lord of Ireland: Wisheth Perpetual Happiness.

Most Holy Father,

Erhaps it may appear strange to your Holiness, that part of Our Youth being spent in Martial Affairs, and part in the Studies of Things belonging to the Commonwealth, we should now undertake the Task of a Man that ought to have imploy'd all his Time in the Studies of Learning; in opposing our self as gainst

gainst this growing Heresie. But your Holiness (I suppose) will the less admire, when you consider the Reasons that oblig'd Us to take upon Us this Charge of Writing. We have seen Tares cast into Our Lord's Harvest; Sects do spring up, and Heresies increase, almost to over throw the Faith of Christ: And such Seeds of Discord are sown abroad in the World, that no sincere Christian, can suffer, or endure any longer their spreading Mischiefs, without an Obligation of imploying all his Studies and Forces to oppose them. Your Holiness ought not therefore to wonder, if We (not the greatest in Ability, yet in Faith and Good-will inferior to none,) have propos'd to our Self, to imploy our Force and Power in a work so Necessary, and so Profitable, that it cannot lightly be omitted by any, without Offence: Also to declare Our great respect towards your Holiness, Our endeavours for the Propagation of the Faith of Christ, and Our Obedience to the Service of Almighty God: Greatly confiding, that though our Learning is not much, nay in comparison, even nothing; yet his Grace will so Co-operate with Us, that what We are not able thereby to effect, He by his Benignity and Power may more fully perform, and by his strength supply Our weakness therein: Though

we know very well, that there are every where several more expert, especially in Holy VVrit, who could have more Commodiously Officiated this Great VVork, and perform'd it much better than VVe: Yet are we not altogether so rude, as not to esteem it Our Duty, to imploy with all Our Might, Our VVit, and Pen in the Common Cause. For having by long experience, found, that Religion bears the greatest Iway in Administration of Publick Affairs, and is likewise of no small Importance in the Commonwealth: VVe having imploy'd no little time, especially since we came to years of Dis. cretion, in the Contemplation thereof; wherein we have always taken great delight: And though not Ignorant of our small Progress therein made: Yet at least it is so much, as (we hope) especially with the help, or rather instigation of fuch things as can instruct the most Ignorant, viz. Piety, and the Grief of seeing Religion Abus'd, will suffice for Reasons to discover the Subtilties of Luther's Heresie. We have therefore, (confiding in those Things,) enter'd upon this Work; Dedicating to your Holiness what we have Meditated therein; that under your Protection, who are Christ's Vicar upon Earth, it may pals the publick Censure. For we are perswaded that this Heresie, having

for

for some time exercis'd its Rage amongst Christians; and being by your most weighty and wholesom Sentence Condemn'd, and as it were by force pluck'd out of Mens Hands, if any thing remains hidden in the Bowels of it, sed by Flattery, and Fair Promises; 'tis to be rooted out by just Reasons, and Arguments, that as mens Wits suffer themselves more willingly to be led than drawn, so Reason also may supply these with the mildest Remedies.

VVhether or no any thing is effectually done in this, shall rest to your Holiness's Judgement: If we have err'd in any thing, We offer it to be

Corrected as may please your Holiness.

TO THE

READER.

Lthough I do not rank my self amongst the most Learned and Eloquent; yet (shunning the stain of Ingratitude, and mov'd by Fidelity and Piety;) I cannot but think my self oblig'd, (would to God my Ability to do it, were equal to my good Will,) to defend my Mother the Spoule of Christ: Which, though it be more copiously handled by others; Nevertheless I account it as much my own Duty, as his who is the most Learned, by my utmost endeavours to Defend the Church, and to oppose my self to the Poisonous shafts of the Enemy that fights against her: Which this juncture of time, and the present state of things, requires at my Hands For, before, when none did assault, 'twas not necessary to resist; But now that the Enemy, (and the most wicked Enemy imaginable,) is risen up, who by the Instigation of the Devil, under pretext of Charity; stimulated by Anger and Hatred, pews out the Poison of Vipers against the Church, and Catholick Faith; 'tis necessary that every Servant of Christ, of what Age, Sex, or Order soever; should rise as gainst

To the READER.

gainst this common Enemy of the Christian Faith, that those whose Power avails not, yet may testify their good Will by their cheerful Endeavours.

'Tis now therefore convenient, that we Arm our selves with a two fold Armour: the one Cælestial,

and the other Terrestrial.

With a Cælestial Armour; That he who by a feign'd and dissembled Charity, destroys others, and perishes himself, being gain'd by true Charity, may also gain others; and he that Fights by a False Doctrine, may be Conquer'd by True Doctrine. With a Terrestrial, that if he be so obstinately malitious, as to neglect Holy Councils, and despise Gods Reproofs, he may be constrain'd by due Punishments; that he who will not do good, may leave off doing mischief; and he that did harmby the word of Malice, may do good by the Example of his Funishments. What Plague so Pernicious did ever invade the Flock of Christ? What Serpent so Venomous has crept in, as he who writ of the Babylonian Captivity of the Church? Who wrests Holy Scripture by his own Sense against the Sacraments of Christ, and abolishes the Ecclesiastical Rites and Ceremonies left by the Fathers, undervalues the most Holy and Antient Interpreters of Scripture, unless they concur with his Sentiments; calls the most Holy See of Rome, Babilon, and the Pope's Authority, Tyranny: and Esteems the

To the READER.

the most wholesom Decrees of the Universal Church to be Captivity; and turns the Name of the most Holy Bishop of Rome, to that of Antichrist. O that detestable Trumpeter of Pride, Calumnies and Schisms! What an Infernal VVolsis he who seeks to disperse the Flock of Christ? What a great Member of the Devil is he, who endeavours to tear the Christian Members of Christ from their Head?

How Infectious is his Soul who revives these detestable Opinions and buried Schisms; adds new ones to the old, and bringing to light (Cerberus-like, from Hell) the Herefies which ought to lie in Eternal Darkness; and esteems himself worthy to govern all things by his own Word, oppos'd against the Judgement of all the Antients: Nay also to ruine the Church of God? Of whose Malice I know not what to say; For I think neither Pen nor Tongue can express the greatness of it. Wherefore before I Exhort, Pray, and Beseech, through the Name of Christ (which we will profess) all Christians who are willing to look upon, and Read Luther's Works, especially the Babylonian Captivity, (if he be Author of it) to do it Warily, and very Judicionsly: That as Virgil said, He gather'd Gold out of the Dross of Ennius; so they may also gather good things out of evil: And if any thing please them, let them not be so taken with it,

as to suck the Poison with the Honey, for 'tis better to want both, than to swallow both: To hinder which, I wish the Author may Repent, be Converted, and Live; and in imitation of St. Augustine, (whose Rule he profess'd) Correct his Books fill'd with Malice, and revoke his Errours. If Luther refuses this, 'twill shortly come to pass, if Christian Princes do their Duty, tha this Errours, and himself, if he perseveres therein, may be burn'd in the. Fire. In the mean while, we thought it fit to discover to the Readers some chief Heads or Chapters in the Babylonian Captivity, which have the most Venom in them, by which 'twill appear very clearly with what exulcerated mind he began this work, pretending the publick good, and writing nothing but malitious Inventions.

We need not seek any Foreign Testimonies for proving what we have said, for Luther (fearing that any one should go up and down in search of such,) discovers himself, and his Mind of his own accord, in his very beginning. For who should doubt of what he aim'd at, when he reads this one Sontence of his.

0 F

INDULGENCES,

AND THE

Adopts Authority.

Indulgentia sunt adulatorum Romanorum nequitie.



Sacro every living Creature is known chiefly by its face, so by this first Proposition it evidently appears, how corrupt and rotten his Heart was, whose Mouth being fill'd with Bitterness, broke out into such a Corruption; For what he faid of In-

tulgences in times past, seem'd to many, not only to detract much of the Roman Bishops Power, but also to lessen the good Hope and Holy Consolation of the Faithful: And mightily to excite men to confide in the Riches of their own Penitence, and despise the Treasures of the Holy Church, and the Bounty of God: And yet what he then writ, was favourably Interpreted, because he only disputed many of them, but did Hi Hon. not affirm them: Afterwards desiring to be Taught, The Holy and Promising to obey him that would instruct him Gh ft souns better. But what this new Saint, (who refers all deceit, things to the Holy Spirit, which cannot brook any Sapient, thing of Falsehood,) did then write with a simple Intention, is easily discover'd; For as soon as he had any

2

thing of wholesom Advice given him, he immediately vomited his Malediction against those who en-deavour'd his good, reviling them with Reproaches and Quarrels; for which it is worth our while to fee what height of folly he is come to at last. He confes'd before, that Indulgences were good, at least to Absolve us, besides the Crime, from the Punishments also which should be enjoyn'd us by the Order of the Church, or by our particular Priest: But now it was not by Learning, (as he fays himself,) but by meer Malice that he wrought; and contradicting himself, he condemns Judulgences; and says, That they are nothing but meer Impostures, sit only to destroy Peoples Money, and Gods Faith. Every man may see how wickedly and furiously he rails in this matter: For if Indulgences, as he fays, are but meer Impostors, and good for nothing, then it follows, that not only our Chief Bishop LEO X. (whose Innocent, unspotted Life, and most Holy Conversation are well known through the World, as Luther himself Confesses in a Letter of his to the Pope) is an Impostor; but also all Roman Bishops in so many past Ages, which as Luther himself says, did use to give Indulgences: Some a years Remission, some three years, some to forgive a Lents Penance, some a certain part of the whole Penance, as the third, or one half; at least somthing, as to Plenary, or full Remission of the sin,

Then were they all Impostors, if Luther be true:
But how much more reason is there to believe, that this little Brother is a Scabb'd Sheep, than that so many Pastors were Treacherous, and Unfaithful? For Luther, as is said above, shews what kind of man he is, and how Uncharitable, when he Blushes, not not to lay such a Crime against so Great, and so Holy Bishops.

Levit. 19. If God sin the Leviticus) says to all, Thou shalt not be.

Contradisting bimself.

Luther

Leo X.

an Accuser, or Back-biter among st the People; What may we think of Luther, who casts such a foul Scandal, not only on one man, but on so many, and so Venerable Prelates? And this he Whispers, not only in one City, but publishes to the whole World. If he be accursed (in Deuteronomy) Who shall privately smite his Deutron. Neighbour; With how great a Curse shall he be 27. strucken, who Insults over his Governours with such Reproaches? Finally, If, (as the Gospel says) He be 1 Jo. 3. a Mutherer, and has not Life everlasting, who hates his Brother: Does not this Patricide deserve everlasting Death, who with Hatred pursues his Father? Seeing he's come to that pass, as to deny Induigences to be Profitable in this Life; It would be in vain for me to dispute what great Benefits the Souls in Purgatory receive by them: Moreover, what would it avail us to Luther de-Discourse with him of the great helps whereby we are nies Purgareliev'd from Purgatory it self? And being not able to endure to hear of the Popes delivering any person out

of it, he prefumes to leave none there himfelf.

What profit is there to Dispute, or Fight against Him, who Fights against himself? What should my Arguments avail me, though I force him to confess what he before deny'd, feeing he now denies what before he Confess'd? But admit the Popes Indulgences were difputable, yet 'tis necessary that the Words of Christ remain firm, by which he gave the Keys of the Church to St. Peter, when he faid, What soever thou shalt bind Matth. 16. on Earth, shall be bound in Heaven; and what soever thou shalt loose on Earth, shall be loosed in Heaven: Likewise, Whose Sins ye forgive, shall be forgiven unto them, and whosoever Sins ye retain, they are retain'd. By which words, if'tis manifest that any Priest has Power to Absolve men from fins, and take away Eternal Punishment due thereunto; who will not judge it ridiculous,

Of INDULGENCES.

culous, that the Prince of all Priests should be deny'd

the taking away of Temporal Punishment?

But perhaps some may say, that Luther will not admit any Priest has Power of binding, or loosing any thing; or that the Chief Bishop has any greater Power, than other Bishops or Priests: But what concerns it me, what that man admits, or denies, who granted many things a while ago, which now he denies, and who alone rejects all things which the Holy Church has held during so many Ages? For (to omit other things which this new Monius or feign'd Deity Censures) certainly if the Popes have Err'd, who have granted Indulgences; the whole Congregation of the Faithful were not free from fin, which receiv'd them for fo long a time, and with so great consent: In whose Judgement, and in the custom observ'd by the Saints, I doubt not but we may rather acquiesce, than in Luther alone, who furiously condemns the Whole Church, whose Chief Bishops, he not only loads with mad Reproaches, hut also fears not to publish, that this Supremacy of the Pope is but a vain Name, and is effectually nothing but Luther or the Kingdom of Babylon, and the Power of Nimrod that strong Hunter; and desires his Readers, and the Book-binders, that (Burning whatfoever he first writ of Papacy,) they may referve this one Proposition, &c.

ders his Fooks to be Burnt.

Of the Pope's Authority.

Papatus est robusta Venatio Romani Pontificis.

Ndeed 'tis no ridiculous desire in him, to wish the things he writ before, should be burn'd, because many of them deserv'd it; yet much more this Propofition, which he defires may be preferr'd after the relt

are

Of the Popes Authortiy,

are Burn'd, as if worthy of Eternity. What man, if he had not known his Malice, but would have admir'd his Inconstancy in this place? For first, he deny'd the Popes Supremacy to be of Divine Right, or Law, but contradicts allow'd it to be of Humane Right: But now, (contrary to himself) he affirms it to be of neither of them; but that the Papacy by meer force has assum'd and Usurp'd Tyrany. Formerly he was of Opinion, That Power was given to the Roman Bishops over the Universal Church by Humane consent, and for the publick good: And so much was he of that Opinion, that he detested the Schism of the Bohemians, who deny'd any derests the Obedience to the See of Rome; saying, That they Schism if sinned damnably who did not Obey the Pope: Having the Bohemians. written those things so little time before, he now embraces what then he detested. The like stability he has in this: That after he Preach'd in a Sermon to the People, That Excommunication is a Medicine, and to be Luther suffer'd with Patience and Obedience; He himself, being trany to (for very good Cause,) a while after Excommunicated, what be was so impatient of that Sentence, that (Mad with rage) Preach'd,... he breaks forth into insupportable Contumelies, Reproaches and Blasphemies. So that by his Fury, it plainly appears, that those who are driven from the Bosom of their Holy Mother the Church, are immediately feiz'd, and posses'd with Furies, and tormented by Devils. But I ask this, he that faw these things so short a while fince, how is it that he becomes of opinion, that then he saw nothing at all? What new Eyes has Luthe,'s he got? Is his sight more sharp, after he has joyn'd Excellent Anger to his wonted Pride, and has added Hatred to Spellacles. both? Does he see farther with these so excellent Spectacles?

I will not wrong the Bishop of Rome so much, as troublesomly, or carefully to dispute His Right, as if

The Popes Authority:

it were a matter doubtful; 'tis sufficient for my prefent task, that the Enemy is so much led by fury, that he destroys his own Credit, and makes clearly appear, that by meer Malice he is so blinded, that he neither Sees, nor knows what he says himself. For he cannot deny, but that all the Faithful, Honour and acknowledge the Sacred Roman See for their Mother and Supream; nor does distance of Place or Dangers in the way hinder Access thereunto. For if those who come hither from the Indies tell us the Truth, the Indians themselves (seperated from us by fuch a vast distance both of Land and Sea,) do submit themselves to the See of Rome. If the Bishop of Rome has got this large Power, neither by command of God, nor the Will of Man, but by main force; I would fain know of Luther, when the Pope-rush'd into the Possession of so great Riches? for so vast a Power, (especially if it began within the methe Authomory of Man,) cannot have an obscure Origen: But The Anti- perhaps he'll fay, 'tis above one or two Ages fince; quity of the Let him then remember us of it by Histories: Other-Popes Auwife, if it be so Antient, that the beginning of so great a thing is quite forgot. Let him know, that by all Laws we are forbidden to think otherwise, that That thing had a Lawful beginning, which fo far fur-passes the memory of Man, that its Origen cannot be known. 'Tis certain, that by the unanimous Confent of all Nations, 'tis forbidden to change, or move the things which have been for a long time immoveable. Truly, if any will not look upon Antient Monuments, or read the Histories of former times, he may easily find, that fince the Conversion of the World, all Churches in the Christiam World have been Obedient to the See of Rome. We find, that though the Emthe Bishop pire was translated to the Grecians, yet did they still of Rome. own, and obey the Supremacy of the Church, and See of

Rome.

rity of the Bilbop of Rome.

abority.

The vift

extent of

6

The Popes Authority.

Rome, except when they were in any Turbulent

Schifm.

St. Hierome excellently demonstrates his good esteem for the Roman See, when he openly declares, (Though St. Hieronimus. he was no Roman himself,) that it was sufficient for him that the Pope of Rome did but approve his Faith, whoever else (bould disapprove it.

When Luther fo impudently afferts, (and that against his former Sentence,) That the Pope has no kind of Right over the Catholick Church; no, not fo much as Humane, but has by meer force Tyranically usurp'd it. I cannot but admire, that he should expect, his Readers should be so easily induc'd to believe his Words; or so blockish, as to think that a Priest, without any Weapon, or Company to defend him, (as doubtless he was, before enjoyn'd that which Luther fays he Usurp'd,) could ever expect or hope, without any Right or Title to obtain so great a Command over fo many Bilbops his Fellows, in so many different, and divers Nations.

How could he expect, I fay, that any body should believe, (as I know not how he could defire they should,) that all Nations, Cities, nay Kingdoms and Provinces, should be fo Prodigal of their Rights and Liberties, as to acknowledge the Superiority of a frange Priest to whom they should owe no Subjection? But what fignifies it to know the Opinion of Luther in this Case, when (through Anger and Malice,) himself is ignorant of his own Opinion, or what he thinks? But he manifeftly discovers the darkness of his understanding and knowledge, and the folly and blindness of his heart; abandon'd to a Reprobate sense, in doing and faying things so inconvenient. How true is that of the Apostle? Though I have Prophecy, and understand all Mysteries, and all Knowledge; and though 1 Cor. 13,

The Popes Authority.

8

Kings.

I have all Faith, so as to remove Mountains, and have not Charity, lam nothing. Of which Charity, Luther not only shews how void he is, by perishing himself through Fury; but much more by endeavouring to draw all others with him into destruction, whilst he strives to dissuade them from their Obedience to the Chief Bishop, whom in a three fold manner He Himself is bound to obey, viz. As a Christian, as a Priest, and as a Religious Brother: His disobedience deserving also to be punished in a treble manner: He remembers not how much Obedience is better than Sicrifice; nor does he consider how 'tis ordain'd in Deuteronomy, That

Deut. 17, the Manthat will do presumptuously, and will not hearken unto the Priest, (that stands to Minister there before the Lord thy God,) or unto the Judge, even that Man shall die. He considers not, I say, what cruel punishment

The Mc-He deserves, that will not obey the Chief Priest and desty of the Supreme Judge upon Earth. For this poor Brother being cited to appear before the Pope, with offers to pay his Expences, and promise of safe Conduct; resules to go without a Guard; troubling the whole Church as much as he could, and exciting the whole Body to Rebel against the Head; which to do, Is as the sin of

Kings15. Witchcraft; and in whom to acquies, Is as the sin of Idolatry. Seeing therefore that Luther, (mov'd by hatred,) runs head-long on to destruction, and refuses to

Rom 10. submit himself to the Law of God, but desires to establish a Law of his own: It behoves all Christians

Rom, 5. to beware, least (as the Apostle says) through the disobedience of one, many be made sinners: But on the contrary, by hating and detesting his Wickedness, we may sing with the Prophet, I hated the Wicked, and loved your Law.

15 15 miles to 83

The Affertion of the Seven SACRAMENTS.

Ut these two Chapters, (of abrogating Indulgenties, and taking away all Authority of the Chief Buhop,) of which we have already given our Opinion: Tho' they are wicked, yet are they but the flourishings or first essays of Luther, who now begins to murther, and destroy the Sacraments, which in his Book he goes about to do: All which whole Book, he confesses still to be but a Flourish to I know not what Work; I suppose 'tis some Work in which he intends to fight more feriously against our whole Faith; Yet I much admire he should think to compose any thing whatfoever, more stuft with Venom, than is this whole Preface, or Flourish of his: In which, of Seven Sacraments, he leaves us but Three, nor them neither, unless for a time; giving us to understand, that he shall soon also take them from us; for of the Three, he takes away One immediately after, in the same Book; whereby he plainly shews us what he intends to do with the rest.

To which thing it feems he prepares the way, when he says, That if he would speak according to Scripture, admiss but He would leave but One Sacrament, and Three Sacrament-ment. tal Signs. If any one do but diligently examine how he handles these three Sacraments, (which for the prefent he puts as three Sacraments, or under three Signs) he may perceive that he treats of them in such a manner, as that none should doubt, but that when he fees his own time, and at his own pleafure, he intends wholly to deprive us of them all.

Let the Reader diligently observe his steps, and be much to look to his own, that he may discover the subtilties as a ser-

of pent.

10

of this Serpent, and let him not with too much fecurity, thrust himself amongst these Thorns, Brambles, and Dens, but warily walk round his Caverns, fearing least he should secretly strike his Mortal Sting into his heel: This hideous Monster being catch'd, will become benum'd, and pine away by his own Venom.

The Sacrament of the ALTAR.

calls the Grament. The Sacrament

Et us therefore begin where he began himself, with the Adorable Sacrament of Christ's Body. The Luther changing of the Name thereof, calling it, The Sacra-Ble Ged Sa-ment of Bread, shews that this Man cannot well endure, that we should be put in mind of Christ's Body by the Name of the Blessed Sacrament; and that, if of Bread under any fair pretext, it were possible for him, he would give it a worse Name. How much differs the S. Ambrose judgement of St. Ambrose from this Man's, when he fays, Though the form of the Bread and Wine is feen upon the Altar, yet we must believe, that there is nothing else but the Body and Blood of Christ. By which words it clearly appears, that St. Ambrole confesses no other Substance to remain with the Body and Blood of Christ in the Sacrament, when he says, That which is feen under the form of Bread and Wine, is nothing else but the Body and Blood of Christ. If St. Ambrose had only said Flesh and Blood, without adding any thing more; Perhaps Luther would have faid, that St. Ambrofe, (acknowledging the Flesh & Blood to be in the Sacrament) did not deny that the Bread and Wine was there also; as Luther himself says, That the substance of the Flesh is with the Bread, and the Substance of the Blood along with the Wine: But seeing St. Ambrose says, That there is nothing else but the Flesh and Blood. It appears that ke

he is manifestly contrary to Luther, who affirms, That the Bread is with the Flesh, and the Wine with the Blood. And though this which Luther fays, was as true as 'tis false, viz. That the Bread should remain mingled with the Body of Christ; yet was it not necessary for him to blot the Name of the Body of Christ out of the Sacrament, in which he confesses that the True Body of Christ is. For if the Substance of Bread should be with the Body of Christ, (as he contends,) yet there's no reason that the Inferior Substance should take away the Name from the more worthy. For tho' the Apostle, (conforming himself to the understanding of the Auditors, then Ignorant people,) call'd it Bread; yet now, after the Faith has been so long establish'd, it was not fit or convenient to change this so Adorable a Name, (which represents to the Hearers, the thing in the Sacrament,) into fuch a Name as would have turn'd their minds from the Body to the Bread. Neither would Luther, without doubt, have changed it, if he had not determin'd with himself to draw the People to Worship the Bread, and leave off Christ's Body; from which he himself is divided; concerning which, I shall prefently speak more fully.

In the mean while, let us truly examine how subtilly, under pretence of favouring the Laity, he endeavours to stir them up to an hatred against the Clergy: For when he resolved to render the Churches Faith Suspitious, that its Authority should be of no consequence against Him; and so by opening that gap, he might destroy the chiefest Mysteries of Christianity: He began with that thing, which he foresaw would be Prais'd and Applauded by the People: For he touch'd the old Sore by which Bohemia had been formerly Blister'd, viz. That the Laity ought to receive the Eucharist under both kinds. When sirst he began

 C_2

12

to handle this point, He only said, That the Pope would do well, to have it ordain'd by a General Council. that the Laity should receive the Sacrament under both kinds: But that being by some Disputed with him, and deny'd; he contented not himself to stop there, but grew to fuch a perverse height, that he condemn'd

contradicts the whole Clergy of Wickedness, For not doing it with-kimself. out staying for any Council. For my part, I do not dispute the first: And though to me, no Reasons appear why the Church should not Ordain, that the Sacrament should be Administer'd to the Laity under both kinds: Yet doubt I not, but what was done in times past, in omitting it, and also in hindering it to be so Administer'd now, is very convenient. Nor can I believe the whole Clergy, (during so many Ages,) to be fo void of Sense, as to incur Eternal Punishment for a thing by which they could reap no Temporal good. It appears in this, not to be a thing of any fuch danger because God not only bestow'd Heaven upon those men, who did this thing themselves, and writ that it ought to be done; but likewise would have them Honour'd on Earth, by those by whom he is Ador'd himself: Amongst which, (to omit others,) was that most Learned and Holy Man Thomas Aguinas, which I the more willingly Name here, because the wickedness of Luther cannot endure the Sanctity of this Man, but reviles with his foul Lips, him whom all Christians Honour.

There are very many, though not Canoniz'd, who are contrary to Luther's Opinion in this; and to whom in Piety and Learning Luther is in no ways comparable. Amongst whom is the Master of the Sentences, Nicholas de Lyra, and many others, to each of which it behoves all Christians to give more Credit, than to

Luther.

of the serven SACRAMENT'S.

But pray observe how Luther Staggers, and contra-dicts himself; In one place he says, That Christ in his himself. last Supper, not only said to all the Faithful, as permitting, but as commanding, Drink ye all of this. Yetafter- Luther's wards, (fearing to offend the Laity, whom he Flatters, Words. to ftir up their hatred against the Priests,) He adds these words, Not, that They who use but one kind do sin against Christ, seeing Christ did not command to use any kind, but left it to every mans discretion; saying, As often as ye do this, do it in remembrance of me: But, fays he, they sin who forbid to give both kinds to such as are willing to receive it: The blame, says he, lyes on the Clergy, and not on the Laity. You fee how clearly he first holds it for a Command, and then fays, 'tis no Commandment, but a thing left to every Mans Difcretion. What need we contradict him, that so often contradicts himself? And yet before, when he speaks of all in general, he does not defend the Laity well, if any body would urge the matter: And he proves no fin to be in the Priests, whom most bitterly he accuses. For, he says, the sin consists in the Priests taking the liberty of one kind from the Laity: If any body should ask him here, how he knows that Custom to have been practis'd against the Peoples will? I believe he cannot tell it. Why then does he condemn the whole Clergy for having taken the Laities Right from them by force, seeing he cannot by any Testimony prove that this was forcibly done? How much more reasonable should it be, to say, that the Consent of the People did concur with this Custom for so many Ages, if it could not be justly establish'd but with their pleafure? For my part, when I fee what things the Clergy cannot obtain from the Laity, not so much indeed, as not to Bury their Dead almost under their Altars; I cannot easily believe that they should suffer them-

felves.

selves to be Injuriously, and by Force depriv'd of any such great part of their Rights; but that rather this was Instituted for some reasonable Causes, and with the Consent of the Laity. What I most admire, is, that Luther should be so Angry and Passionate, for having One kind taken away from the Laity in the Communion, and is nothing at all mov'd that Children should be debarr'd from both; For he cannot deny, but that Children in the Primitive times did receive the Com-

mitive times were munion.

Children munion. Which Custom, if it was justly omitted, u the Pri- (though Christ said, Drink ye all of this,) and that without doubt for very good Reasons, though no Body admitted can now remember them; Why should we not think, to the Com- that for good and just Reasons, unknown at this time, munion. the Primitive Custom of the Laities receiving the Sacrament in both kinds, (which perhaps continu'd not for any confiderable time,) was taken away? Moreover, if he examines the strict form of the Evangelical Narration, and leaves nothing in this matter to the Church: Why does he not command the Sacrament to be always receiv'd at Supper-time, or rather after it? Finally, it should be esteem'd no less Inconvenience to do any thing in this Saraments receiving, which ought not to be done. If therefore the Custom of the whole Church does not well, to deny to the Laity the Communion under the form of Wine? By what reafon durst Luther put Water into the Wine? For I do not think that he is so bold as to Consecrate without Water; yet has he no Example in Our Lords Supper, nor any certain one, of the Apostles Tradition of mingling the Wine with Water: But he learn'd it only by the Custom of the Church, to which if he thinks himfelf oblig'd to be obedient in this part, why does he so arrogantly oppose it in the other?

Luther's injurious words a-

What-ever Luther Chatters concerning this matter, for my part I Judge it more safe, to believe that the Laity do rightly Communicate, though under one kind; than that the Clergy for fo many Ages were damn'd, for gainst the one thing, (as he disputes;) for he calls them all wicked, Clergy. And so wicked, that they all were guilty of the Crime of Evangelical Treason.

If, (fays he,) We must Name them that are Hereticks and Schismaticks; 'tis not the Bohemians, or Gracians, for they endeavour to follow the Gospel; But the Romans are the Hereticks and Schismaticks, who by their Fictions presume against the evident Truth of Scripture. If Luther admits nothing else but the evident and plain Text of Scripture, why does he not (as I faid) command the Eucharist to be receiv'd at Supper-time? For the Scriptures mention that Christ did so. How much better should Luther believe that this Institution of the Church in giving the Communion to the Laity under one kind, was done by the Authority of God, not by any Humane Invention, as it was by Gods Authority Inflituted that it should be receiv'd when the People are Fasting; For as St. Augustin says, It has pleas'd the Holy Ghost, that the Body of our Lord, which by the Apostles S. August, was received after other Meats, should in the Church be received Fasting, before any other Meats. 'Tis very probable, that the Holy Ghost which governs the Church of Christ, as he has chang'd the time of Receiving the Sacrament, from Supper, to the Morning, Fasting, has also chang'd the Laities receiving under both, totheir Communicating under one kind: For he that could change the One, why could he not also alter the other? Luther shews plainly in this place, that his intention is by his Words, to Flatter the Bohemians, whose Perfidiousness he before detelted: For none of those whom he calls Papists, and Flatterers of the Pope, does.

does so much Flatter the Roman Prelates, as Luther Flatters the very scum of the Bohemian Commonality: And not without reason indeed; for he foresees that the Germans, (which he formerly deceiv'd under the form of a simple sheep,) would reject him as soon as they should perceive him to be a devouring Wolf. And therefore he infinuates himself into the efteem of the Bohemians, and makes himself Friends of the Mammon of Iniquity, (as much as he is able,) that when he is banish'd his own Country, he may pass into that of those, into whose Errours he has already enter'd.

And that some remarkable Action may render him more commendable to them when he goes, he endeavours to extinguish all the Force and Authority of Ecelefiaftical Cuftoms, and so in the Conclusion to ruine all, if his Designs should take, which God forbid. For he aims at greater things than he can expect to accomplish; and therefore pleads for the Laity, though his Thoughts are quite contrary to what he pretends: for though he fweetly offers them Bread in the one Hand, yet he holds a Scourge for them in the other. For in the first place he's altogether for the Laities being admitted to receive under both kinds: And who would not think, that he thereby endeavours to increase their Devotion towards the Sacrament? But look a little further what he drives at: For at last he brings his business so far, as to desire, that they may not be oblig'd to receive at Easter; and that no time may be appointed them for Receiving, but that every Man may be left to his own Discretion: Nay further, That none should receive more than once in his whole Life, and that at the day of his Death; which is uncertain, and at which many are not able to Receive. So he that pretended to stand for the Communicating under

both

both kinds, procures the quite contrary, to wit, That it may be Lawful for them never to receive under any kind. And he esteems it an excellent Liberty, that the People may be altogether freed from Receiving the Sacrament.

Wherefore though this Serpent seem to Flatter you with an amiable Aspect; yet that Venomous Tail of his feeks to sting you; For he makes it plainly appear, that he's more concern'd for the Peoples Receiving under one kind, than for their abstaining from both. For even as the Old Serpent being cast out of Heaven, envy'd Man's Happiness in Paradice; so Luther being fallen, by Luther's his own fin, (under the Penalty of Excommunication,) thereby depriv'd of the wholesom and life-giving Communion under both kinds, endeavours to entrap all others in the same snare; That being freed from the Obligation of Receiving under both kinds, they may by little and little bring themselves under no kind at all. And the further you advance in Reading his Libel, the more you'll discover this detestable fetch of his.

For he makes it a fecond Captivity, that any man should be forbidden to believe that the true Bread and true Wine remains after Consecration. So that in this, (contrary to the belief of the whole Christian World, both now, and for so many Ages past, he endeavours to perswade, that the Body and Blood of Christ is after fuch a manner in the Eucharift, that the Substance of true Bread and true Wine remains still after Consecration. I suppose afterwards, when't pleases him, he'll deny the Substance of the Body and Blood to be there, When he has a mind to change his Opinion, as he has three times done already, and yet he feigns that he Luther's Teaches those things, As being mov'd with pity towards great merthe Captivity of the Israelites, in which they are kept slaves to Babylon. Thus he calls the whole Church Babylon,

18

and the Faith of Christ, Slavery: And this merciful Man offers Liberty to all those, who will divide themselves from the Church, and become corrupted with the Infection of this rotten and seperated Member. But 'tis worth our while to know by what means he invites People to this more than Servile Liberty.

Luther's son, to wit, That Scripture is not to be forc'd, either by Argument. Men or Angels, but to be kept in the most simple significant feating that care he: And (says he) unless for some receiving

His words, fication that can be: And (fays he) unless for some manifest circumstances requiring, 'tis not to be taken otherwise than in its proper and Grammatical sense, least occasion , should be given to the Adversaries to undervalue the whole Scriptures: But (fays he) The Divine Words are forc'd, if that which Christ call'd Bread, be taken for the Accidents of Bread; and what he call'd Wine, for the form of Wine. Therefore by all means the true Bread and true Wine remains upon the Altar, least violence be done to Christs words, if the Species be taken for the Substance. For, (says he) seeing that the Evangelists To plainly write, that Christ took Bread, and Blest it: And afterwards in the Book of the Acts, and by Paul 'tis call'd Bread, we ought to take it for true Bread, and true Wine, as a true Chalice. For they do not fay themselves, that the Chalice is Transubstantiated.

This is Luther's great, and (as he fays) his chief Reason, which I hope so to handle, as to give all men to understand, of how little consequence it is. For in the first place, though the Evangelists had plainly said, what he says they did: Yet does not that prove any thing clearly for him; But on the contrary, they say nothing in any place that may seem to sayour his side. Do not they write (says he) that he took Bread, and Bles'd it? And what does that argue? We confess he took

Bread

Bread, and Bless'd it; But that he gave Bread to his Disciples after he had made it his Body, we flatly deny, and the Evangelists do not say he did: That this may more evidently appear, and that there may be less room left for wrangling, let us hear the Evangelists themselves: St. Matthew's words are these, While they Matt. 26. were at Supper, Jesus took Bread and Bless'd it, and brake it, and gave to his Disciples, saying, Take, and Eat, this is my Body. And taking the Chalice, he gave Thanks, and gave it to them, saying, Drink ye all of this; This is my Blood of the New Testament, which is shed for many for the remission of Sins. But St. Mark's words Mark 14. are these, And while they were Eating, Jesus took Bread, and Blessed, and brake it, and gave to them, and said, Take, Eat, This is my Body. And when he had taken the Chalice, and given Thanks, he gave it to them; And they all drank of it: And he said unto them, this is my Blood of the New Testament which is shed for many. St. Luke 22. Luke has it after this manner, And he took Bread, and gave Thanks, and brake it, and gave unto them, saying, This is my Body which is given for you: This do in remembrance of me; Likewise also the Chalice after Supper, Saying, This Chalice is the New Testament of my Blood, which is shed for you.

In all these words of the Evangelists, I see none, where, after the Confecration, the Sacrament is call'd Bread and Wine: But only Body and Blood. They fay, That Christ took Bread in his Hands, which we all confess; But when the Apostles receiv'd it, it was not call'd Bread, but Body. Yet Luther endeavours to wrest the words of the Gospel by his own Interpretation. Take, Eat, This, that is, This Bread, (says he, false Interwhich he had taken and broken,) Is my Body. This is pretation. Luther's Interpretation; not Christ's Words, nor the Sense of his Words. If he had given to his Disciples

D 2

the Bread which he took, as he took it; without Converting it into Flesh, before he bad them (in giving it) Take and Eat; It had been rightly said, that he gave what he took in his Hand; for then he had given nothing else.

But seeing he turn'd the Bread into his Flesh, before he gave it the Apostles to Eat; they now receive not the Bread which he took, but his Body, into which he had turn'd the Bread: As if one who had taken Seed, should give to another the Flower sprung thereof: He would not give what he had taken, though the common course of Nature had made the one of the other. So likewise much less did Christ give the Apostles what he took in his Hand, when by so great a Miracle he turn'd the Bread which he took, into his own Body: Unless perhaps some will say, because Aaron took a Rod in his Hand, and cast a Rod from him; that the Substance of the Rod remain'd with the Serpent, and the Serpents Substance with the Rod, when 'twas restor'd again. If the Rod could not remain with the Serpent; how much less can the Bread remain with the Flesh of Christ that incomparable Substance?

The folly of Luthers guments.

For what Luther argues, or rather trifles, to shew the simplicity of his own Faith; when of the Wine, trifting At- Christ does not say, Hoc, est Sanguis meus, But, Hic, est Sanguis meus: I wonder why it should enter into any mans mind to write thus. For who fees not, that this makes nothing at all for him, nay rather against him? It had feem'd more for his purpose, if Christ had said, Hoc est Sanguis meus: For then he might have had some colour at least, whereby he might have Argnment of the Are refer'd the Article of Demonstrating, to the Wine. But tlele turn'd now, though Wine is of the Neuter Gender, yet Christ

against did not say Hoc, but Hic est Sanguis meus, And though

Bread

Bread is of the Masculine Gender, notwithstanding he fays, Hoc est Corpus meum, not Hic; That it may appear by both Articles, that he did not mean to give either Bread or Wine, but his own Body and Blood. Is't not very ridiculous, that Luther should imagine this Pronoun Hoc, not to be by Christ's intention refer'd to the Body, but only for the conveniency of the Greek and Latine Tongues; and therefore sends us back to the Hebrew? For the Hebrew, if't has not the Neuter Gender, cannot so conveniently declare to what Christ has refer'd this Article, as the Greek, or Latine can do.

For though in the Hebrew, the Article should be of the Masculine Gender, that is, Hic, est Corpus meum; nevertheless the matter would be lest doubtful, be cause that Speech might seem forc'd by the necessity of the Language, which has no Neuter Gender. But because Bread and Body are of different Genders in the Latine: He that Translated it from the Greek, should have joyn'd the Article with Panis, if he had not found that the Evangelical demonstration was made of the Body. Moreover, when Luther confesseth that the same difference of Gender is in the Greek, he might easily know, that when the Evangelists writ in Greek, they would have put in the Article relating to the Bread, if they had not known our Lords mind. But they were willing to Teach the Christians by the Article relating to the Body, that in the Communion, Christ did not give Bread to his Dif-ciples, but his Body.

Wherefore, when Luther to serve his own turn interprets the Words of Christ, Take, and Eat, this is my Body, that is, this Bread he had taken. Not I, but Christ himself Teaches us to understand the contrary, to mit, That what was given them, and seem'd

11 11 11 11 11 11

to be Bread, was not Bread, but his own Body: If the Evangelists have rightly deliver'd us the Words of Christ. For otherwise he should say, not Hoc, (that it might be expounded for Hic,) but more properly Hic Panis est Corpus meum: By which faying he might Teach his Desciples, what Luther now Teaches to the whole Church, to wit, That in the Eucharist the Body of Christ, and the Bread are together.

But our Saviour Ipoke after that manner, that he might plainly manifest, That only his Body is in the

Sacrament, and no Bread.

Argument

How magnificently Luther brings in this for his A very ri- Argument, That Christ speaks of the Chalice, which no body holds to be Transubstantiated: I admire the man's of Luthers not asham'd of so unmeasurable a folly. When Christ Says, This Chalice of the New Testament in my Blood: What does that make for Luther? For what elfe does it fignify, but that what he gave his Disciples to Drink, was his own Blood? Will Luther make appear by those Words of Christ, that the Substance of Wine remains, because Christ speaks of Blood? Or that the Wine cannot be chang'd into Blood, because the Chalice is still there? I wish he had chosen to himself some other matter in which he might have play'd and sported with less danger. For when he so much excuses the Bohemians and Greeks from Herely; as to call all the Roman Catholicks, Hereticks, he thews Himself to be a worse Heretick than either of those; who not only denies the Faithwhich the whole Church Believes, but also perswades People to believe worse than the Greeks or Bohemians ever did. I have thus far Difputed these things, that I might make appear, that what he Braggs, himself to make out, cannot be shewn by the Words of Christ, and the Evangelists: Nay in Them the quite contrary is very clear, to wit, That Bread is not in the Eucharift. Luther

Luther speaks of the Eucharists being call'd Bread, in the Acts of the Apostles: I desire he would shew us the place: For my part, I find none that is not Ambiguous, and which feems not rather to speak of a common Banquet, than the Sacrament. Yet I confess the Apolile speaks more than once of Bread, either following the custom of Scripture, which sometimes calls a thing not by the Name of what it is, but of what it was before: As when it fays, The Rod of Aaron devour'd the Rods of the Magicians; which then were not Rods, but Serpents. Or else perhaps content to call it, what in Species it appear'd to be: In that he thought it sufficient to feed the People with Milk, who as yet were but in-expert in Faith; and at first to exact nothing of them, but even to believe, that the Body of Christ was after any manner what soever in the Sacrament: But afterwards by little and little to feed them with more folid Meat, as they gather'd more strength in Christ. He might as well have also touch'd, in the Acts of the Apostles, at that place where St. Peter. fpeaking to the People, and infinuating into them the Faith of Christ, yet durst not as yet say any thing openly of his Divinity: So Cautious were they then of exposing rashly the secret Mysteries to the People.

But Christ made no doubt to Teach his Apostles, (whom he had so long time instructed in his own Doctrine,) the very first time he Instituted the Blessed Sacrament, that the Substance of Bread and Wine remain'd no longer in the Sacrament: But that the Forms of both remaining, the Substance was chang'd into his Body and Blood. Which he so plainly Taught, that it is a very strange thing that any body should ever after call in question a thing so clear in it self. For how could he have more properly said, that no Bread and Wine remains in the Sacrament, than when he said,

King Henry VIII's Affertion This is my Body, for he did not fay, My Body is in

Azainst Lutherout of Christs own words.

this, or with this which you fee, is my Body; as if it should consist in the Bread, or with the Bread. But this (fays he) is my Body. By that manifestly declaring, (to shut the Mouth of every Yelping Fellow) what he then gave, to be his Body. And though he had call'd what he gave to Apostles, By the Name of Bread, which he did not; yet when he should Teach them that were present, that what he call'd Bread, was no other thing but his Body into which by his Will the Bread was chang'd; none could doubt what Christ would have Us understand by the Name of Bread. And that Against have Us understand by the Traine of Community Luther by very Circumstance (for Luther admits Circumstances) evidently declares, that the Word Bread, when the Bread is turn'd into Flesh, signifies, (without any viclence to the Text), the Species, not the Substance of

stance.

Luther's Bread; unless Luther will therefore stick so closely to pleasant illusion.

the Propriety of Words, as to believe, that Christ was Wheaten or Barly-bread in Heaven; because he says of himself, I am the Bread which descended from Heaven: Or that he was a Vine laden with real Grapes, because he faid, I am the True Vine, and my Father is the Husbandman: Or that the Elect shall be rewarded in Heaven with Corporal Pleasures, because Christ said, I dispose unto you a Kingdom, as my Father has dispos'd unto me; that ye may Eat and Drink at my Table in my Kingdom.

Luke 22.

Luther takes a deal of pains to Confute the Arguments of the Neoteries, by which they endeavour'd to maintain, and prove Transubstantiation by Philosophical Reasons out of Aristotle's School; in which he troubles himself more than is requisite: For the Church does not believe it, because they dispute it, so to be: But because she believ'd so from the beginning, and that none should stagger about it, Decreed that all should so Believe. They therefore exercise their Wit with

Philosophical Reasons, that they may be able to Teach, that no absurd Consequence can follow that Belief; or that the change of Bread into a new Substance, does

not necessarily leave, but take away the former.

Luther says, This Doctrine of Transubstantiation is risen in the Church within this 300 years: Whereas before, for above 1200 years from Christ's Birth, the Church had true Faith: Yet all this while was there not any mention made of this Prodigious (as he calls it) Word of Transubstantiation. If he strive thus, only about the Word, I suppose none will trouble him to believe Transubstantiation; if he will but believe, that the Bread is changed into the Flesh, and the Wine into the Blood; and that nothing remains of the Bread and Wine but the Species: Which, in one word, is the meaning of those who put in the Word Transubstantiation. But after the Church Decreed that to be True, though this were the first time it should be ordain'd; yet if the Antients did not believe the contrary, although none should ever think of that thing before: Why should not Luther be Obedient to the prefent Decree of the whole Church, as perswaded that this is reveal'd now at length to the Church, which was hidden before? For as the Spirit Inspires Where he is you willing; so likewise he Inspires When he pleases.

But this is no fuch thing as Luther feigns, when he fays, This Doctrine of Transubstantiation is risen up within 300 years. But pray let it not vex him to allow us 400 years; for I think 'tis so many since Hugo de Santta Victore writ a Book of the Sacraments, in which, tho' not the Word it self of Transubstantiation, yet the Sense of his words you may find to be of the same effect. Tho' this Sacrament, (says he,) is but One, yet Three different things (are propos'd in it:) to wit, the Visible Form, the Real Presence of the Body, and Virtue

E

of Spiritual Grace. You see how he puts down the Accidents of Bread, not the Substance; and the true Substance of the Body, not the Form. And more plainly a little further: For what we see is the Species of the Bread and Wine, but what we believe to be under that Form, is the very Body of Christ which bung on the Cross, and the very Blood which flow'd from his side. He is yet clearer in another place, where he fays, By the word of Sanctification, the true Substance of Bread and Wine is turn'd, or chang'd into the true Body and Blood of Christ, only the Form of Bread and Wine remaining, and the Substance passing into another Substance. By this then it appears, that this Doctrine of Transubstantiation is some-what more Antient, than Luther feigns it to be. But for the better confirmation of this, we will shew, that what he thinks, to be rifen within 300 years, was the Faith of the Holy Fathers above 1000 years ago: For 'tis certain, that the Faithful for above 1000 years past, did believe the Substance of Bread and Wine to be truly chang'd into the Body and Blood of Jesus Christ. Which makes me wonder that Lutier's not asham'd of himself, to say, that this belief of Transubstantiation has not been in the Church above 300 years.

Eusebius. Emissenus

Who knows not that Eufebius Emissenus dy'd above 600 years since. Who, as if dreading the broaching of such false Opinions, said, Let all doubt or ambiguity of unsaithfulness be put away. For he that is the Author of the Gift, is also the Witness of the Truth, now the invisible Priest converteth by his secret Power the Visible Creatures into his own Body and Blood; saying, Take and Eat, this is my Body. Does not this Holy Man say most plainly, that the Substance of the Bread and Wine, is chang'd into the Substance of the Body, and Blood?

of the seven SACRAMENTS.

What could be faid more to the purpose, than this of St. Augustine? We Honour, (says he,) Invisible things, St. Aug. viz. The Flesh and Blood in the Visible Form of the Bread and Wine. He does not say in the Bread and Wine, but in the Form of the Bread and Wine. Its. ther denies that the Form of Bread is to be call'd Bread: And does he think that St. Auftin should call that, the Form of Bread, which is the true Substance of Bread?

Likewise St. Gregory Nissenus says, That before the St. Greg. Consecration, 'tis but Bread, but when 'tis Consecrated Nissenus. by Mystery, 'tis made, and call'd the Body of Christ: His faying that 'tis so, before the Consecration, gives us to understand, that 'tis not so after the Consecration.

Theophilus also expounding the words, Hoc est, &c. This is my Body, &c. fays, This, which now I give, and You receive. For the Bread is not a Figure only of the Body of Christ, but is chang'd into the Proper Body of Christ. And a while after, If we did see, says he, The Flesh and Blood of Christ, we could not endure to eat them. Therefore our Lord condescending to our weakness, preserves the Forms of the Bread and Wine, but changeth the Bread and Wine into his own true Flesh and Blood. Luther is here, by this Good and Learned Man, twice beaten down; For first he teaches, that That Article, Hoc, is not to be understood as Luther interprets it; Hoc, that is, Hic Panis: but Hoc, that is This, which now I give, and ye take. Secondly, he plainly fays, That the Form of the Bread and Wine remains, and that the Substance is chang'd into the Body and Blood. But what elfe do they mean who use the word Transubstantiation, than what Theophilus faid, not within 300 years, for he was dead some 100 years before the word Transubstantiation was used.

What need I mention St. Cyril, who not only af- St. Cyril. firms the fame thing, but almost in the same words?

E 2

For God, says he, condescending to our frailties, lest we (hould abhor Flesh and Blood on the Holy Altars, infuleth the force of Life into what is offer'd, by changing them into the Truth of his own proper Flesh. Moreover, that none should say that the Antient Fathers believ'd the Body of Christ in such manner, to be in the Eucharift, as that the Bread should still remain: Not only those things which I have related, do fully evince, (as plainly they do,) but likewise what we have above-related out of St. Ambrose, when he said, that although the Form of Bread and Wine is seen, nevertheless we are to believe that there is nothing else after the Confecration but the Body and Blood of Christ.

You fee how the Holy Father fays, That it is not only the Body and Blood, but that there is nothing befides them, although the Bread and Wine feems to be And he that speaks this, has not said it within. 300 years past, in which Luther seins that this Belief of Transubstantiation is risen: But he spoke it above 1000 years ago. Neither can I believe that any of the

bafflad.

28

Antient Fathers would have approv'd that fine Com-Luther's parison of Luthers, viz. Of Iron joyn'd with the Fire. comparison For none ever said that Iron is so converted into Fire, that the Form only remains, the Substance of the Iron being chang'd into that of the Fire: which was the Opinion of all the Antients concerning Bread and the Flesh of Christ; or if perhaps any one Person was of a contrary sentiment, yet one Swallow makes no Summer. And that Man, who ever he was, is rather to be excus'd for not perfectly feeing through a matter, at that time. not in dispute, than to be immitated, contrary to the belief of all the rest of the whole Church, and of so many Ages; in a thing which he, if a good Man, and now alive, without doubt would not argue against. For that Man that has so much esteem for the Body of

Christ

Christ as he ought to have, will more easily consent that any other two Substances should remain together, than that any other Body remain, mixt with the Adorable Body of Christ: seeing there is no Substance worthy to be mixt with that Substance which Created all Substances. Moreover, I suppose that the Primitive Fathers would as little approve that Comparison of Luther, by which he intends to prove, that the Bread remains with the Flesh, as God did remain with Man in the Person of Christ: For as the most Learned and most Holy of the Antient Fathers confess in divers places, that the Bread is chang'd into Flesh; so none of them were fo wicked or ignorant, as to think that the Humanity was chang'd into the Divinity: unless perhaps Luther will devise a new Person, that as God took on him the Nature of Man, so God and Man takes the Nature of Bread, and Wine: which if he believes, he shall be accounted an Heretick by all those who are not Hereticks.

Wherefore, (to conclude this Discourse of Transubstantiation, it evidently appears by Christ's words, and by the Judgement of the Holy Fathers, that the Faith of the Church at this present is true, by which 'tis believ'd, that the Substance of Bread or Wine do not remain in the Eucharist; whence it follows, that Luther's Opinion in teaching the contrary, is false, and Heretisal: from which perswasion, I admire what profit he promises the People: Is it as Luther says, That no Luther's body should esteem himself an Heretick, if perhaps he words. should be of his Opinion? But he himself confesses, that there is no harm in believing This as the Catholick Church now believes, but on the contrary, the whole Church takes him an Heretick, who is of Luther's Opinion; He therefore ought not to move any one whom he wishes well, to be of his Judgement, which is con-

demn'd

demn'd by the whole Church; but rather advise those he loves, to joyn themselves to those whom he himself witnesses to be in no danger. That way of Luther is therefore false, being against the publick Faith, not only of this time, but also of all Ages: Nor does he free from Captivity those who believe him, but drawing them from the Liberty of Faith, that is from a fafe hold, (as he himself confesses) he Captivates them, leading them into a Precipice, into In-accessable, Uncertain, Doubtful, and Dangerous ways. And he that loves danger, shall perish therein.

The end of those who believe Luther.

After this Man, who is free from any Evil, has escaped these two Captivities, which he imagines to himself: That he may not Captivate his mind to the Obedience of God, he overcomes (as he pretends) a third Captivity, and proposes a Liberty by which he may Captivate the whole Church. This, worse than Sacrilegious Caitif. Endeavours to scatter abroad the Churches most splended Congregation; to extinguish its Pillar of Fire; to violate the Ark of the Covenant; and to destroy the chief and only Sacrifice which reconciles us to God, and which is always offer'd for the fins of the People: For, as much as in him lies, he robs the Mass of all the Benefits that flow from it to the People, denying it to be a good work, or to bring to

them any kind of profit.

In which thing, I know not whether more to admire his Wickedness, or his Foolish Hope; or rather his mad Pride: Who feeing fo many Obstructions before him, as he himself mentions, brings nothing with him whereby to remove the least: But seems as if he would go about to pierce a Rock with a Reed. For he Luth. does fees, and confesses himself, that the Opinions of the nor answer Holy Fathers are assainst him, as also the Canon of the objections. Mass, with the cuttom of the Universal Church, con-

firm'd

of the seven SACRAMENTS.

firm'd by the use of so many Ages, and the Consent of fo many People. What Defence then does he oppose against so Innumerable, so Powerful, and so Invincible Armies? His accustom'd force rages, He strives to breed Discord, and move Seditions, to excite the Commonality against the Nobility; And that he may the more easily stir them up to a Revolt; He, by his foolish and weak Policy, falfly pretends that he has Christ for Captain of the whole Army in the Camp; and that the Trumpet of the Gospel sounds only for him: Which is the most ridiculous Stratagem that ever was invented. For what man living is fo Wicked or Blockish, as to think that the Church, which is the Mystical Body of Christ, should be in such manner Delacerated, as that the Head should be sever'd from the rest of the Members joyn'd together amongst themselves: Or that Christ, who never abandon'd the Flish which once He took, should have cast off the Church, for whose sake he took that Flesh: And that he should for so many Ages absent himself from Her, with whom He Promis'd to remain to the end of the World, and should Afrong now pass to Luther's side, who is her profess'd Ene-from Chr.'s my? But Pray let us fee, by what Enchantment he Promise. makes it appear for Truth, that Christ is on his side, as he braggs. After many Idle Circumstances, He goes about to define what the Mass is; afterwards he he seperates the Ceremonies of the Mass, from the Mass it felf; he examines the Lords Supper, and ponders the Words which Christ us'd in the Institution of the Sa-

crament of the Mals. And having found in them the Word Testament, (as if a thing very obscure,) he begins to Triumph, as though he had Conquer'd his Enemies: He beautifies with Words this his new-found Mystery: (as he calls it) And with great Gravity, as if twas never heard of be-

fore

fore, he Teaches us what a Testament is. He Bawls aloud, That it is to be mark d and taken notice of, that a Testament is the Promise of a dying person, by which he bequeaths the Inheritance, and Institutes Heirs: Therefore (says he) this Sacrament of the Mass, is no other thing than the Testament of Christ: And the Testament is nothing but the Promise of the Eternal Heritage: Giving his Body and Blood to us Christians, whom he appointed for his Heirs, as a sign for the ratifying his Promise: This he repeats over and over again, he inculcates, and fixes it; intending to make it his immoveable Foundation whereon to build Wood, Hay and Stubble: For in laying this Ground-work, That, Mass is the Testament of Christ. He boasts, That he will destroy all the Wickedness that Impious Men (as he says) have convey'd into this Sacrament: And that he will clearly prove, we ought to receive the Communion with Faith alone,

Luther's we ought to receive the Communion with Faith alone, most sacred without much regard to any manner of Goodworks what so opinions. ever; and by how much the more Erroneous our Consci-

ences are, and the more mov'd with the sting or titulation of our Sins, the more Holy is our state for to approach the Communion: But the more clear, pure and free from the stain of sin our Consciences are, in the worse capacity are we to Receive. Further (he says) that Mass is no Sacrifice; that it is only prositable to the Priest, not to the People: That it is nothing available, either to the Dead, or to the Living, That to Sing Mass for Sins, for any Necessity, or for the Dead, is an Impious Errour. That Fraternities, as also the Annual Commemorations for the Dead, are vain and wicked things. That our voluntary maintaining of Priests. Monks, Canons, Brothers, and

of which maintaining of Priests, Monks, Canons, Brothers, and number what soever we call Religious, is to be abolish'd. These thou Lutherefore, with many other great good things, he ther art also one.

Glories to have found out by this discovery of the Bestament of Christ. And

now

now he inveighs against the Sententious Doctors, as he calls them: He exclaims against all such as Preach to the People: Those for Writing, these for Preaching so much in the Defence of the Blessed Sacrament of the Eucharist, and neither of them saying any thing of the Testament, but most impiously concealing that most in-comparable good from the People, which so long since might words. have been prositably known. The Laity, (he says) neither alive, nor after Death, will ever receive any benefit by the Mass: For the Ignorance of which matter, he denounces all Priests and Monks at this day in the World with their Bishops and Superiors, to be Idolaters, and

in a very dangerous condition.

I do not therefore discuss how true that Mystery of Luther is, from which he attributes so much glory to himself, in applying so accurately his definition of the Testament to the Sacrament; yet at the same time, I do not fee why he should brag so much of this new Invention of his own. I do not know indeed who he hears Preach, where he is; But here, I am fure, we have heard Preachers, over and over again, not only Treat of those things which Luther brings out for so new and exquisite, viz. That Christ is a Testator; that he made his Testament in the last Supper; that he promis'd an Inheritance, which he declar'd to be the Kingdom of Heaven; That he instituted the Faithful for his Heirs; That the Sacrament is a Holy Sign, exhihited for a Seal; not only these, and such like, but also the number of Witnesses, the Bill and other Rites of Testaments they unfolded to Us out of the deepest Secrets of both Laws, and apply'd all of them exactly to the Sacrament. And this they did more Consciously, and truly than Luther; For they referr'd to the same Testament, not only what Christ did at his last Supper, but also what he suffer'd on the Cross. Only in this differing from Luther,

Luther, that they did not find out the admirable and hitherto unheard of Benefits of the Mass, by which the Clergy should loose all the Fruits of it in this Life, and the Laity in the Life to come: For neither would the People maintain the Clergy to fay Mass, if they should be perswaded they could reap no Spiritual Good thereby.

But 'tis worth our while to fee from what Tree Luther gathers this Fruit. After he has very often repeated, that the Sacrament of the Eucharist is the Sign of the Testament, and the Testament is nothing else but the Promise of Inheritance; he thinks that it consequent-Luther's ly follows, that the Mass cannot be a Good Work, or a Sa-

ment, that crifice. To which, if any one consents, He must im-Mass is no mediately admit that Catalogue of Plagues, by which good nork. he endeavours to confound the whole face of the Church. But if you deny it, then can he do nothing with fo monstrous a delign: For I am almost asham'd of the Arguments by which he pretends to Teach thefe things, they are so trifling, and frivolous in a matter of fo great Majesty. Thus he concludes; (for I will give you his own words,) You have heard that Mass is nothing else but the Divine Promise or Testament of Christ, commended by the Sacrament of his Body and Blood: Which if it be true, you understand, that by any means it cannot be a work; nor is it to be us'd after any other manner, than by Faith alone: And Faith is not a Work, but the Mistress and Life of Works. 'Tis a strange thing, that after so much pains taking, he vents nothing but meer Wind: Which though he would have us believe it to be of strength to over-turn Mountains; yet truly to me, it feems not of force enough to shake a Reed. For if you withdraw the coverings of his words, with which (like an Ape in Purple) he decks this ridiculous matter. If you take away the Exclamations, where-

by

by he so often Rails, and Insults as a Conquerour; though as not yet enter'd the Battle against the Church; Or if he had clearly prov'd the thing, you'll find that nothing remains, but a naked, and miferable piece of Sophiftry. For what else has he said by all that heap of Words, but that Mass is a Promise, and therefore no work. Who would but pity this man, that is 10 Blockish, as not to perceive his own Impertinency; or if he understands himself, who would but take it hainously from him, that thinks all Christians so dull, as not to discover or comprehend so manifest Follies. I shall not dispute with him about the Testament or Promise, or the whole Definition, or application thereof to the Sacrament. I will not trouble him so much, he may perhaps find others who will ruine the best part of his Foundation, by faying, That the Testament is the Promise of the Evangelical Law, as the Old Testament was of the Law of Moses; and by denying it to b rightly handled by Luther. For neither was the Testator particularly to Name what he should leave to the Heir, whom he had appointed over all in general; nor is the remission of Sins, which Luther says, rates the To be bequeath'd for an Inheritance, The same with the restament Kingdom of Heaven, but rather the way to Heaven. of Christ. If any one should urge, and press Luther in these, and such like sayings, he might perhaps, by fastening these Engines in any part of his Structure, shake the whole frame thereof; but I shall leave that to such as will be willing to do it: And because he desires his Foundation should remain unshaken, I shall not go about to move it; I will only shew, that the House he has built upon it, falls of it felf. And to shew this more plainly, let us consider a little the Original of the matter, and examine the Mass by its first Patern.

Our Lords Supper exrellently explain'd

26

King Henry VIII's Affertion
Christ in his most Holy Supper, in which he instituted this Sacrament, made of Bread and Wine, his own Body and Blood, and gave to his Disciples to be eaten and drunk: A few hours afterwards he offer'd the same Body and Blood on the Altar of the Cross, a Sacrifice to his Father for the fins of the People, which Sacrifice being finish'd, the Testament was consummated. Being now near his death, he did (as some dying Persons are wont to do,) declare his Will concerning what he defir'd should be done afterwards in Commemoration of him. Wherefore, instituting the Sacrament, when he gave his Body and Blood to his Disciples, he said, Do this in Commemoration of Me. He who diligently examines this, will find Christ to be the Eternal Priest, who, in place of all the Sacrifices which were offer'd by the Temporary Priesthood of Meles's Law, whereof many were but the Tipes and Figures of this Holy Sacrifice, has inftituted One Sacrifice, the Greatest of all, the Plenitude of all, as the Sum of all others, that it might be offer'd to God, and given for Food to the People: In which thing, as Christ was the Priest, so his Disciple did for that time represent the People, who themselves did not Consecrate, but Receiv'd from the hands of their Priest, the Consecrated Sacrament. But God did shortly after Elect and Institute them Priests, that they might Consecrate the same Sacrament in Commemoration of him.

And what else then is this, but that they should Confecrate, and not only Receive it themselves, but likewise give it to the People, and offer it to God: For if Luther should argue that the Priest cannot Offer, because Christ did not Offer in his Supper, let him remember his own words, That a Testament involves in it, the Death of the Testator; therefore has no Force or Power, nor is in its full Perfection, till the Testator

be dead. Wherefore, not only those things which Christ did first at his Supper, do belong to the Testament, but also his Oblation on the Cross: For on the Cross he confummated the Sacrifice which he began in the Supper: And therefore the Commemoration of the whole thing, to wit, of the Confecration in the Supper, and the Oblation on the Cross, is Celebrated, and Represented together in the Sacrament of the Mass, and therefore the Death is more truly represented than the Supper. And therefore the Apostle, when writing to the Corinthians, in these words, As often as ye shall eat this Bread, and drink this Cup, adds, not the Supper of our Lord, but ye shall declare our Lord's Death.

Let us now come to Luther's chief Reasons by which he proves Dats to be neither Good Work, nor An answer sacrifice. And tho it were better first to treat of Sa-Arguments crifice, yet because he has first mov'd concerning Work, we will follow him. When therefore he thus argues, Dass is a Promise, therefore no Good Work, because no Promise is a Work. We answer, that the Mass which the Priest Celebrates, cannot more properly be call d a An efficacional Promise, than the Consecration of Christ was. And tation of all under one, we'll demand of him, if Christ did not Luther's do a Work when he Consecrtaed? which if he deny, Argument. we shall certainly begin to admire that there should be fome Work done by him who cuts an Image out of Wood, and not by Christ, when he made his own Flesh of Bread? And if Christ did any Work, I am cer- A confirtain none will doubt of its being a Good Work: For if mation of the Woman who pour'd the Oyntment upon his head, the confu-wrought a Good Work in that, who doubts of his performing a Good Work, when he gave his Body for our Nourishment, and Offer'd it in Sacrifice to God. If this cannot be deny'd, unless by him who intends to trifle in fo ferious a matter, neither can it also be deny'd.

that

that the Priest Worketh a Good Work in the Mass, seeing that in the Mass he does nothing else but what Christ did in his Last Supper, and on the Cross; for this is declar'd in Christ's own words, do this in commemoration of me. By which words, what was he willing they should represent, and do in the Mass, but what he had done himself in his Last Supper, and on the Cross?

For he Instituted, and began the Sacrament at his Last Supper, which he perfected on the Cross. And from this reason especially it seems, was taken the occasion of mingling Water with the Wine, according to the Custom of the Church, because Water and Blood did flow from the fide of Christ, dying on the Cross.

Since it cannot be deny'd that Christ wrought a Good Work in his Last Supper, and on the Cross; neither can it be deny'd, that the Priest represents, and performs the same things in the Mass: How can't then be fein'd that the Mass is not a Good Work? Wherefore, seeing Luther so handles the matter, as to say, That because the Communion of one Lay-Man does not profit another of the Laity, so neither does the Mass of the Priest profit the People. How dim of fight is he himself, and how he endeavours to spread his darkness over the eyes of others, when he fees not that there is this difference in the Case, That now the Laity receives out of the Priests hand, as the Apostles did first from Christ: And the Priest performs what Christ did then perform, for he offers to God the same Body that was offer'd by Christ.

Luthers gument.

3 8

From whence also it appears how cold an Argument second Ar- is Luther's Comparison of the Mass, with the Sacrament of Baptism or Marriage, endeavouring to prove, that because one Lay-Man cannot be Baptiz'd for another, nor Marry a Wife for another Man; so a Priest

cannot Celebrate Mass for any other Person: For he openly puts Marriage out of the number of the Sacraments, and Baptism too, under a colour; when he fays, That really there is but One Sacrament: Why. then does he now compare Baptism and Marriage with the Sacrament of the Mass, if he does not hold them to be Sacraments?

And although he should confess them both to be Sabe compar'd to this of the Mass; but in such manner furation. as this Sacrament, which is the proper Body of him who is Lord of all Sacraments, may have a prerogative above all other, which he himself made: seeing 'tis manifest, that the Priest in Administering all other Sacraments, does good to all those who receive them; so in this. while he offers it in the Mass, he is profitable,

and Communicates Good to all.

Otherwise, if Luther exact with such severity, that all Sacraments should be alike, and no difference amongst them: and that in the Sacrament of the Eucharist, the Priest's condition is no better than that of the Laity; why compels he not the Priest to receive the Communion from the hands of another, and not fuffer him to take it himself, tho' he can Consecrate it; Even as he cannot absolve himself, tho' he has the Keys of Penance?

And what he fays of Faith, which he believes all Men are to have in their own Persons, and that not the Luthers

Priests, but every Mans own Faith, is that which pro- Arguments

fits him even (faxe be 1.4. About 1. fits him, even (fays he,) As Abraham has not believ'd for all the Jews. I allow it to be very true; Yet it proves no more than what it proposes: For neither has Christ An Elegant himself, offer'd by himself on the Cross, Sav'd the Confusation People without every Mans paticular Faith; that none on of the may think the Mass of any Priest should do it: yet the Mass of every Priest helps those to Salvation, who by

their

their Faith have deserv'd to be partakers of the grea-

test Good Communicated in the Mass to many.

It may likewise be sometimes advantagious to the procuring the infusion of Faith into the Unfaithful, as 'tis procur'd by the Death and Passion of Christ, that Grace should be given to the Gentiles; by which through the hearing of the Word, they might come to the understanding of the Faith of Christ. But Luther eafily perceives that it is no hard matter to destroy what he himself has built, if Mass can be a Sacrifice or Offering, which may be offer'd to God: He therefore Promises to remove this Obstacle, which that he may the more easily feem to do, he objects against himself such things, as he perceives to stand in his way. And now, (says he) another the greatest and most spacious of all Scandals is to be taken away, that is, Mass believ'd every where to be a Sacrifice offer'd to God; which Opinion the words of the Cannon feems to Favour, where 'tis said, These Gifts, these Presents, and these Holy Sacrifices: And below that, This offering. He likewise complains, that it is taken for a Sacrifice, &c. From thence Christ is call'd, The Hoste of the Altar. To this may be added the Words of the Holy Fathers, so many Examples, with the constant custom observ'd over the whole world.

You see Gentle Reader, what Blocks he himself finds standing in his way: Take notice now with what Herculean strength he undertakes to remove them. But to all these, (says he,) are constantly to be opposed the Words and Example of Christ. But Pray what words of Christ are these, which have been unknown to so many Holy Fathers in times past, and to the whole Church of Christ during so many Ages, and now by Luther, like a new Esdras, sound out? This he declares himself, when he says, For unless we bring it

to pass, that Mass be accounted a Promise or Testament, as the words clearly make out; we loose the whole Gospel, and all Comfort: These are his Words, it now remains that we see his Example. Christ, says he, at his last Supper, when he instituted this Sacrament, and bequeath'd the Testament, He offer'd it not to God the Father, and has not performed it as a good a Work for others: But fitting at the Table, he propos'd the same Testament, and exhibited a fign to every one of them. These are therefore the words of Christ; This the example, by which now at last only Luther himself clearly sees Mass, nei-

ther to be a Sacrifice nor Offering.

'Tisa wonder that of so many Holy Fathers, of so many Eyes which have read the Gospel in the Church fo for many Ages, that none was ever fo quick-fighted, to as perceive a thing so apparent; and that at this pre-fent time they are all so Blind, as not to discern what Luther (though he points it out with his finger,) brags fo clearly to fee himself. Is not Luther rather mistaken, and thinks himself to see something which in reality he swer. fees not, or endeavours to shew us with his Finger, that which is no where to be found? For pray what proof is that for a proof, when he undertakes to Teach, That Mass is no Sacrifice, because 'tis a Promise; as if Promise and Sacrifice were as repugnant together, as Heat and Cold? Which reason of his is altogether so weak, that it feems not worthy an Answer. Forthe so many Sacrifices of Moses's Laws, though all Figures of things to come, yet were they *Promises* in themselves, Promising mises of the the things for which they were done. Not only the Sacrifice of the old Tefuture of which they were Figures, but also *Delive*- ftament. rances, Expiations, Purgations, and Purifications of the People then present, for whom they were solemnly offer'd every year. Which thing being so apparent, that it leaves no Plea for Ignorance, makes Luther's

The An-

diffimulation appear altogether ridiculous; when arguing that this thing cannot be done; which not only he himself, but all the People know to have been so often perform'd. Now come we to the Example of Christ, by which Luther thinks he so vehemently oppresses us: Because Christ in his last Supper did not use the Sacrament for a Sacrissic, nor has he offer'd it to his Father: out of which he goes about to prove, That the Mass, which ought to agree with the Example of Christ, by whom it was Instituted, cannot be a Sacrissic,

or Offering.

If Luther so rigidly Summons us to the Example of our Lords Supper, as not to permit the Priests to do any thing that we do not read Christ to have done in it: Then must they never receive themselves the Sacrament which they Confecrate: For we do not read in the Gospel, where it mentions the last Supper of our Lord; that our Lord himself receiv'd his own Body: And though some Doctors, and the whole Church, do hold that he did Receive it; yet that makes nothing for Luther, who discredits not only all the Doctors, but the Faith of the whole Church; and thinks not any thing to be believ'd, but what's confirm'd by Scriptures, and that clearly too; (for fo he writes in the Sacrament of Orders.) In which fort of Scripture, I am of opinion he will not find that Christ Receiv'd his own Body at his last Supper. Whence it will follow, as I have faid, That the Priests ought not to take what they Confecrate themselves, if He binds us so strictly to the Example of the last Supper. But if then he allows that the Priests are to Receive, because the Apofles did so; and that he holds they are commanded to do what the Apostles did then, not what Christ has done: Then must they never Consecrate; for Christ, and not the Apostles did then Consecrate. The matter

it self shews, that in this the Priests do not only perform what Christ did in his last Supper, but also what he has afterwards done on the Cross. The Apostles presents, leaving us some things by Tradition, which Christ einst only the Supper. ther never did, or which we do not Read that he had Supper, but the done; as the Ceremonies and Signs us'd in the Confe- Paffion. cration, of which I believe most are deliver'd down to us from the Apostles themselves. Furthermore, they repeat some words in the Canon of the Mass, as if spoken by Christ himself, which are not read in Scripture, and yet there is no doubt but he spoke them; for many things were faid and done by Christ, which are not recorded by any of the Evangelists, but by the fresh memory of those who were present: Deliver'd afterwards, as it were from Hand to Hand, from the very times of the Apostles, down to us. Luther doubts not, that Christ said in his last Supper, As often as ye (ball do this, ye (ball do it in Commemoration of me; And he is so sure that they were Christ's Words, that from thence he takes his Argument; That no body is oblig'd to receive the Sacrament, but that it is left to every mans Descretion, and that we are only bound, as often as we do it, to do it in remembrance of Christ. These very words he does not read in the Evangelists concerning the Supper of our Lord: For no other thing is read there, but, Do this in Commemoration of me.

Where then read he these, As often as ye shall do these things: Whether, not in the Mass? Indeed I believe no where else. For the Apostles words are not so; wherefore seeing he trusts so much in these words, and uses them, because he finds them in the Canon; why does he not give fo much Credit to that part of the same Canon, in which Mass is call'd an Offering,

and Sacrifice?

Wherefore, if he confess that the Priests do rightly receive what they Confecrate in the Mass, though no clear Scripture (which only he admits of,) testifies Christ to have done it at his last Supper, nor in any other place. He ought not to wonder if the Priest osters Christ to his Father; which Christ himself has done on the Cross, as 'tis witness'd by clear Scripture in several places. For Luther's own Arguments demonstrate, that the Cross belongs to the Testament made at the Supper, when he fays, That the Testament involves the Death of the Testator, by which alone it can be made perfett. Moreover, it seems, as is said, that the mingling of Water with the Wine, had its beginning from no other place; which thing is not faid by Scripture to be done at the last Supper, but on the Cross. Let Luther therefore forbear to oppose his triffing Argument; That because Christ at his last Supper did not offer himself, therefore the Priest must not be believ'd to offer him in the Mass. In which he not only represents what Christ perform'd in his last Supper, but also what he did on the Cross, on which he Cosummated what he began in the Supper.

But now come we to the last of Luther's Argument ments, by which, as by a Sacred Anchor, his Ship is of Luther. Sustain'd: And this is the most frivolous of all the rest. How can it be, (says he) that the Priest should offer to God what he takes himself? 'Tis not likely (fays he) Mass should be a Sacrifice, when we receive it our selves. The same thing cannot be received, and offer'd at one and the same time, nor given and received by one and the Same Person. Luther deters us every where from Philosophical reasonings, when he in so Sacred a thing endeavours to sustain himself by the meerest Sophistry
Luther's in the World. For Pray was there ever a Sacrifice
rance. in Moses's Law, which was not taken by those who

offer'd.

of the seven SACRAMENTS.

offer'd it? Or did God himself Eat what they offer'd him? Shall I Eat the Flesh of Bulls, or Drink the Blood Pfal. 49. of Goats, faith the Lord? Besides, if Christ was both Priest and Sacrifice; why could he not institute that the Priest who should supply the same Sacrifice, might both Offer and Receive the Victim himself? But least I may seem in this Case to imitate Luther, who has nothing to say for himself, but what issues out of his own idle Brain. I will lay before you what St. Am- St. Ambr. brose says to the Mass, O. Lord God, (says he) with how great Contrition of Heart, with what Fountains of Tears, with how great Reverence and Fear, with what Chastity and Purity of Mind that Divine and Calestial Mystery is to be Celebrated: Where thy Flesh is truly Receiv'd; where thy Blood is truly Trank, where the Lowest is joyn'd to the Highest; and Divine things with Humane: Where the Saints and Angels are present; where after an admirable and unspeakable manner thy self art both Priest and Sacrifice? Who shall be able to Celebrate this Mystery worthily, if then Almighty God do not render him worthy that Offers? You see how the Holy Father in this place calls Mass an Oblation, and says that Christ himself is both Priest and Sacrifice in it, even as he was on the Crofs. Let Luther see how much he attri-

manner he imitated him in his writing. Which of the Faithful (lays he) can doubt but that in the very time of the Immolation the Heavens are open'd to the Words of the Priest, in that Mystery of Christ: That Choins of Angels are present; that the Lowest things are associated to the Highest: That Earth is joyn'd with Heaven: and that of Visible and Invisibles is made one thing? And in another place, For this singular Victim which renews to us the Death of the only begotten, does loofe our Souls from

butes to this Mans Authority; but St. Gregory makes st. Greg, appear how much he had him in esteem, when in this

46

King Henry VIII's Assertion

Eternal Death. Nor speaks he less to the purpose,
when he says, Hence therefore let us ponder with our selves, how much that Sacrifice stands us instead, which always imitates the Passion of the only begotten Son. We see, that not only St. Ambrose, but also St. Gregory calls Mass an Immolation and Sacrifice, and contesses, that not only the last Supper of Christ, (as Luther holds) but also his Passion is represented in it.

But these Fathers were not of that Judgement alone, s. August. for St. Augustin confesses the same thing in divers places; who of the Mass, says thus, The Oblation is every day renew'd, though Christ has but once suffer'd: Because we daily Fall, therefore is Christ daily Offer'd for us. Also, the Eucharist is a Blessed Offering, by which we are Bleffed: An Enrollment by which we are all Enroll'd in Heaven: A Ratification whereby

we are Muster'd in Bowels of Christ.

Seeing therefore that Mass is by so Holy and Learn'd Men call'd an Offering, and a Sacrifice: And that they are of Opinion, that not only the last Supper of Christ, but also his Passion, is by it Commemorated: That they confess so Immense and great Advantages to proceed from it; and that the Church agreeing with them, Sings the same in the whole Mass: I much admire with what face Luther dares to cry out on the contrary, that Mass is no Sacrifice or Offering; and that it brings no profit to the People, deriding the Authority of so many Holy Fathers, or rather of the whole Church, by his most vain Device; as if all things which were done, and said of Offering and Sacrifice in the Mass, were understood of the Reliques of the Jewish Ceremonies, in which he says, The Priest did heave up what was Offer'd by the People: Which Comment of Luther's did seem so foolish and absurd, even to himself, that he doubted whether he should withstand the Senti-

of the feven SACRAMENTS. ments of the Holy Fathers, and the Customs of the whole Church, by such a Babling Argument, or rather

says he, that if we have nothing at all to say against them; 'tis more safe to deny all things, than to Confess that Mass is a Work or Sacrifice, least we deny the words of

Luther's openly despise them: For, says he, what shall we say words. to the Canons and Authorities of the Fathers? I answer,

47

Christ, corrupting them together with the Mass. Nevertheless, that we may agree with them also, we will say that Luther all these things are the Reliques of Jewish Ceremonies. great Be-Least therefore there should be nothing said, this Ci-nignity. vil man, tendering the Repute of the Holy Fathers, and the Honour of the whole Church, least they might be thought to speak foolishly, will seem to oblige them, by covering their shame with the Vail of his most excellent Devices, concerning the Reliques of the Jewish Rites: Which if any body remove, 'twill be to their danger. For Luther does not ingeniously apprehend; that if any one urge him more narrowly, he would rather blow away all the Testimonies of the Holy Fathers, and the Customs of the Church, then that he should allow Mass to be a good Work, or a Sacrifice; that is, rather then allow That to be True which is True. For in that (he fays) they deny Christ's words, and corrupt Faith with Mass, who affirm Mass to be a Sacrifice: I suppose there are none will believe him, unless he first shew that he has Read another Gospeli different from that the Holy Fathers ever Read, or that in Reading the same he has been more diligent then They, or has better understood it; or finally, that he is more careful about Faith, than ever any man before. him was.

But I believe he will not prefer any other Gospel un- Luther to Us; nor if he do, will it be admitted, though an Learn'd Angel from Heaven should descend with it. And that man, or awhich Fool.

which he proffers, has not been more diligently examin'd, nor more narrowly pry'd into by Him, then it has been try'd and search'd into by others heretofore; of whom none ever faid, that they found in it; what He Boasts himself to have found, viz. That Mass is not a good Work, that it is not an Oblation nor a Sacrifice. Laftly, if any one diligently confider, what has been written by the one and the other, he cannot be Ignorant what difference has been in their care about Faith: Those Holy Antient Fathers have observ'd, that as this is the chiefest of all Sacraments, as containing in it the Lord of Sacraments; so is it the only Sacrifice, which alone remains instead of so many Sacrifices of the Old Law; and lastly of all, the Works that can be done for the Salvation of the People: This without comparison is the best and most wholesome. For when other Sacraments are only profitable to par-The Ex- ticular persons receiving them: This in the Mass, is cellency of Beneficial to all in General. And when Prayers made to God by one man for another, may not only be hindred, but also render'd ineffectual, through the fault of Men: The Merciful bounty of God has instituted Mass for the Salvation of the Faithful, in which his own Body should be offer'd a Sacrifice so wholesom, that the wickedness of no Minister, be it never so great, is able to lessen, or avert the Benefit of it from the People.

The most Holy Fathers seeing these things, took all possible care, and us'd their utmost endeavours, that the greatest Faith imaginable should be had towards this most Propitiatory Sacrament, and that it should be Worshipp'd with the greatest Honour possible: And for that cause, amongst many other things, they with great care deliver'd us this also; That the Bread and Wine does not remain in the Eucharist, but is truly

The Do-Arine of the Fathers

the Mass.

48

Chang'd

Chang'd into the Body and Blood of Christ. They taught Mass to be a Sacrifice in which Christ himself is truly Offer'd for the Sins of Christian People. And so far as it was lawful for Mortals, they Adorn'd this Immortal Mystery with Venerable Worship, and Mistical Rites: They commanded People to be present in Adoration of it, whilst it is Celebrated for the procuring of their Salvation. Finally, least the Laity by forbearing to receive the Sacrament, should by little and little omit it for good and all: They have establish'd, that every man shall receive at least once in a Year. By those things, and many of like nature, the Holy Fathers of the Church in several Ages, have demonstrated their Care for the Faith and Veneration of this Adorable Sacrament. Luther ought not therefore to boast, of what nevertheless he does; that they who call Mass a Sacrifice, or says that it is profitable to any, but to him who receives the Sacrament in it, does corrupt the Word of Christ, Faith, and Mass it felf.

But it will not be amiss, to consider after what manner Luther sustains upon his Shoulders the Word of like Atlas. Christ. Faith and Mass it self, that they may not become corrupted, or fall. First of all, he changes the Name it self of the Sacrament, into a worse: And that which was for so many Ages call'd the Eucharist, or the Sacrament of Christ's Body; least the Name of it should put the Auditors in mind of the Majesty of it, he commands to be call'd Bread: Afterwards, the Bread and Wine which the Antients held to be turn'd into the Body and Blood of our Lord, are by Luther taught to remain entire; that so by little and little, he may traduce the Honour from Christ to the Bread. After this, though he does not condemn the Church for having adorned and amplified Mass, with Rites and Ceremonies.

Luther

Yet he thinks it should be more Christian like, if the Pomp of Vestments, Singing, Gestures, and other Ceremonies were laid aside; that so it might be more like and near to the first Mass of all, which Christ Celebrated in his last Supper with his Apostles; or rarather, that nothing may be left that might move the fimple minds of the Vulgar fort, and bring them to the Worship of this Invisible Deity, through the Majesty of Visible Honour. Moreover, he teacheth, and as much as in him lyes, inculcates, that Mass is not a good Work, not a Sacrifice, not an Oblation, nor profitable to any of the People. To what purpose Pray is Luther this so Evangelical a Lecture? To wit, that all the People leaving Mass to the Priest, to whom alone from Mass. they must be perswaded that it is profitable, may

People

therian crament.

themselves neglect it, and pay no Duty to a thing The Lu- unprofitable to them. Lastly, That when they Communicate, if they only have but Faith, that they are preparation mandate, if they only have but Faith, that they are to the S₁- about to receive the Testament; whatfoever Consciences they bring; nay, the more Erroneous they are, and the more troubled with the Sting and Concupiscence of Sin, the more are they to assure themselves to be partakers of the Divine Promises; especially because this Sacrament is the Medicine of Sins past, prefent, and to come: Which would find no room for it felf in those who should purge themselves with greatest Anxiety from the Diseases of Sin; and according to the Precept of the Apostle, proving themselves, they may approach our Lords Table with as pure and sincere a Conscience as may be possible; that seeing they cannot say we are Justify'd, at least they may say we are guilty of nothing to our selves. After Luther therefore has taught this short and compendious preparation for Receiving the Eucharist, to wit, In the Faith alone of the Promise; without any good Works, and

a light examination of Conscience: That nothing be wanting to the absolute Sanctity of Receiving the Sacrament; he shews his desire concerning what time, and how often he is willing the People should be oblig'd to receive; and that is, in no time at all. And why fo? What? Is there any one fo blind, as not to fee what this so palpable a matter drives at? Certainly nothing elfe, but that the People may by degrees quite give over Communicating at all; who at first chang'd the daily Receiving, into a Seventh-day Communicating: and after, to a longer time; and at last would forfake it altogether; if the Fathers, fearing that should happen, had not Decreed, that every man should Receive thrice in a year, threat'ning, that he who would not Obey, should not be accounted a Christian: Yet nevertheless that Custom could they not continue long; so that at last the matter fell so low, that it could descend no lower; fo that now we are oblig'd to Receive but once in a year: Which Custom, if Luther could demolish, as he endeavours, the World would e're long (through the decay of the Fervour of Faith,) be reduc'd to what it should have come to long ago, if it had not been prevented by this Solemn Custom of Receiving every year; that at last there would scarce remain the least footstep of the Communion amongst the People, nor perhaps among the Clergy neither, if Luther could bring it about that Mass should be so spoil'd, not only of its Preparation and Ceremonies, but also of the Peoples Refort, Hope and Veneration to it. These are the Excellent Promises of Luther; this is that spacious Liberty he Promises to all those who forsake the Catholick Church, to follow him, viz. That they may be freed at last from the Use and Faith of the Sacrament. Wherefore, I forbear to speak any more of this thing, as being so clear in it self, that it needs no fur-H 2 ther

King Henry VIII's Affertion
ther dispute. And seeing we have discover'd the
Crasty winding of the subtil Serpent, which being now feen, (as without doubt they are to all who are not quite Blind) 'tis not necessary to exhort any Body to shun apparent Evils. I believe none are so mad, as to forsake the Church of God, for the Synagogue of Satan.

That, shuning the Service of Christ, to serve whom Those who is to Reign, he may List himself into the Liberty propals from the church pos'd by Luther; where, under the Name of Liberty, pass from of Christ to he should wilfully, and to his own knowledge, put the Liberty his foot into the snare of the Devil. But rather let all of Luther. the Faithful of Christ say with the Psalmist. We will Pfal. 118. not decline from thy Judgements, because thou hast appointed us a Law.

Of BAPTISM.

S for the rest of the Sacraments, 'tis not necessary to stand long upon them; most of which he takes quite away from us: And the Sacrament of the Eucharist being almost the only One, he vouchsafed to leave us; has by him been handled in fuch a manner, as we have already shewn you, so that none can doubt but he devis'd by little and little to demolish this also: Nor does he praise any one of the Sacraments, unless to the prejudice of another; for he so much extols Baptism, that he depresses Pennance: Though he has treated of Baptism it self after such a manner, that it had been better he had not touch'd it at all. For first of all, that he might feem to treat with a great deal of Sanctity

of the Seven SACRAMENTS.

Sanctity in a matter so Holy, He by a long Discourse Teaches that the Divine Promise is to be believ'd, by which he Promises Salvation to them who Believe, and are Baptiz'd. He is angry, and reproaches the Church for not Teaching this Faith to the Christians: As if in any place they were so Ignorant of Christian Faith, as not to understand this: And yet Luther proposes it. for a new thing, almost never before heard of, to the

Reproach of all the Doctors.

But this is no new method of his Proceedings, to trifle in things known, as though they had before never been heard of. And having in many words shewn what this Faith is, he afterwards extols the Riches of Faith, to the end he may render us poor of good Works, without which (as St. James saith,) Faith is altogether dead. But Luther so much commends Faith to us, as not only to permit us to abstain from Good-works; but also encourages us to commit any kind of Action how bad soever. For (says he) you see now how Rich the Baptiz'd Man is, who cannot loofe his Salvation, though willing to do it, by any Sin what soever, except Infidelity: For no Sins can damn him, but only Incredulity. O most Impious Doctrine, and Mistress of all Impiety, so hateful in it self to Pious Ears, that there is no need to confute it: Adultery will not Damn then? Murder will not Damn? Perjury will not Damn? Is not Patricide Damnable neither? If every one believe that he shall be Sav'd, through the Virtue of the Promise alone in Baptism: For this he openly afferts; Nor does the words, which he presently adds, correct this Sentence in any wife; but rather add to the force of it: For he faith, That all other things, if Faith return, or standin the Divine Promise made by the Baptized, are Luther's swallow'd in a moment in the same Faith; rather by the Words.

Truth of God, for he cannot deny himself, if you confess him,

him, and stick Faithfully to his Promise: By which words, what else does he fay, but what he has said before, that Infidelity excepted; All other Crimes are in a moment swallow'd up by Faith alone; if you Confess Christ and stick Faithfully to his Promise. That is, if you firmly believe that you are to be Sav'd by Faith; what soever you do not with standing. And that you may the less doubt what he aims at, Contrition, (says he) and

words.

Luthers Confession of Sins, as also Satisfaction, and all these bumane Inventions, will for sake you, and leave you the more unhappy, if you busie your selves with them, forgetting this Divine Truth. What Truth Pray? This, that no Sins can damn thee but Insidelity only. What Christian Ears can with Patience hear the Pestilentious hissing of this Serpent, by which he extolls Baptism for no other end, but to depress Penance, and establish the Grace of Baptism for a free liberty of Sinning? Contrary to which, is that Sentence of St. Hierom, which

St. Hierom.

fays, That Penance is the Table after Ship wrack; But this agrees not with Luther, for he denies Sin to be the Ship-wrack of Faith, and disputes it as if that only word should totally destroy all the strength of Faith. But, beside Luther, who is Ignorant that a Sinner not only is not Sav'd by the only Faith of Baptism, but also the Baptism will add to his Damnation? And indeed defervedly, because he has offended God, from whom he had the whole Grace of Baptism, and God exacts the more from him to whom he has given the more: Therefore fince Faith becomes dead by wicked Works, why can it not be faid, that he fuffers Ship-wrack who falls from the Grace of God, into the Hands of the Devil: From which, without Penance, he cannot escape, or be renew'd to such a Condition that Baptism may be profitable to him? Has St. Hierom written wickedly in this? Does the whole Church follow

of the Serven SACRAMENTS.

an Impious Opinion, for not believing Luther, that Christians are safe enough by Faith alone in the midst of their Sins, without Penance? Moreover, he is so taken up with the Faith of the Sacrament, that he cares not much for the form of Words, though nevertheless the word by which the Water is signified, ought to be of no less moment; than the Water it self, in which, if he thinks that any care is to be taken, that it may be Pure and Elementary; ought not some true form also to be carefully instituted, and us'd, as is approv'd, and now observ'd in the Church, aud was for-

merly in use amongst the Antients.

After this, he so magnifies Faith, that he seems almost to intimate, that Faith alone is sufficient without the Sacrament. For in the mean while, he deprives the Sacrament of Grace; he fays, That the Sacrament it self profits nothing; he denies that the Sacraments confer any Grace, or that they are effectual figns of Grace, or that the Sacraments of the Evangelical Law differs in any kind from those of the Mosaical Law, as touching the Ball cacy of Grace: Which matter I shall not much dispute: But yet it seems to me, that seeing all things were but Figures with the Jems, the truth of which we have in the Christian Law; it may not be abfurd to believe, that the Sacraments which the Church uses, do so far excel those of the Synagogue, as the New Law surpasses the Old; that is, as much as the Body is more excellent than the Shadow: Nor am I the first, or only man of this Opinion. For Hugo de Sancto Victore, whom none esteems other than a good and Learned man, has spoken thus, We say, that all Hugo de Sacraments are certain figns of Spiritual Graces which sanct. by them are confer'd. Moreover, that the signes of Spi-Victor. ritual Graces, according to the process of times, ought to be fram d more evident and plain, that the knowledge of

55

Truth

Truth might increase with the effect of Salvation. And a little further, Because Circumcision could only lopp off Exterior Enormities, but not clean e the inward filth of Pollutions. A washing Font of Water susceeded Circumcision, which purgeth the whole, that perfect Justice may be signified. I hope no body will deny, that this Doctor is of Opinion, That the Sacrament of Baptism cleanses Internally; and more efficacioully fignifies perfect Justice, than ever Circumcision did. In which Two Opini- matter Luther takes notice of two Opinions, and re-

Power of ment of Baptism.

ons of the futes both: The first is, Of many who have supposed the Sacra- Some Secret and hidden virtue to be in the Word, and Water, which should work the Grace of God in the Soul of the Baptized. The other is, Of those who attribute no virtue to the Sacraments, but were of Opinion, That Grace was conferr'd by God alone, who according to his Covenant is present to the Sacraments instituted by himself. But because all agree in this, That Sacraments are Essicacious figns of Grace, Luther rejects the one as well as the other: For my part, as I do not know which of the Opinions is the truer, so neither dare I be so bold as to contemn either of them. For that very Opinion which now is the less affented to, to wit, That the Water by virtue of the Word, has an occult Power of Purging the Soul, feems not to be altogether abfurd. For if we believe, that Fire has any influence over the Soul, either to punish or purge sins; what hinders, that Water should by the Power of God, by whom also that other thing is done, penetrate to wash away the Uncleanness of the Soul. Which Opinion seems to be much confirm'd by the words of St. Augustine, when St. August he says, The Water of Baptism toucheth the Body, and washes the Heart. And also that of St. Beda, who says,

Beda.

That Christ by the touch of his most pure Flesh, has given the Water a Regenerate Power. Likewise that of the Prophet of the seven SACRAMENTS.

Prophet Ezechiel seems to incline towards this, I wash'd thee with Water, and cleansed thy Blood from thee: Ezech 10. Which words, though they were spoken in times past before Baptism was Instituted, are notwithstanding, according to the custom of the Prophets, understood of the future. Neither speaks he only of the washing of the Body, in which nothing's worthy the Pradication of a Prophet; Nor was ever any other washing which wash'd the Crimes of the Soul, but the Sacrament of Baptilm, of which Ezechiel seems to have spoken in the person of God, Prophesying that there should be a suture Cleansing in the Sacrament of Baptism by the washing Font of Water. Which by the same Prophet is more plain a little after, when he speaks of the future. I will pour out (saith he) clear Ezec. 36. Water upon you, and I will cleanse you from all your Iniquities. Whether does he not here Promise a cleansing by Water? Yet Zacharias seems to unfold the matter more apparently, when he says, Living Water shall flow out from Jerusalem, the one half to the Eastern Sea, Zach. 14. and the other half to the Great Sea. Does not this Discourse manifest unto us the sigure of Baptism, viz. Water slowing from the Church, which should purge both Original and Actual sin, which he does not call dead, but living; that he might demonstrate, as I suppose: That by the secret Sanctification of God, the force of Spiritual Life is infus'd into a Corporal Element. Although I do not presume to judge, (as I have said already,) nor am I curious after what manner God infuses Grace by the Sacraments, because his ways are inscruitable: Yet I believe, that by one way or other, that this Water should not be idle, where he fore-tells fo many, and so great things, were to be done by Water: Especially, since Water, Salt, and other Corporeal Things, do receive Spiritual force by the Word of God.

God, without the Sacrament of Faith; unless all those things should be spoken in vain, in which Lights, Fire, Water, Salt, Bread, the Altar, Vestments, and Rings, are either adjur'd by Exorcisms, or Blessed by the Invocation of Grace.

If those things, I say, receive any Virtue or Prefence of the Divinity without the Sacrament: How much more credible is it, that the Water flowing from Christ's side, does insuse a Spiritual Power of Life into the Fountain of Regeneration? Of which Christ himself says, That he who is not born again of Water, and of the Holy Ghost, shall not enter into the Kingdom of Heaven; To which (as the Apostle saith) we are call d in Baptism. In which Baptism, I am not against Luther, for having attributed fo much to Faith: But on the other fide, I would have him attribute fo much thereto, as by It to defend an Evil Life, or exterminate the Sacraments, which it ought to form. But when he requires that certain and indubitable Faith in the Receiver of the Sacraments; for my part, I think 'tis rather to be wish'd for, than exacted. For I do not doubt, but when St. Peter did exhort the People after this manner, Do Penance, and be Baptiz'd every one of you, in the Name of Jesus Christ; and receive you the Gift of the Holy Ghost unto the remission of Sins. I doubt not but he was ready to receive all the People to Baptism; yet not so suddenly to have exacted that high, certain and indubitable Faith of Luther from them, which none would have been able to have known himself to have attain'd to: But he promis'd Remisfion of Sins, and Grace from the Sacrament it self, to all those who should but only present themselves, and desire it: For an undoubted and certain Faith, is a very great thing, which happens not always, nor to every Body; no, not perhaps to them who do not doubt

James & State & State

of the seven SACRAMENTS.
doubt but they have it. I indeed shall not doubt to hope, but the Benignity of God assists in his Sacraments, and by means of Visible Signs, insufes Invisible Grace; and helps the Tepedity of Believers, by the Faith Fervour of his Sacraments: That many obtain Salva-grounded on Reason tion by the Sacraments, who can promise no more to and the themselves of their Faith, than he could, who said, Scriptures Lord I believe, help my unbelief. In which thing, if Mark 9. any, befides my Adversary, think I attribute too much to the Sacrament; let him know, I define nothing, I appoint nothing in any Case, which may be prejudicial to Faith, from which I derogate nothing: But as I do not think, that Faith alone, without the Sacrament, is fufficient for him who may receive it; fo neither can the Sacrament suffice him without Faith, but that both ought to concur and co-operate with their Power: And I think it more fafe to allow something to the Sacrament, than, like Luther, to attribute so much to Faith, as to leave neither Grace, nor the efficacy of a Sign to the Sacrament.

Besides, he makes Faith nothing else but a Cloak for a wicked Life, as we have before more fully declar'd; and that this may the more appear, after he has depriv'd the Sacraments of Grace, he robs the Church of all Vows and Laws; nor does it at all move him, that God said, Vow, and render to God your Vows. But as for Vows, I make no doubt but some of those whom he calls Vovists and Votaries, will undertake to make Answer for their own Profession: For at once, he ries.

turns them almost altogether out of the Church.

But as for the Laws, I admire, that he could for shame, invent such ridiculous Things; as if Christians could not Sin, but that so great a multitude of Believers should be so perfect, that nothing needed to be order'd, either for the Honour of God, or the avoid-

Luther ing of Wickedness. But by the same Work and PoDecrees
great liber. licy, he robs Princes and Prelates of all Power and
ty to Chriflians. Authority; for what shall a King or Prelate do, if he
cannot-appoint any Law, or Execute the Law which
is before appointed; but even like a Ship without a
Rudder, suffer his People to float without Land?
Where then is that saying of the Apostle, Let every

Where then is that saying of the Apostle, Let every Rom. 13. Creature be subject to the Higher Powers? Where is that other of his, If thou dost Evil, fear the King, 'tis not without reason that he carries the Sword? Where is I Tim. 1. that, Be Obedient to your Governours, whether to the

Tim. 1. that, Be Obedient to your Governours, whether to the King as Excelling? And what follows? Why then Coloff. 3. does St. Paul say, The Law is good? And in another

place, The Law is the Bond of Perfection?

Furthermore, why does St. Augustin say, The Power of the King, the Right of the Owner, the Instruments of the Executioner, the Arms of the Soldier, the Discipline of the Governour, and the Severity of a good Father, were not instituted in vain. The first have all their Customs, Causes, Reasons, Profits; and when the others are Fear'd, evil men are Restrain'd from doing evil, and the Good live quietly amongst the Wicked: But I forbear to speak of Kings, least I should seem to plead my own Case. I only ask this, That if none, either Man or Angel, can appoint any Law among Christians? Why does the Apostle Institute for us so many Laws; as for Electing Bishops; for Widdows; Covering the Heads of Women, &c. Why has he ordain'd that a Christian Woman should not forsake her Husband, though an Insidel, if she be not by him first abandon'd? Why dares he say, I my self speak to the rest, not the Lord? Why has he exercised so great Power, as to command the Incestuous to be deliver'd over to Satan, to the destruction of the Flesh? Why has St. Peter strucken Ananias and Saphira his Wife with the like punishment.

punishment, for reserving to themselves a little of their own Moneys? If the Apostles did of themselves, befides the especial command of our Lord, appoint so many things to be observ'd by Christians; why may not those who succeed them, do the same for the good of the People? St. Ambrose Bishop of Millain, a Holy man, (not arrogant) has scrupled, in commanding that Married persons through his whole Diocess, should abstain from their Lawful Pleasures during the whole time of Lent. And does Luther take it so hainously, that the Pope of Rome, Successor of St. Peter, Christ's Vicar, to whom, as to the Prince of the Apostles, 'tis believ'd that Christ gave the Keys of the Church, that by Him the rest should enter, or be kept out, should Institute a Fast, or Prayers? As for his perswading men to Obey outwardly in Body, but yet to retain to themselves their Liberty in Mind, who is so blind as not to fee his Shifts and Quirks? Why carries this simple man, this Hypocrite, both Water and Fire? Why does he (as it were in the words of the Apostle) command not to serve Men; not to be subject to the Statutes of Men: And yet notwithstanding command to shew Obedience to the unjust Tyranny of the Pope? Does the Apostle Preach after this manner? Kings have no right over you, yet suffer you an unjust Empire.

Masters have no right of Power over you, yet suffer an unjust Servitude. If Luther is of Opinion, that People ought not to Obey; why does he fay, they must Obey? If he thinks they ought to Obey, why is not he himself Obedient? Why does this Quack Juggle thus? Why does he thus reproachfully raise himself against the Bilbop of Rome, whom he says we ought to Obey? Why raises he this Tumult? Why excites he the People against him whose Tyranny, (as he calls it) he says is to be endur'd? Indeed I believe, 'tis for

62

no other end, than to procure to himself the good esteem of such Malefactors as desire to escape the punishent due to their Crimes, that so they might choose Him for their Head, who now Fights for their Liberty, and demolish Christ's Church, so long founded upon a firm Rock, erecting to themselves a new Church, compacted of Flagitious and Impious persons, contraty to that exclamation of the Prophet, I have abhorr'd the Church of Evil-doers, and I will not sit with the Impious: Direct me in thy Truth, for thou art God my Saviour, and thee have I sustain'd all the day long.

Of the Sacrament of PENANCE.

T troubles me exceedingly to hear how Abfurd, how Impious, and how contradictory to themselves the Trifles and Babbles are, wherewith Luther Bespatters the Sacrament of Penance. First, after his old custom, he proposes for a new thing, what is by every body commonly known, viz. That we ought to believe the Promise of God, whereby he promiseth to those who Repent, Remission of Sins: And then he cryes out reproachfully against the Church, for not Teaching this Faith. Who I pray you, exhorts any one to the Penance of Judas; that is, To be forry for what he has committed, and not expect Pardon? Who should tell us, that we ought to Pray for Remission of Sins, if he did not teach Pardon to be promis'd to the Penitent? What is more frequently Preach'd than the Clemency of Almighty God, which is so great, that he mercifully extends it to all persons who are willing to reform

their

of the leven SACRAMENTS.

their wicked Lives. Did no body, besides Luther,

ever read, That at what time soever a Sinner Repents of his Sins, he shall be saved? Has none ever read, that the Adultress was dismissed? That the Prophet was Pardon'd, who was not only guilty of Adultery, but of Muther also? That Paradice was given to the Thief on the Cros; and at that time too, when he could not Cancel his Crimes committed, by any fatisfaction? They who instruct the People, are so far from not teaching them this hope of obtaining Pardon; which Luther crys is past, that they rather seem to do it too much; the People being so easily inclin'd to relye upon this Confidence, that there is a greater need of recalling them to the other fide; whereby they may contemplate the severe and inflexible Justice of God: For there is ten to be found, who fin in the too much Confidence of that Promise; rather than one who Despairs of obtaining Pardon. Let Luther then propose That no more for a thing so new, and strange to us, which every body already knows. Let him not any longer complain, that this is out of use, than which nothing is more usual.

Of CONTRITION.

Aving thus blotted out, (says Luther) the Pro-mise and Faith: Let us see what they have substi-tuted in their places. They alotted, (says he) three parts to Penance, Contrition, Confession, and Satisfaction. All which three he so handles, that it appears well enough that none of them pleaseth him. First of all, he is very angry with Contrition, and calls the Anger Luther of God insupportable, because place is given to At displeased with Attrition, and God is believ'd to supply by the Sacrament, trition.

what

What is wanting to man in the Sorrow for his Sins, 64

when 'tis less vehement.

Let us fee how well he maintains what he fays; what he brings against himself. He teaches Contrition to be a great thing, not easily had: He commands all men to be certain that they have it; and to believe undoubtedly, that through the words of the Promife, all their Sins are forgiven them, and that after they are loofed by the Word of Man here on Earth, they are Absolv'd by God in Heaven. In which, thing his own Affertion will either fall back upon what he has already reprehended, or else will appear much more

For God has either promis'd to forgive Sins through Penance, to those only, who grieve as much for them as the nature and greatness of their Sins require, or to those who grieve not so much; or finally to such, as are in no wife forry for their Sins. If he has promis'd forgivenness only to those, who are as Contrite as the greatness of their Crimes require: Then cannot Luther himself, (as he commands all others to be,) be assur'd, and out of doubt, that his Sins are forgiven him. For how will he be certain of his obtaining the Promise, when he can in no wise know that he is sufficiently Contrite for his Sins: For no mortal Man has ever yet known, how great Contrition is requir'd for mortal Sin. If God has promis'd Pardon to such as are less Contrite, than the greatness of their Sins require, then has he Promis'd it to such as are call'd Attrites; and by that Luther agrees with those he but now reprehended. But if God has promis'd it to such as have no manner of Sorrow for their Sins, he has furely fand on all much more promised it to fuch as are Attrite, that is, to fuch as are in some manner forry. Wherefore if he admits, but only Contrition, that is a sufficient grief,

Ades.

abfurd.

then

of the Seven SACR AMENTS.

then can no body be affur'd, that he is Absolv'd; and so Luthet's certain and undoubted Confidence of Abso-

lution, will perish, or be False, and Erroneus.

But he if fays, That the Sins of fuch as do only perform a flack or Luke-warm Penance, are not otherwise forgiven, than by the Sacrament of Penance; by Confessing themselves Sinners, and asking, and obtaining Pardon by the Mouth of their Brother. What is this different from the Opinion of those whom he reproves? Who say, that Attrition, by means of the Sacrament of Penance, is made Contrition? For what is wanting to Men, is supply'd by the Sacrament, or else Luther's polition, That man must be certain of Abso- Luther lution, is false: Whether he will or no, he must ad-birinth. mit, if not the Word Attrition, at least the thing fignified by it: Which if he grants, (as he will do, if he fly not from his own Opinion,) 'tis a very unseafonable trifle of him to contend concerning the Word, and to allow the Effect. Again, He fets upon the whole Church with magnificent Words, as though it perversely taught Contrition, in exhorting us to acquire it by the Collection and Aspect of our Sins: When we ought to be first taught, as he says, the Beginnings and Causes of Contrition, to wit, The immovable truth of Divine Threatnings, and Promises: As though such things were not every where taught among the People; many passages of Scriptures for that Opinion being alledged, not less Threatning, nor less Comfortable; the Causes likewise added to procure Contrition; nor less Efficacious, than those which Luther exacts, and much more Holy. For these Causes do almost propose nothing, but the fear of Punishment, or the hopes of Reward; which is a Conversion not so acceptable to God, as a Conversion caus'd by Love. That may be done, not only by proposing what Luther advises, viz. Gods Threat-

66

Threatnings, and Promise of Remission; but also what they Teach, whom Luther derides, as if they Taught nothing at all, to wit, The Bounty of God towards Us, and his exceeding great Benefits conferr'd upon Us; when, not only undeserving Good, but even demeriting Evil. For the Sinner having consider'd these things, will rather be touch'd with Sorrow, for having offended so Pious a Father; than so Potent a Lord; and will less dread his own Funishment, than Gods Anger: Neither will he be so desirous of Heaven, as of Gods Favour: This consideration of Divine Bounty formeth Contrition; Knowest thou, O Man, (says the Apostle,) That the Bounty of God invites thee to Penance? And as I have said, forms a more Holy Contrition, than that which from the fear of Punishment, and hopes of Pardon, is form'd by Luther; who Boasts, that no body Teaches Threatnings, but himself; when all men do Teach them, and better too.

Of CONFESSION.

E so treats of Confession, as to hold, That in publick Crimes, where the Sin is known to all People, without Confession, there (where 'tis less matter,) Confession is to be made. But in the Confession of secret Sins, he has so uncertain turnings; that though he seem not altogether to reject it, yet can it not be known by him whether he admits it as a thing commanded or no. For he denies it to be prov'd by Scripture, and yet says, That it pleases him well, and that it is prositable and necessary; Yet he does not say it to be necessary to all; but as I suppose, only for to pacify troubled Consci-

ences; giving it to be understood, that if any Body have a Conscience like his own, which should be either safe for his own Sanctity, or assur'd of the Word of the Divine Promise, he need not confess his secret Sins at all; otherwise, if any man be scrupulous, he may Confess himself to quiet his Conscience. Wherefore, feeing he has so dubiously suspended his words, I have thought fit to speak somthing more plainly of the necessity of Confession: And because he denies the Confession of secret Sins to be prov'd by Scripture; I will in the first place propose that passage in Ecclesiasticus, which seems to many, besides me, to comprehend all the three parts of Penance. My Son, (saith he) neg-lest not thy self in thine Instrmity, but Adore our Lord, and he will Cure thee; Turn thy felf from thy Sins, and lift up thine Hand, and Cleanse thy Heart from all Sin. For God Cures, whilft he loofes in Heaven what the Priest has loos'd on Earth: We lift up our Hands in a Satisfaction; We turn from our Sins by Contrition: And in Confession we Cleanse our Hearts from Sin: According to that of the Prophet, Pour out your Hearts Plal. 61. before him. St. Chryfostom also comprehends the three parts of Penance, when he says, Perfect Penance com- St. Chrys. pells the Sinner to endure all things willingly: And further, he says, Contrition in his Heart, Confession in his Mouth, A perfect Humility in his Works; this is Fruitful Penance. This also makes for Confession. Know the face of your own Cattle: But how can he prov. 27. know it, if it be not shewn him? What is more clear than that in Numbers the 5. The Lord spoke to Moses, saying, speak to the Children of Israel, When a Man or Woman has Committed a Sin, of all the Sins which are wont to happen unto Men; and have through negligence transgress d the Commandments of our Lord, and have sinned; they shall Confess their Sins. To this also belongs

King Henry VIII's Affertion
longs that of the Jewish Old Law, which had all things
in Figure, The People Infected with the Leprosy were 6.8 commanded to shew themselves to the Priest. For if God has therefore written in the Law, You shall not Levit. 13. That he might admonish us, that it is but just, that he Deut. 15. that serves at the Altar, should live by the Altar, (as the Apostle declares, who says, That this is written in the Law, not for the Oxen, but for Men: For what care, cor. 9. Saith he, takes God for Oxen?) There is no reason of doubt, but that by this Leprofy of the Body in the Carnal Law, was fignify'd that of Sin in the Spiritual Law. And that Christ might bring us to the understanding of this by degrees, he said to the Lepers which he cleanfed, not only from the Leprofy of the Body, but also of the Soul; Go shew your selves to the Luk. 17. Priest. That of St. James also, Confess your Sins to Jam. 5. one another: Though I am not Ignorant of the various Interpretations given by many to this place; yet I am of Opinion, and many more besides me, that it is commanded of Sacramental Confession. Or doth not that manifestly consist Confession, which our Lord faith by Esais, Declare thou thy wickedness that thou mayest be Justify'd? If the Authority of the Fathers ought to have any Credit, sure it deserves it in this. s. Ambros. St. Ambrose faith, No man can be justify'd from Sin, if he do not Confess his Sin. What can be more plainly s. Chrys. spoken? Moreover, St. John Chrysostom says, He cannot receive the Grace of God, unless he be cleansed from all his s August. Sins by Confession. Lastly St. Augustin, Do Penance, such as is done in the Church; Let no man say to himself, I do it secretly, because I do it with God: Therefore without reason was it said, What you shall loose on Earth: Therefore without reason is it, that the Keys were given.

Put the case, that not one word was particularly, or

figuratively read of Confession, nor any thing spoken of it by the Holy Fathers. Yet when I consider that all People have discover'd their Sins to the Priests, for so many Ages: When I confider the good that continually follows the practice of it, and no Evil at all; I cannot think, or believe it to be establish'd, or upholden by any Humane Invention, but by the Divine Order of God. For the People could never by any Humane Authority be induc'd to discover their secret Sins, which they abhor in their Consciences, and which they are so much concern'd to conceal, with fuch shame, and Confusion; and so undoubtedly to a man that might, when he pleas'd, betray them. Neither could it happen, that among such great numbers of Priests, some good, and fome bad, indifferently hearing Confessions, they should all retain them; and that also, when some of them can keep nothing else secret: If God himself, the Author of the Sacrament, did not by his especial Grace, defend this fo wholesom a thing. For my part, let Luther say what he will, I believe that Confession was Instituted, and is preserv'd by God himself; not by any custom of the People, or Institution of the Fathers.

Now Luther's condemning the reservation of some Sins, by which a particular Priest is restrain'd from remitting all; but that some are not forgiven, but by the hand of a Bishop, some by the Hand of the Pope himself; This shews how this popular man so levels all things, as that, through the hatred he bears to the Chief Bishop, he casts all other Bishops into the rank of the lowest Priests, being so blinded with Malice, as not to discern furisdistion, from Order; Nay, so blind, as not to see any Order at all, but mingles, and confounds all things with horrour, and reduces Priests themselves into the Rank of Laymen. Seeing God has form'd this his Church-Militant, to the example of the

Triumphant: Why, reading there so many Degrees, fo many Orders, admits he in this neither Degree, nor Order, nor any difference at all? Why then has the Apostle writ so much of Bishops, if a Bishop has no more Power over his Flock, than any other Priest, nor than a Lay-man? But we will speak of the Laity hereafter, let us now speak of Priests. Every Priest indeed has Orders, but not Authority of Judging, (any thing belonging to him that 'Absolves') before the care of some Flock be committed unto him: Yet he is thought a fit person for it before. If the Bishop then, who has care of the whole Diocess, commits any part of his Care to a Priest; does not Reason teach us, that this Man can bind or loofe no more than what the other has permitted him, without whose command, he could not have bound or loofed any thing at all amongst the People; for the same thing is not lawful for the Bishop to do in another Diocess. What wonder then, if the Bishop reserves some things to himself, whose Care is greater than what might be committed to every person, though not the least Learn'd? When it has been for so many Ages observ'd, fearing least the People should fall more pronely into Sin, when the Power of Remission should be propos'd to them in so easie a manner. Luther now at last, that no body through the difficulty of Penance should be deter'd from Sin, commands every thing to be permitted to every person; not to Priests only, but also to the Laity. Nay, he comes to that height of madness, that though Women have commonly that bad esteem of Luther not being able to Conceal any thing of a Secret; yet is he willing Men should have them to Hear their hear Con- Confessions. But I suppose, since the Apostle permits not a Woman to Teach, Euther will not make her a Priest; because he denies almost any to be a Priest,

permits Women to feffions.

70

who is not a Preacher. But the Sentiments of the Holy Fathers, declare, That we ought to Confess our Sins only to Priests, unless otherwise forc'd by necessity. Let him come, (saith St. Augustin) to the S. August. Priests, who can administer to him the Keys of the Church. He does not say, Let him come to Lay-men, or let him come to Women. The fame thing he further tells us more plainly in another place. He that Repents, let him truly Repent; let him signify his Grief by Tears; let him present his Life to God by the Priest; let him prevent the Judgement of God by Confession. For the Lord commanded them that should be cleans'd, that they should show themselves to the Priest. By this, Teaching us, that Sins are to be Confess'd by a Corporal presence. Likewise Pope Leo, Christ gave this Power to the Gover- Pope Leo. nours of the Church, that they should give the satisfaction of Penance to them that Confess. Further, Venerable Beda, Letusdiscover our light and daily Crimes to our Co-equals, and our grievous Sins to the Priest; and as long as they have Dominion in us, let us take care to purge them; for Sins cannot be forgiven without Confession. Moreover, what should Confession availus, if Absolution did not follow by the Keys of the Church. But this Power (faith St. Ambrose) is given only to s. Ambros. Priests. In another place he declares what the sense of these words are, when he says, The words of God remit Sin, the Priest is Judge. Likewise St. Augustine in another place writes most plainly, saying, He that doth Penance without the appointment of the Priest, frustrates the Keys of the Church. Now let any one Judge of the Truth of Luther's Opinion, who, contrary to the Sentiments of all the Holy Fathers, draws the Keys of the Church to the Lairy, and to Women: And fays, that these words of Christ, What soever you shall bind, &c. Are spoken, not only to Priests, but also to all the Faith-

ful. Marcus Æmilius Scaurus, a man most Excellent, and of known Honesty, being accus'd at Rome to the People, by Varius Sucronensis, a man of little Sincerity: His Accuser having made a long and tedious Discourse; Scaurus confidenly relying on the Judgement of the People, not thinking him worthy of an Answer, said, Romans, Varius Sucronensis says it, Amilius Scaurus denies it; which of them do you believe? By which words, the People applauding this Honourable Man; Scorn'd the idle Accusation of his Babling Adversary. Which Discourse seems not to agree more to them, then to what we here state: For Luther says, That the Word of Christ concerning the Keys are spoken to the Laity; St. Augustin denys it: which of them is the rather to be believ'd? Luther affirms, Bede denies; whether of them will you believe? Luther affirms, St. Ambrose denies; whether of them has the greatest Credit? Finally, Luther affirms it, and the whole Church deny it: Whether do you think is to be believ'd? But if any body be so mad, as to believe with Luther, that he ought to Confess himself to a Woman; perhaps it may not be amiss for him also, to follow that other Opinion of Luther; in which he perswades us, not to be too careful in calling to mind our Sins. For certainly 'tis not altogether convenient to be too Solicitous in examining your memory for what you are to put into fuch a persons Ear, who has so large and passable a road from her Ear to her Tongue. Otherwise, seeing it may be done without any such danger; I shall not scruple to prefer before the Councel of Lu-Isaiah 38. ther, the example of the Prophet; who saith, In bitterness will I reckon over all my years unto thee: All my years, (says he) and that in bitterness: For such a Confession, not only cleanses from Sins past, but also begets abundantly new Grace; according to that of St. Ambrole,

of the seven SACRAMENTS.

Ambrose, S. Peter became more Faithful after he bewail'd the loss of his Faith; and so he obtain'd a greater Grace than he had loft. St. Gregory following him, fays, That Life which is fervent in Love after Sin, is much more acceptable to God, then Innocency that is sluggish in security. When Luther calls them idle People, who are of Opinion that the Circumstances of Sin are to be Confess'd: See how much in this St. Augustin differs from him, when he fays, Let him consider the quality of the Crime; as to Place, Time, Perseverance, Distinction of Persons, and with what Temptation it was done; how often the Sin was Committed? For a Fornicator ought to repent according to the Excellency of his State, or Affairs, and according to the quality of the person with whom he has sinned, according to the Crime it self; if in a Sacred place, in time of Prayer, as Holy-days, and times of Fasting; he is to consider how long he persisted in Sin, and let his Sorrow be according to his Perseverance in Sin, and by what affault he was overcome; for some there are, who far from being overcome, do voluntarily offer themselves to Sin; nor do they stay for Temptation, but prevent the Pleasure: Let him consider with what pleasure, and how often, he has committed the Sin: All these Circumstauces are to be Confess'd, and Bewail'd, that when he has known his Sin, he may foon find God Propitious to him. In pondering the weight of his Offences, let him Consider of what Age he is, of what Understanding, and Order: Let him ponder each of these singly, and examine the manner of the Crime, purging with Tears every quality of the Vice. Hitherto the words of St. Augustin: That Luther may not think that Circumstances do not appertain to Confession; who has more diligently reckon'd up the Circumstances of Sins, than this Holy Man? I scarce know, whether Luther will find any one of these he calls idle. But if the various Circumstances of Sin is 1.

fo

fo diligently to be call'd to mind, how much more are hainous and different Crimes to be collected, and our Conscience diligently to be examin'd, that if possible, we may not let one Sin escape our knowledge? For what Luther darts as a keen shaft, That no body can can possibly Confess all his Sins, because none can remember them all, is indeed but a very obtuse one: For who knows not, that none of those who said, All Sins are to be Confess'd, was so stupid as to think, that a man must tell the Priest in his Ear, what came not into his own memory to Confes?.

Of SATISFACTION.

Know not how Luther will fatisfy others concerning Satisfaction: For my part, I think that rather than he would be Silent, he would chuse to speak many things of no fignification at all. For first, when he says, That the Church so Teaches Satisfaction, as that the People can never understand true Satisfaction, which Luther's is a Renovation of Life; Who does not see it to be a Calumny? Who taught Luther, that the Church does not teach, That we ought to renew our Lives? He has not travell'd over the whole Church, He has not been present at all Confessions, to hear this Ignorance of the Prieft; 'Tis necessary then, that he has the Holy Ghost in his bosom, or some Devil in his Breast, who has inspir'd this into him. . But what Spirit soever this was, it could not be a good one, that taught him a a Lye, but that Spirit of whom it is said, The Devil isa Lyer, and the Father of Lyes; Because there is none that

OPER Calumny.

of the seven SACRAMENTS.

that knows not That to be false, which Luther affirms to be true: For who was ever so Doltish, as to enjoyn fuch fatisfactory Works for past Sins, as should indulge the future? Who does not, continually when he Absolves, pronounce these words of Christ, Go, and Joh. 8. sin no more? And that of St. Paul, As you have exhibited your Members to serve uncleanness, and Iniquity, Rom. 6. unto Iniquity, so now exhibit your Members to serve Justice unto Santtification. Who has not read that of St. Gregory, We are not able to perform our Penance, st. Greg. as we ought, unless we know the manner of the same Penance? For to do Penance, is to bewail our Sins formerly committed, and resolve not to do any thing hereafter that we should have cause to forrow for. For he that laments the past, so as to commit the future, knows not how to perform Penance, but dissembleth. For what avails, it to any body, to grieve for his Sins of Luxury, and yet to burn with Covetousness? If there were nothing of this said: Yet seeing the Priest imposes Penance for Sins committed, he shews that the thing it self is not to be again committed, which must again be punish'd. 'Tis therefore very evident, that Luther has no regard to what he fays, so he may fay but somewhat that may flander the Church: Which thing always appears wherefoever, as in some matter of great moment, he cryes aloud, even as he does in these words: For what monstrous things are we indebted to thee thou See of Rome, and to thy Murthering Laws and Rites, whereby thou hast so destroy d the whole World, that People think they can satisfy God for their Sins, by Works; when nothing, but the Faith only of a Contrite Heart, can satisfy: Which by these Tumults thou not only putst to Silence, but even oppressest, only that thy Insatiable Blood-Suckers may have People to say to them, bring, bring, that you may sell Sins. Who would not L 2 think,

think, by reading these so furious and Tragical words, but Luther had discover'd some great, and abominable Prodigies in the Roman See? But he that diligently examines all these things, will see that, The Mountains brings forth a ridiculous Mouse, For first, how ridiculous is that exclamation of his against the See of Rome? As if Works of Satisfaction were only exacted, and Penance impos'd only at Rome, and not through the whole Church in all parts of the World: Or, as if many of the Lams, which he calls Murthering Lams, were not ordain'd in former times by the Holy Fathers, and publick Confent of all Christians in Synods, and General Councils. Finally, when he fays, That we cannot satisfy God by Works, but by Faith alone; If he means, that by Works alone without Faith we cannot do it; he shews but his folly, by railing against the See of Rome, in which none was ever yet so foolish, as to say, that Works without Faith can satisfy; being not ignorant of that of St. Paul, What is not of Faith is Sin. But if he thinks that Works are superfluous, and that Faith alone is sufficient, whatever the Works be; then he fays fomething, and Dissents truly from the Roman Church; which, with St. James believes, That James 2. Faith without Works is dead. You see how impertinently Luther troubles himself, who so inveighs against the Roman See, as in the mean while thus to involve himself in the snares of Folly and Impiety. Although indeed, I think 'tis more probable, that Luther is of Opinion, that Faith without Good-works is always sufficient to Salvation: For that he is of that Opinion, evidently appears; as well by other passages of his, as by his saying, That God does nothing regard our Works, nor has any need of them: But he has need

Luther's that we should esteem him true in his Promises. What wards. Luther meant by these words, he knows best himself.

For

of the seven SACRAMENTS.

For my part, I believe, that God cares for our Faith God e-and our Works, and that he stands in need of neither works. our our Faith, nor our Works. For though God has no want of our Goods, yet has he fo much care of what we do, that he commands some things to be done, and forbids other things: Without whose care, not so much as one Sparrow falls to the Earth, Fire of which Luke 12. are fold for two Farthings. But because Luther urges, that a Penitent ought only to renew his Life, and neglect to undergo any Penance from the Priest, for his past Sins: Let us here what St. Augustin has writ on this Subject: 'Tis not sufficient, (says he) to change our S. August. Manners to better, and for sake our former Wickedness; unless we do also satisfy our Lord, for the Sins committed, by the forrow of Penance, by the Sobbs of Humility; by the Sacrifice of a Contrite Heart, with the Co-operation of Alms-deeds, and Fasts. And in another place, he faith, Let the Penitent deliver himself altogether unto the Judgement and Power of the Priest. Reserving nothing of himself to himself, that he may be ready to do all things, as he is commanded towards recovering the Life of the Soul; which he should do to avoid the Death of the Body. Likewise in another place, The Priests do also bind, (says he) while they enjoyn the satisfaction of Penance to those who come to Confession, they loofe when they remit any thing thereof: For they exercife a Work of Justice towards Sinners, when they bind them with just Punishment; a work of Mercy when they remit somewhat of the same punishment: I hope I have plainly made appear how rashly he Calumniates the Church; and through the whole Sacrament of Penance, how Impertinent, how Impious, and how absurd he is against the Holy Fathers, against Scriptures, against the publick Faith of the Church, against the Consent of so many Ages and People, even against common fense :

fense it self; with which he is not yet content, but after having held a long time that Penance is a Sacrament, he began in the end of his Book to repent himfelf, that it should contain any thing of Truth at all; and therefore, as his custom is, changes his Opinion into a worse, and wholly denies Penance to be a Sacrament. Yet he Confesses before, That he does not doubt, but that whoever of his own accord, or mov'd by reproofs, has privately Confes'd himself before any Brother, and demanded Pardon, and amends himself, is Absolv'dfrom all his secret Sins. If that be his Sentiments, though false indeed; because he says, Before any Brother privately, and that indifferently; whether he ask Pardon of his own accord, or as forc'd thereto by rebukes: Yet if think such a Penance to be Profitable, why excludes he it from the number of the Sacraments? Not indeed for any other intent, but that it may be the less valu'd; and being depriv'd of the Name of a Sacrament (which amongst Christians is in great Veneration,) it might become despicable: For which thing he finds no other pretext, but that Penance has no visible sign; as though the Exterior Penance, or the very Act and Gestures of the Body, when the Priest Absolves the Penitent, could not be a sign of Spiritual Grace, by which the Penitent obtains Remission. But in fine, to conclude this Discourse of Penanse, I wish he may at last repent himself, for having treated of Penance after so evil a manner. And that he may wholefomly performall its parts, as he endeavours to destroy them all: That he may be Contrite for his Malice, Confess publickly his Errours, and submit himself to the Judgement of the Church (which with so many Blasphemies he has offended) he may recompense for what he has before committed, with the greatest satisfaction possible; for indeed he cannot do it wor-0f thily.

of CONFIRMATION.

Ather is so far from admitting Confirmation to be a Sacrament, that on the contrary, he says, He admires what the Churches intention was in making it one. And this most Impertinent Babler trifles thus in fo Sacred a thing, asking why the Church does not Luther's make three Sacraments of Bread, as having in Scripture fome occasions to do it? The Church has not done any fuch thing, because she takes no occasions from any words what soever in Scripture; for having any other Sacraments than those which were instituted by Christ, and Sanctified by his most Holy Blood: Even so it omits none of them which have been given by Christ, and his Apostle's, and transmitted to us, as it were from hand to hand, though nothing should be writ of them in any place.

But when he fays, Confirmation works no Salvation; that it is supported by no Promise of Christ. He only fays this, proving nothing, but only denying all. But when Luther makes mention of some passages, from which (though he laugh at it) the Sacrament of Confirmation may probably have its beginning: Why Judges he so perversely of the whole Church, as if it should rashly admit a Sacrament; because he reads no Word of Promise in these places, as if Christ had promis'd, said, or done, nothing but what the Evangelists mention in the Scriptures! By this reason, if there was no Goffel but that of St. John, he should deny the Institution tion of the Sacrament of our Lords Supper, of which Institution St. John writes nothing at all; who, by the same Councel of God, where, by many other things done by Jesus, have been omitted by all; which (as

the Evangelist himself saith) are not written in this Book, and which the whole World could not contain; of which, some have by the Mouth of the Apostles, been deliver'd to the Faithful, and have been ever after conserv'd by the perpetual Faith of the Holy Catholick Church: Whom I think you ought to believe concerning some things which are not in the Gospels. When (as St. Augustin says) You could never know which is the Scripture it self, but by the Tradition of the Church. And though none should have been ever written, yet the Gospel would have always remain'd written in the Hearts of the Faithful, which was more Antient then all the Books of the Evangelists. Let not Luther think it is a Prevailing Argument to prove the Nullity of the Sacraments, not to find them Instituted in the Scriptures. Otherwise, if he admits nothing at all, but what he reads clearly in the Gospel, that he may have no place for wrangling: How comes he to believe, (if he believes it, for he scarce believes any thing at all,) the perpetual Virginity of the Blessed Luther Virgin Mary? Of which he is so far from finding any thing in Scripture, that Helvidius took occasion by respet the Scripture it self to prove the contrary. Neither is any Traditions thing oppos'd against him, but the Faith of the whole Church, which is no where greater and stronger then in the Sacraments. For my part, I do not think that any person who has the least spark of Faith in him, can be perswaded, that Christ who prayed for St. Peter, that his Faith should not fail. Who plac'd his Church on a firm Rock; Should suffer her for so many Ages to be bound by vain Signs of Corporal things, under an Erroneous Confidence of their being Divine Sacraments. If nothing should be read of it any where, yet those who were present, and Convers'd with our Lord, could by word of Mouth tell what his mind was, of

whom

strongly press'd to of the Church.

Luke 22. Mat. 7.

whom himself says, Te are Witnesses who have been Joh. 15. with me from the beginning. What was to be done, might be taught by the Holy Ghost, of whom Christ faid, But when the Paraclite comes whom I will fend you Joh. 15. from the Father, the Spirit of Truth which proceedeth from the Father, he shall give Testimony of me. And in another place; When he shall come, that is, the Spirit of Truth, Joh. 16. he shall Teach you all Truth, for he shall not speak of himfelf; but what things soever he shall hear, he shall speak; and the things that are to come he shall shew you. Shall we believe then, that the Church having so many, and so great Ministers, so many living Evangelists, and that Spirit which inspires Truth, has rashly Instituted a Sacrament, and puts her hope in an empty Sign? Or shall we not rather believe, that it has Learn'd from the Apostles, and from the Spirit of Truth? Certainly, if the Name of this Sacrament, the Minister, and the Virtue Promis'd in it, be consider'd, it will appear not to be a thing which we may believe to be unadvisedly us'd by the Church. For as Hugo de St. Victore saith, Hugo de From Chrism is Christ Named; from Christ, Christian. St Victore Every one ought to have taken Chrism, or Unction, fince from It they take their common Name. For we are all an Elected Nation, and a Royal Priesthood in Christ: We are not Anointed, unless in case of necesfity, but by the Bishops, that they may Seal the Christian, and give him the Holy Ghost. Even (says he) as we read that the Apostles only, in the Primitive Church had Power to give the Holy Ghost by Imposition of Hands. Acts. The same Doctor declares also the Fruit of the Sacra. ment, As the Remission of Sins, (saith he) is receiv'd in The fruit Baptism; so by the Imposition of Hands, the Holy Ghost of the Sais given: There Grace is given to the Remission of Sins: Here Grace is given to Confirmation; for what avails it you to be lifted up from your fall, if you are not Confirm'd

firm'd to stand? These are Hugo's words, which are also Consonant to Reason. For as in the Corporal Life, besides Generation, by which we get Life, another Action is requir'd, by which we may increase, and grow to the Perfection of strength: So in the Spiritual Life, which is requir'd by Regeneration in Baptism, the Sacrament of Confirmation is necessary, by which the Spiritual Life is led to perfect Virtue, and the Holy Ghost is given for perfect strength. And befides, the Sacrament of Baptism, which helps us to believe, Confirmation is profitable to give us Courage to Confess our Faith boldly. For to this it is ordain'd, that Man may, before the Persecutor, boldly Confess his Faith: And this is what Melchiades saith, In Baptism we are regenerated to Life, after Baptism we are Confirm'd for the Combat; for Confirmation Arms, and Instructs us against the Agonies of this World.

Finally, that Luther may understand that this Sacrament is no new thing, or vain fiction; but that it is so far from being void of Grace, that it confers the Spirit of Grace and Truth. We will here relate what s. Hierom St. Hierom has written of this Sacrament of Confirmation. If the Bishop impose his Hand, 'tis on them who have been Baptiz'd in the True Faith, who have believ'd in the Father, Son and Holy Ghost, Three Persons and One Substance. But the Arian, who believes in no other (stop your Ears that you may not be polluted with the Words of such monstrous Impiety,) but in the Father alone, In Jesus Christ as a Creature, in the Holy Ghost as Servant to both; how shall he receive the Holy Ghost from the Church who has not as yet obtain'd Remission of his

Sins? For the Holy Ghost inhabits not, but where Faith is pure, nor remains but in that Church which has true

Faith for her Guide.

against the

Luciferians.

of the Seven SACRAMENTS.

If in this place, you ask why he that is Baptiz'd in the why Bi-Church, receives not the Holy Ghost, but by the Hands of impose the Bishop? Learn, that this Observation is descended Hands on from this Authority; because after our Lords Ascension the Bapthe the Holy Ghost descended on the Apostles, and we find they may the same to have been done in many places. Hitherto St. receive the Hierom. Which Sentence is also confirm'd by divers Ghoft. passages in the Scripture, and particularly by that in the Acts, which shews that the People Baptiz'd before in Samaria, receiv'd the Holy Ghost when Peter and Acts 8. John came among them, and laid their Hands upon them. I therefore admire what came into Luther's mind to dispute that Confirmation is only to be accounted a Rite and a Ceremony, and deny it to be a Sacrament: When 'tis demonstrated, not only by the Testimony of Holy Fathers, and by the Faith of the whole Church, but also by clear passages of Scripture; that not only Grace, but also the very Spirit of Grace, is conferr'd by the visible Sign of the Bishops Imposition of Hands.

Let Luther therefore forbear to contemn any more the Sacrament of Confirmation, which the Dignity of the Minister, the Authority of the Church, and the

Profit of the Sacrament it self, Commends.

Of the Sacrament of MARRIAGE.

Arriage, the first of all Sacraments, Celebrated by the first of Mankind, and Honour'd with our Saviour's first Miracle, being for so long time had in a Religious Veneration for its very Name of a Sacra-

M 2

84 ment: Is now at the last, that People should not so much regard or value Conjugal Faith, deny'd by Luther to be any Sacrament at all; and as in other Sacraments, some of which he takes away, by denying the Sign Instituted; others, by denying Promis'd Grace, he denies both of them to be in Marriage; holding, that Grace has been no where promis'd thereby. He Teaches also, That it

has been no where Instituted for a Sign: And how knows he this? Because (says he) we read it not. strong Reason, and Mother of many Heresies! This was the Fountain from which Helvidius drew his Venom. You admit no Sacrament, unless you read its Institution in a Book? What Book has he ever write who Instituted all? Concerning some things, (says he) I believe Christ's Evangelists: Why then does he not in some things believe also the Church of Christ? Who is by Christ himself preferr'd to all the Evangelists, which have been only Members of the Church? Wherefore if he Confides so much in one, why does he diffrust altogether? If he attribute so much to a Mem-

ber, why nothing at all to the whole Body?

The Church believes it to be a Sacrament; that it has b en Instituted by God, given by Christ, and left to Us by his Apostles; deliver'd afterwards by the Holy Fathers for a Sacrament, and given as it were from Hand to Hand down to Us. From us also as a Sacrament down to Posterity, and to be Honour'd to the end of the World. The Church believes this; and tells you what it believes too. The same Church that says, The Evangelists writ the Gospel, tells you this also. For if the Church had not faid, That the Gospel of John, is the Gospel of John, you should not have known it; For you were not by him when he writ it. Why then do you not believe the Church, when she tells you that Christ has done these things, has Instituted these Sacraments, that the Apostles have deliver'd them; as well as when she says, That the Evangelists writ such,

and such Gospels?

But Luther says, Marriage was amongst the Antient Patriarchs, and among st the Gentiles; and that as truly as amongst us, yet was it not a Sacrament with either of them. As for the Fathers that were under the Law, and before the Law, I do not agree with Luther; but am certain, that Marriage was a Sacrament with them; as Circumcifion. But amongst the Gentiles the Case is otherwise, for their Marriage depended on the Custom, and Laws of each People. So that some Marriages were Lawful with some of them, which by others was accounted Ridiculous: And yet, contrary to Luther, we find some of Opinion, that even the Marriages of the Gentiles was a Sacrament amongst them. For St. Augustin says, That the Sacrament of Marriage is common s. August. to all Nations: But the Santity of it is only in the City of our God, and in his Holy Mountain, [the Church,] On which Sentiment, let him that pleases read Hugo de Sancto Victore. But though the Marriage of the Unfaithful be no Sacrament, yet does it not follow what Luther Infers, That the Marriage of the Faithful is none neither. For the People of God have fomthing more Holy in Marriage, and have always had, as well at its first Institution, as when it was Honour'd with Laws given by God. Moreover, the Gentiles, because 'twas acted as a Humane thing amongst them, was wont by Compacts and Humane Laws, to take Wives, and after to reject them again. Divorcement was not Lawful in former times amongst the People of God: For though God by Moses permitted the Bill of Divorcement among the Hebrews; yet Christ Confesses that it was indulg'd them for the hardness of the Peoples Hearts: For from the Beginning (faith our Saviour,)

was not so. But Christ hath restor'd Christians to Pristine Sanctity, Consecrating Marriage with an Indesolvable Bond of Society, unless in Case of Fornication, between those, whom no Humane Errour, but God himself has joyn'd together. It follows not therefore, that if Marriage has not been a Sacrament among the Gentiles, it must be none amongst Us Christians, or has not been a Sacrament amongst the Antient Patriarchs. Amongst Christians, if 'twas no where read, yet the Faith of the Church ought to suffice us. And yet that one passage of the Apostle, which Luther endeavours to put by with a Scoff, does plainly demonstrate, that Marriage, not only now, but also at the very first beginning of Mankind, was Instituted a Sacrament: Which I suppose will not be doubted by any body who reads that part of the Epiftle to the Ephesians, and Attentively Considers it. Which whole passage we have here inferted, because by any mans words, it cannot be more clearly explicated than 'tis already by the Apostle himself, who has so plainly shewn us his mind therein, that no place of refuge is left to Luthet's impertinent Calumnies. For he faith, Let Women be subject to their Husbands, as to our Lord: Because the Man is Head of the Woman, as Christ is Head of the Church: Himself the Saviour of his Body. But as the Church is subject to Christ, so the Women to their Husbands in all things. Husband love your Wives, even as Christ Loved the Church, and deliver'd himself for it. That he might Santify it, cleansing it by the Laver of Water in the Word; That he might present to himself a Glorious Church, not having spot or wrinkle, or any such thing, but that it may be Holy and unspotted. So also Men ought to Love their Wives as their own Bodies; he that loveth his Wife, loveth himself. For no man ever hated his own Flesh, but he nourishes and cherishes

Ephel. 5.

it, as also Christ the Church. Because we are Members of his Body, of his Flesh, and of his Bones: For this cause shall a man leave Father and Mother, and cleave to his Wife, and they shall he Two in one flesh. This is a great Sacrament: But I speak in Christ, and in the Church. You see how the Blessed Apostle teacheth The Sacraevery where, that the Marriage of Man and Wise is ment of a Sacrament, which represents the Conjunction of Marriage Christ with his Church: For he Teacheth, that God afferted by Confecrated Matrimony, that it might be the Myste of St. Paul. ry of Christ joyn'd with his Church. He tells you, That the Man and the Wife makes one Body, of which the Man is the Head. And that Christ and the Church makes one Body, of which Christ is Head. He makes the chief cause why the Husband ought to Love his Wife; no other then that he may not be an unlike Sign to Christ whom he represents: And this he makes rather the Cause, then that common Nature of the Male and Female, which of it felf should also excite Love. He by the same Example, Exhorts the Wife to Fear, and Respect her Husband; that is, because she represents the Church of Christ. And after he has by many words Inculcated these things over and over again; fearing least any Body should think this Comparison of the Husband with Christ, and the Wife with the Church, to be some similitude, us'd only for the conveniency of the Exhortation, he shews it to be a true Matter, a true Sacrament, foretold by the Prophesy of the chiefest and first of all Prophets, when the World was but newly Created: For when the Apostle saith, He that Loves his Wife, Loves himself, for no man ever hated his own Flesh, but Loves and Cherishes it, even as Christ Loveth his Church; Because, (says he) we are Members of his Body, of his Flesh, and of his Bones: This he spoke to remember us of the Words, much like

like to these, which Adam spoke when Eve was first brought into his fight, This is Bone of my Bones, and

Gen. 2. Flesh of my Flesh.

And that the Apoctle might more clearly shew that the Sacrament of the Conjunction of Adam and Eve pertains to that Union of Christ with his Church, he added Adam's very words, Wherefore man shall leave Father and Mother, and cleave to his Wife; and they shall be two in one Flesh. This Sacrament, saith the Apostle, is Great in Christ and the Church. How could he have more evidently refuted Luther, than by these words, which he fo Impertinently Scoffs at: In contending that the Apostle had taken away the Sacrament from the Marriage of Man and Wife, by faying, This Sacrament is Great in Christ and his Church. As if he should, by saying, The Sacrament of Baptism is great in the washing of the Soul, deny the Baptism of the Body to be a Sacrament. Or, as if he should by saying, The Sacrament of the Eucharist is Great in the Body Christ, deny the Species of Bread and Wine to be a Sacrament. Or, as if by faying, That the same Sacrament is great in the Mystical Body of Christ, he should detract the Sacrament from the Body which he took of the Blessed Virgin. Who has ever seen any man swell with greater Pride for so frivolous a Gloss? For if the Apostle had been of his Opinion, and willing his Words should be so interpreted, as to shew this Sacrament to be Great Only in Christ and his Church, without any cramentof reference at all to the Marriage of Man and Wife: It would lessen the force and weight of all those things, whereby in that Comparison of the two Conjunction ons, he had before commended Marriage.

The first Reason proving S. Paul to bavesboken of the Sa. Marriage.

It would also in another manner prejudice the The fecond Matter he undertook, if he should refer these words of Adam only to Christ and his Church, which of them-Reason.

felves

felves seems to Unite Man and Wife together in mutual Love, so as to teach, that there is in them no reference to Man and Wife. The Apostle teaches, that those Words of Adam, were a Prophesy of Christ, and his Church; which is confirm'd by all the Holy Doctors, and very clearly demonstrated by Adam's speaking these Words at the very first sight of Eve, by which he preferr'd a Wife, to Father and Mother; when as himself had neither Father and Mother, nor as yet no command of begetting Children, to instruct him by the comparison of Parents and Children what Father and Mother were. Because, if those words of Adam were a Prophefy of Christ, and his Church; then it feems they either did not belong to that Marriage which was there perform'd: Or that some Marriage, as a proper Sign of this Conjunction, was then made a Sacrament by God himself, whose Spirit then form'd the Words of Adam, that the same words might signify what was then done, and what was prophesied; that is, the Marriage of Men, and the Conjunction of Christ with the Church; and as one Sacrament comprehends a Sacred thing, and the proper and Sacred Sign of the same thing.

Moreover, that you may the more plainly difcern, A third that what Luther speaks, is to no purpose: Observe, Reason. that the Apostles Business in that place to the Ephesians; is not about teaching them how great a Sacrament Christ joyn'd with the Church, is. But about exhorting Married People how to behave themselves one towards another, so as they might render their Marriage a Sacrament, like, and agreeable to that so Sacred a thing of which it is the Sacrament. Luther therefore in this place, is either negligent himself, and unadvisedly reads this Passage, or else he most impiously dissembles what Truth he discovers therein. When

he fays, That which we give, (which is the Sense of the whole Church) proceeds from great Idleness, Negligence and Inconsiderate Reading thereof. Does St. Augustine therefore carelessy read the Apostle? Has St. Hierom negligently understood him? And all men, except Luther, by whose vigilance St. Paul himself is discover'd to have writ, not a Sacrament, but a Mystery? Othis quick fighted man, who's able to fee that the whole Latine Church does wrongfully Name that a rediculous- Sacrament, which the Apostle, writing in Greek, calls

preters tranflating Mystery for Sacrament.

the Church. Mystery, and not Sacrament. As though the Latins had Err'd by speaking the Word in Latine, because St. Paul Defence of does not use a Latine Word in the Greek Tongue. If the Interpreter had Translated it not a Sacrament, but a Mystery, and had left the Greek word entire; yet had not this taken away the Argument, whereby Marriage is from this place of the Apostle concluded to be a Sacrament, seeing its taught so to be by the Circumstance of the whole matter. For let him wrest the Word Mystery as much as he will; yet can he never by It take away, or deny the Sacrament, though thereby it may not be prov'd. Neither shall it be said, that he speaks or thinks ill, who says, That the Eucharist is a great Mystery. Wherefore, seeing that there is no Sacrament but what is a Mystery, that is, what contains under a Visible Sign a secret and Invifible Grace; the Interpreter Noting in the words of St. Paul to the Ephesians, that the whole passage does most evidently declare the Apostle to write of such a Mystery as is a Sacrament. And if he had not truly Translated it, St. Augustin and St. Hierom his Readers, were not so careless, but they would have discover'd the Errours in the Translation: Nor were they so much inclin'd to favour Marriage, as to follow an Errour rather than Correct, it, when once discover'd. EspeEspecially, seeing St. Augustin was nothing inferiour to Luther in the knowledge of the Greek Tongue: And St. Hierom, who without doubt was the most Skill'd of his time in that Language, did so favour Virginity, that by some persons he was thought to be almost unjust

towards Marriage.

Wherefore, that all men may the more easily understand, not only these, whom Luther in contempt calls Sententious, and now Idle Readers: But also the best and most Learned of the Antient Fathers of the Church. Let us hear, what St. Augustine says, Not only Facundity, (says he) whose fruit is in the Off-spring; not only Chaftity wkofe Bond is Faith: But also the Sacrament of Marriage, is commended to the Faithful Married People: For which the Apostle says, Husbands Love your Wives, even as Christ Lov'd his Church. St. Augustine then calls it a Sacrament, and that Luther may not fay he has read this passage carelessly, he treats of the same Text, again, and again in divers Works. For in another place he says, It has been (aid in Paradice, Man Shall leave Father, and Mother, and cleave to his Wife, Which by the Apostle is call'd a great Sacrament in Christ, and his Church.

Why does not St. Augustine Explicate that Mystery of Luther to be an Errour, which the Latins call a Sacrament, seeing in the Greek Text St. Paul calls it Mystery, not Sacrament? St. Augustine above a thousand times calls it the Sacrament of Marriage: As in that place where he says, That Off-spring, Faith, and Sacrament, which are all the goodness of Marriage is fulfill d in the Parents of Christ himself. Why has he not here admonished us, that it is not a Sacrament, but a Mystery? For, if what Luther says, be true, to wit, That it is not a Sacrament, but concerning Christ and his Church: Then is it not true which St. Augustin says,

N 2

For

For that which Luther takes for only a Mystery is not the good Sacrament of Marriage, nor has it been fulfill'd in the Marriage of the Virgin Mary.

And in another place, St. Augustine treating of the same Words of the Apostle, says, What is great in Christ and the Church, is very little in Man and Wise; and yet

it is an Inseparable Sacrament of Conjunction.

If Luther holds that it is not call'd a Sacrament, unless in Christ and his Church. The Apostles very words if diligently examin'd, only by a Grammarian, shall convince him, as when the Apostle says, This Sacrament is Great; But I (ay in Christ, and the Church. What Sacrament is that, that is Great in Christ and the Church? Christ and the Church cannot be a Sacrament in Christ and the Church. For none speaks after this manner. 'Tis therefore a necessary Consequence, that this Sacrament, which he fays to be Great in Christand the Church, Is that Conjunction of Man and Wite, which he has spoken of. There is nothing else but this spoken there by the Apostle, viz. This Conjunction of Man and Woman, is a great Sacrament in Christ and the Church, as a Sacred Sign in a most Sacred Thing. Lastly, if Luther still obstinately deny, that (by these words of the Apostle,) Marriage should be call'd a Sacrament, but meerly the Conjunction of Christ with the Church. Yet furely he'll not deny that Conjunction of Man and Wife to be at least a Sign of that Sacred Conjunction of Christ, and his Church, and that too by Gods own Institution; not by Humane Invention, feeing our first Parents were joyn'd by God himself. But if he denies all this that has been faid; however the Apostles words will manifest his Impudence: For 'tis so often, and so plainly repeated, that he who should not feeit, must undoubtedly confess himself to be blind.

If therefore it shall evidently appear, that Grace is conferr'd by Marriage, which is a Sign of so Sacred a Thing: Luther will be compell'd, whether he will or no, to admit Marriage as a Sacrament, or else to reject all Sacraments; seeing that by his own Confession, a Sacrament consists in the sign of a Sacred Thing, and the Promise of Grace. Let us see then, if it can be evidently made out, that Grace is infus'd after any manner

by Marriage: For Luther flatly denies it.

We read in no place, (fays he) that he who Marries a Heb. 13. Wife shall receive any Grace from God. Marriage, (fays That Grace the Apostle) is Honourable in all, and a Bed undefil'd: is infus'd in the Sa-The Bed could not be Undefil'd, if the Marriage wan-crament of ted Grace. Neither has Marriage any thing else to Marriage. confer, A Bed unspotted. But because God, whose Bounty has provided, that no necessary thing should be wanting, even to Irrational Creatures, according to their feveral Natures and Capacities; Nay, even to things wanting Sense. Has by the like Bountiful Providence joyn'd Grace to Marriage, by which he that does not flight it, but keeps his Faith Inviolate to his Wife, shall not only, not contract any Blemish by the Carnal Act, whose filthy Concupiscence would otherwife stain him, but shall on the contrary be advanc'd to Grace. For Marriage should not have an Immacu- A second late Bed, if the Grace which is infus'd by it, did not Reason turn that unto good, which should be otherwise a Sin. Which in another passage of St. Pvul, where he treats of the Womans Duty, is more plainly demonstrated; She (faith he) shall be Sav'd through the Generation of 1 Tim. 20. Children: But if you take away Marriage, what elfe shall Generation be, by which as the Apostle saith, There is Salvation in Marriage, but Death and Eternal Damnation. For, Take away Marriage, (says St. Bernard,) and an Undefil'd Bed from the Church, and do

you not then fill it with Adulteries, Incests, Sodomy, and all sorts of Uncleanness? If all Generation out of Wed. lock is damnable, the Grace of Marriage must needs be Great, by which that Act, which of its own Nature Defiles to Punishment, is not only purg'd to take away the Blemish; but is so much Sanctify'd, that, as the Apostle testifies, it becomes Meritorious. Neither has it that priviledge of Grace, but by virtue of the Sacrament, Consecrated for that purpose by God himself: That Man at his first Creation by the use thereof, might both perform his Duty of Propagation, and have also aremedy against Concupiscence, when restor'd: Yet what should the Conjugal Act it self be, but Concupiscense, if God had not made it the Remedy thereof? Which now the Holy Grace of the Sacrament has so made a Remedy of Concupiscence, as that the Paternal Substance may not be negligently Confum'd. (as the Prodigal Son has done,) Forbidding not only, not to Thirst after Stolen Waters of other mens Cifterns, but also not to inebriate our selves with our own, but make our Sober Draughts fo Wholesom that they may profit to Life Everlasting. The Apostle in the same place, though he Exhorted as much as posfibly to Continency and Virginity; Virtues contrary to Conjugal Generation; yet Confesses, that Marriage is the Gift of God: And one of those Gifts, of which 'tis said, Every good and perfect Gift is from above descending from the Father of Lights. And certainly the Gift of God, which is so given, that he who receives it, may continue in that State of Life in which he ought to remain and not fall into the State of Destruction; doth it not shew that it hath in it self prefervative Grace?

The third Moreover, when the Apostle saith, If any Brother Reason. have a Wife, an Insidel, and she consent to live with him,

let him not put her away: And if any Woman have an Husband an Infidel, and he consent to dwell with her, let her not put away her Husband: For the Man, an Infidel, is Santtified by the Faithful Woman, and the Woman an Infidel is Sanctified by the Faithful Husband; otherwise your Children (bould be unclean, but now they are Holy. Does not these words of the Apostle, shew that Marriage being an entire thing of it felf, after that one of the Parties is Converted to the Faith, the Sanctity of the Sacrament Sanctifies the whole Marriage, which before was altogether Unclean? But why should that Marriage be now more Holy than before, as being a Marriage, if for one of the Parties Converted, Sacramental Grace were not added to it, which before Baptism (the door of all the Sacraments) could not enter to the Marriage of the Unfaithful?

But to pass by the Apostle, let us consider God the The fourth Consecrator of this Sacrausent. Has he not Consecra- Reason. ted Marriage with his Bleffing, when he joyn'd together our first Parents? For the Scripture saith, God Gen. 2. Bleffed them; saying, increase, and multiply: Whose Bleffing having opperated in all other living Creatures, according to their feveral Capacities; who should doubt but that he has Infus'd the force of Spiritual Grace into the Spirit of Man, who alone is capable of Reason, unless he did believe, that God, (being so Bountiful to the meanest of Beasts, as to give them, largely according to their Natures, what was necessary) should be so sparing of his Blessings to Man, whom he Created after his own Image; that having only regard to his Body, he should omit the Soul, That Breath of Life, which he himself has Breath'd, and by which he was most represented, without imparting any part of that Great Bleffing to it?

-96 The fifth Reason. Futher, when Christ, God and Man, conversing a. mongst Men, not only Honour'd Marriage with his own Presence, but also adorn'd it with his first Mi-Jo. 2. racle: Has he not taught, That Marriage is to be Honour'd? And without Grace I do not find any thing in it, deserving Honour? Nor do I think he would have been present at it, if Marriage had not already fome Grace, which might render it acceptable to Christ, or else he confer'd Grace to it himself. But I see, the Miracle that he wrought; admonishes us that the Insipid Water of Carnal Concupiscence by the secret Grace of God is chang'd to Wine of the best Taste. But why fearch we so many Proofs in so clear a thing?

Especially, when that only Text is sufficient for all,

where Christ says, Whom God has joyn'd together, let no man put assunder. O the admirable Word, which none could have spoken, but the Word that was made Flesh! Who thinks it not to have been abundantly fufficient, that God has joyn'd the first of Mankind': And that the Bounty of fo great a God is to be admir'd by all men? But now we are Taught from Truth it felf; That those who are Lawfully Marry'd, are not rashly joyn'd together, not by the Ceremonies of Men only, but by the Invisible Presence and Insensible Cooperation of God himself: And therefore is it forbidden, that any should seperate those whom God has joyn'd together. O Word as full of Joy and Fear as it is Admiration! Who should not rejoyce, that God has so much Care over his Marriage, as to vouchsafe, not only to be present at it, but also to preside in it? Who should not tremble, whilst he is in doubt how to use his Wife, whom he is not only bound to Love, but also to live with, in such a manner as that he may be able to render her Pure and Immaculate to God, from whom he has receiv'd her?

Where-

of the seven SACRAMENTS.

Wherefore seeing that God himself, as he says, Joyns all married People together; who believes not that he Infuses Grace by Marriage? Does he joyn always, and give his Bleffing but once? Why re-affumes he the Office joyning, if we believe him not also to re-assume that of Blessing? Or can we imagine, that the most Holy Spirit, Which is to be Adord in Spirit and in Truth, Jo. 4. Should always exercise the Office of Joyning Married People, for care of Carnal Copulation only? Indeed as for that matter, it should be sufficient that God leave Man, like other Animals, to his own Natural and Corrupt Inclinations. There must be understood something fure more Holy, than the Care of Propogating the Flesh, which God performs in Marriage: And that without all doubt is Grace; which is by the Prelate of all Sacraments infus'd into Married People in Confecrated Marriage.

Seeing therefore, we have by fo many Reasons prov'd, Grace to be conferr'd in Marriage: And that Marriage (as appears by the Words of the Apostle,) is a Sign of a Sacred thing: Which Sign, is joyn'd with Grace (as is faid already) cannot be a bare Figure only; It follows therefore, that, in dispite of Luther, Marriage is a Sacrament; though it had not, as it is,

been so call'd by the Apostle.

But who ever, either Antient or Modern, has doubted to call Marriage a Sacrament, without being his'd at by the Church? In which alone, as Hugo de St. Victor. mentions, is found a two-fold Sign: For S. Victor. Marriage it self is the Sacrament of the Society which is in the Spirit between God and Man; But the Duty of Marriage is the Sacrament of that Society, which in the Flesh is beeween Christ and the Church. For if that (fays He) which is in the Flesh, is great, much more that which is in the Spirit. And if God is rightly call d in Scrip-

Scripture, a Bridegroom, and the Soul of man the Bride, there is certainly something becwixt God and the Soul; of which, what consists in Marriage betwixt Man and Woman, is the Sacrament, and Image. But perhaps, to speak more express: That Society which is Exteriourly observ'd, according to the Contrast in Marriage, is the Sacrament. And the mutual Love of the Souls, which is kept by an interchangeable Bond of Conjugal Society and Alliance, is the matter of the Sacrament.

And again, this same Love by which Male and Female are Spiritually United in the Sanctity of Wedlock, is the Sacrament and Sign of that Love, by which God is Interiourly joyn'd to the Rational Soul by Infusion of his Grace, and Participation of his Spirit. Thus far the

words of Hugo.

Wherefore, seeing that not only the publick Faith of the Church for so many Ages before us, and the Antient Fathers, remarkable for their Virtuous Lives and knowledge in Scripture; but also the Blessed Apostle, Doctor of the Gentiles, St. Paul, have esteem'd Marriage as a Sacrament, which makes Wedlock Honourable, and does by Grace, not only conserve the Bed unspotted from Adultery; but also washes away the stains of Lust, turns Water into Wine, and procures a Holy Pleasure of abstaining, even from Lawful Pleasures. I do not perceive what Luther can say to the contrary: Unless' tis because Hereticks (as St. Bernard saith) do still according to their own fancies, strive who shall exceed others in endeavouring with their viperous Teeth to tear in pieces the Sacraments of the Church, as the Bowels of their Mother.

Of the Sacrament of ORDERS.

IN the Sacrament of Orders, Luther keeps no manner of Order: But gathering together from here and there all the Treasuries of his Malice, he poures them

out against it.

He shews how well his mind is compos'd for Evil, if his Power were Answerable thereto: He proposes many things, and afferts, and affirms the worst: But fatisfying himfelf by only faying, thus, and thus, he confirms nothing at all by any manner of Reason. which thing his great Impudence appears, who not vouchfafing to believe the whole Church, without having Reasons for its Faith: Does unreasonably require that he himself should be Credited without shewing any Reason at all, and that in Matters of such Nature, as he cannot tell what is to be believ'd, unless the Church Teach him: And yet he desires to be believ'd, and that in fuch fort, as to do it, is to confound and trample under-foot the whole Church: For what else aimes he at, by endeavouring to take away the Holy Sacrament of Orders, than by rendering the Ministers of the Church Contemptible, to procure, that the Sacraments of the Church may be also despis'd, and undervalu'd, as being Ministred by the Hands of Vile and Unworthy Ministers: Which is the only drift of his whole work.

And because Luther proceeds with no Order, in treating of Order, we will gather his Opinions here and there, that the Reader may have under one view that heap of Evils. Which being look'd over, we need not take any great pains, I suppose, to convince him, whose wicked Doctrine all men may see does tend di-

) 2 - rectly

rectly to the destruction of the Faith of Christ, by In-

fidelity. For what designs he else, who Disputes that there is no difference of Priesthood between the Laity, and Priests? And that all men are Priests alike: That all men have the same Power in what Sacrament soever. That the Ministery of the Sacraments is not given to the Priests, but by Consent of the Laity. That the Sacrament of Orders is nothing else but the Custom of Electing a Preacher in the Church. That he is not a Priest, who is not a Preacher, unless it be equivocally, as a painted Man, may be call'd a Man. That a Priest may be made a Lay-man again when he pleases, because his Priestly Character is nothing. Moreover, that Order it self, which as a Sacrament, ordains some to be Clergy-men, is meerly and altogether a Fiction invented by Men, who understand nothing of Ecclesiastical Matters, of Priesthood, of the Ministery, of the Word, or of a Sacrament : Finally, this Holy Priest, (whereby you may conjecture how Chafte he himfelf is,) makes it the greatest Errour, and greatest Blindness Imaginable, that Priests should undertake to lead a fingle Life. And when Christ praises those who have made themselves Eunuchs for the Kingdom of Heaven: This most filthy Antichrist compares them to the Old Idolatrous Gelded Priests of the Heathen Cybills. I know that this Catalogue of Pernicious Opinions has long fince weary'd the Ears of the Pious Reader, every one of which is more stuft with Heresies, than the Trojan's Horse is reported to have been with Arm'd men:

Luther condemns the single lives of Priests.

Mat. 19.

But his denying Orders to be a Sacrament, is as it were the Fountain to all the rest, which being once stop'd up, the other small Springs must of necessity become dry of themselves. This Sacrament (says he) is not known to the Church of Christ, but has been invented

by.

the Church of the Pope. In these few words, are contain'd a great heap of Absurdities and Lyes. For he makes distinction between Christs Church, and the Popes, whereas the Pope is Christ's Vicar, in that over which Christ is the Head. He says, the Church has Invented; When it has receiv'd it already Instituted, and therefore has not Invented it. This Sacrament (.he fays) How ridiis unknown to the Church of Christ: Whereas 'tis most culous his certain, that all parts of the World, which have the World are. certain, that all parts of the World, which have the true Faith of Christ, have Orders for a Sacrament: For if he could find some obscure corner, (which I doubt he cannot) in which this Sacrament of Orders should not be known, yet ought not that Corner to be compar'd to the rest of the whole Church; which not only is subject to Christ, but also for Christ's sake, to Christ's only Vicar the Pope of Rome. And believes Orders to be a Sacrament.

Otherwise, if Luther persists in his distinction of the Popes Church, from Christs; and in saying that the one has Orders for a Sacrament, the other not. Let him shew us the Church of Christ, which, contrary to the Faith of the Papal Church, (as he calls it) knows not the Sacrament of Order. In the mean while it appears evidently, that by afferting this Sacrament to be unknown to the Church of Christ, and that they are not of Christs Church who are govern'd by the Pope: He feperates, by both these Reasons, from Christ's Church, not only Rome, but also all Italy, Germany, Spain, France, Britain, and all other Nations, which obey the See of Rome; or have Orders for a Sacrament. Which People being by him taken from the Church of Christ; it consequently follows, that he must either Confess Christs Church to be in no place at all, or else, like the Donatists, he must reduce the Catholick Church to two or three Hereticks whispering in a Corner.

But he draws out of his Shaft, as an Inevitable Dart, That Grace is in no place Promis'd to this Sacrament; And that the New Testament makes not the least mention of it: He says, That it is a ridiculous thing to assert that for the Sacrament of God, which cannot any where be demonstrated to have been Instituted by God. Nor is it Lawful (says he) to assert any thing to be of Divine Institution, which is not of Divine Ordinance; but we ought, (says he) to endeavour to have all things consirmed to us from clear Scripture.

We'll fee by and by, whether no mention is made at all of this Sacrament in the New Testament: For by the same Dart he expects to wound all the rest of the Sacraments; against which Dart, I will take the ames Buckler or Shield which Luther himself confesses to

His own words are these, Truly the Church has this

be Impenitrable.

Luther's faculty, That it can discern the Word of God, from the Words. word of Men. Even as St. Augustin Confesses, That he has believ'd the Gospel by the motion of the Churches Authority; which told him that it was the Gospel. Wherefore, seeing that the Church, as Luther Confesses, Can discern the Word of God, from the Word of Men: 'Tis certain it has not that Power, but from God; nor for any other Cause, then that it may not Err in those things in which there ought to be no Errour. It follows then, out of this Foundation he has laid for us, that the Church has from God, not only the Power of difcerning Gods Word from that of Mens, which he allows: But also the Faculty of discerning betwixt Divine and Humane Sense of Scripture. Otherwise what should it avail the Church to know by Gods Teaching

the True Scripture, from that which is False, if it could not distinguish between the False and True sense of

True Scripture? Finally, it follows by the same Reason, that

103

that God Instructs his Church, even in things which are not written, least it might, through Errours, embrace false things for true ones: For that is no less dangerous then that it might admit the Writings of Men, for the Words of God, or draw a false Sense out of the Word of God, especially if it should take false Sacraments, for true ones, and Humane Traditions for Divine. Nay, not only the Traditions of Men, but the Inventions of the Devil: If the Church of Chrift, should, as Inchanters do, place its hope in feign'd and vain Signs of Corporal Things. It appears therefore by Lutiger's Confessing the Church to have a Faculty of discerning the Words of God from the Words of Man, that it has no less Power to discern betwixt Divine Institutions, and the Traditions of Men. For otherwife the Errorr which we are to avoid, might as well arise from the one fide, as from the other. And Christ's Care, is not, that his Church may not Fir, after this or that manner, but that it may not Err in any manner whatfoever. But it could by no Errour commit a greater injury to Christ, than in putting its Trust; which it ought to have in Him alone, in Signs not supported by any Grace, but empty, and void of all the Advantages of Faith. Therefore, the Church cannot Err about the Sacraments of Faith; no more, I say, than in admitting Scripture (in which Luther Confesses her Infallible,) which if it were otherwise, many Absurdities should follow; and especially this, that almost all Opinions of the Church, in matters of Faith established these many past Ages, may be disputed after the fancy of every new-sangled Heretick, which were the most ridiculous stung imaginable. For it nothing must be certainly Believ d, but what is confirm d by Scrip-Scripture too: We must not only, not affect the perpetual

All Hereticks pretend to Scripture.

petual Virginity of the Bleffed Virgin Mary, but also an Inexhausted Materia will be furnish'd for Battering the Church at the pleasure of every one who is minded to stir up new Sects, or renew the old one: For there has been at any time few or no Hereticks, who would not pretend to Scripture, every one disputing their new broach'd Opinions to be confirm'd by Scripture; or, however agreeable to Scripture, because the contrary was not therein defin'd. Disputing, that what was alledg'd against their Sects, was otherwise to be understood, than as the Orthodox Church understood it; and least it might be clearly brought against them, they either forg'd another Sense, or preferr'd some other passages of Scripture, which seem'd contrary to the former, troubling all things in fuch manner, as to make them feem Ambiguous. If the publick Faith of the Church had not withstood Arrius the Heretick, I know not if he should ever have wanted a subject of Dispute out of Scripture.

Now feeing we have prov'd by Luther's own Fundamentals, that the Sacraments believ'd by the Church could not be Instituted but be God himself, though nothing were read thereof in Scripture: Let us fee whether Scripture makes not some mention of this Sacrament: All men do unanimoully Confess, (Luther only excepted) that the Apostles were by our Saviour Ordain'd Priests at his last Supper; where it plainly appearsthat Power was given them to Confecrate the Body of Christ, which Power the Priest alone hath. But says Luther, It is not a Sacrament, because there is no Grace promis'd therein. But Pray, how, or whence has he this Knowledge? Because (fays he) 'tis not read in Scripture. This is his usual consequence: 'Tis not written in the Gospels, therefore has it not been done by Christ: Which form of Reasoning the Evangelist overthrows,

throws, when he says, Many things were done, which are not written in this Book. But let us touch Luther vet a little closer. He confesses that the Eucharist is a Sacrament; and he were mad, if he did not: But where Pray does he find in Scripture, that Grace is Promis'd in that Sacrament? For headmits nothing but Scripture, and that clear Scripture too. Let him read the pallages that treat of our Lords Supper, and see if he can find in any of the Evangelists, that Grace is promis'd in the Receiving of the Blessed Sacrament. We read that Christ said, This is my Blood, which Shall be Shed for Mat. 26. many, to the Remission of Sins. Whereby he fignified, that he should redeem mankind by his Passion upon the Cross. But when he said, This do in Remembrance of Me. He Promises no Grace, or Remission of Sins, to him that does This; that is, to the Consecrated Priests, or to Him that Receives the Eucharist. Nor doth the Apostle in his Epistle to the Corinthians, when he threatens Judgement to them that unworthily Receive, make mention of any Grace to him that Receives it worthily. If any thing in the 6th. of St. John Promise Grace to him that Receives the Sacrament of our Lords Body and Blood; yet can that make nothing for Luther, because he denies the whole Chapter to have any reference at all to the Eucharift: You see here very plainly, that he cannot maintain that Promise of Grace, which he so fairly Promis'd us, in his whole Work, as the fole Basis of the Sacrament, and in that only Sacrament which he admits; unless, befides the words of Scripture, he has recourse (as 'tis necessary for him,) to the Faith of the Church.

Wherefore, as it is sufficient for us to Read in the Gospel, that the Power of Consecrating the Sacrament was given them to whom the Priests succeed. So is it likewise enough, that we read the Councel of

the .

Tim. 5. the Apostle to Timothy, That he Impose not Hands rashly upon any one. Which passage plainly demonstrates, that the Ordination of Priests is not perform'd by the Consent of the Laity, by which alone Luther affirms, that a Priest may be Ordain'd, but by the only Ordination of a Bishop: And that by a certain Imposition of Hands; in which God through the Exteriour Sign should Insuse an Interiour Grace. Concerning which Grace, why should we not believe the Church of the Living God? Which is, as the Apostle saith, The

Living God? Which is, as the Apostle saith, The 1 Tim. 3. Ground and Pillar of Truth, Seeing Luther himself must certainly believe her concerning the Grace Promised in the Eucharist; for the Promise of that Grace, or the giving of it without any Promise, is known in

this Faith of the Church.

Indeed Fadmire that any one should be so distracted as to doubt, whether Grace is given by the Sacrament of Orders to the Priests of the Gospel; whereas we may read many places, that seem to signify that Grace was confer'd on the Priests of the Old Law. That God Sight Sacrament Santis Agree with Sacrament Santis Agree with Sacrament Santis Sacrament

that they may Exercise to me the Office of Priesthood. Otherwise, what should this Exteriour Sanctification have signify'd for the Honour of God, if God had not likewise Infus'd Grace, by which they should be likewise Interiourly Sanctified? And that also through Christ; The Faith of whose coming, gave force and strength to precedent Sacraments, even as it made the Jews capable of obtaining Eternal Salvation.

But if any one will not admit, that Grace was confer'd to the Pricsthood of the Old Law; yet has he no reason to deny the Insusion of Grace into the Priests of the Evangelical Law: Because, now through the Pas-

fion of Christ, The fullness of Grace is come. In the Acts of the Apostles, when St. Paul and Barnabas were

set

let apart for that work, to which the Holy Ghoft has Acts 13: call'd them, they were not fent away, before they were first Ordain'd by Imposition of Hands. But pray why did the Apostles lay Hands on them? Was it to touch their Bodies in a vain manner, without Profiting their Souls by Spiritual Grace? How then dares Luther affirm, that this Sacrament was unknown to the Church of Christ, which was us'd by the Apostois? But (favs he) it was never call'd a Sacrament by any of the Antient Doctors, except Dionysius; for me read nothing at all in the other Fathers of these Sacraments, neither did they think on the Name of Sacrament, when ever they spoke of these things; for the Invention of Sacraments is new, (fays he.) An excellent Reason of Luther's I must confess, yet altogether falle; and if 'twas true, yet could it avail nothing for his purpose. For if the Antients had not writ at all, of a thing perhaps never disputed amongst them; or if when they did write of it, they should fignify it by its proper Name, and not by that common Name of Sacrament Should titthen follow as a necessary consequence, that there has been no Order at all, or that it was not a Sacrament? For if any Body should call Baptism, by the proper Name of Baptism, and should not add the word Sacrament: Shall it be therefore said, that he does not think Baptism to be a Sacrament. Moreover, if Dionysius only amongst all the Holy Fathers, should write Orders to be a Sacrament, that alone should be sufficient to dostroy Luther's Objection; by which he intends to make People believe, that the Invention of Sacraments is new; for this Novelty is contradicted by this Confessing it to be written by Him, whom he acknowledges to be Antient: And this would be true, though St. Dionysius were such a man, as Sacrilegious Luther feigns him to be, saying, That he had almost

Secrilegious Contumelies against St. Dionysius.

Luther's solid Learning in him: That none of the things he writ in his Ecclesiastical Hierarchy, are proved by Authority, or Reason; but that they are all his own Inventions, and much like Dreams: That in his Mystical Divinity, which some Ignorant Divines (fays Luther) so much extoll; he is Pernicious, more like a Platonist than a Christian: In which (fay he) you will not only, not Learn who is Christ: but if you had known it before, you should loose your bebelief of him: I /peak (fays he) by Experience, (By the Experiment (I suppose) of loosing Christ there himself.) And further, Pray what (says he) Performs he in his Ecclesiastical Hierarchy, but only describes Allegorically some Ecclesiastical Rites. Finally, that he might shew in how light a matter St. Dionysius lost his labour, Do you think (says he) it should be difficult for me to sport with Allegories in what soever is Created? It should not be any hard work for me to write a better Hierarchy than that of Dionysius is. Who can patiently endure to see the Pious Labours of the Holy. Man so much abus'd by this Jangler, as if he were raging against some Here-tick like himself? For he calls him Illiterate and Foolish, and one that writes not only Dreams, but also Pernicious Doctrines, destroying Christ. All which Reproaches, are notwithstanding to the Glory of the Holy Man, whose Works are all sufficiently demonstrated to be good by their only displeasing a man so wicked as this. For what agreement can there be betwixt Light and Darkness, between Christ and Belial? His own wicked Brain was the cause that he gain'd no good by the Pious Books of this Holy Man: For Horatius writ truely. Unless the Veffel be freet, whatfoever you put therein will become fower. In that he fays; He could write a better Hierarchy than that of St. Dionyflus; Pray let him brag of it when he has done it. In the mean while, he undertakes at thing much more

Horace.

difficult, when he goes about to demolish that Hierar-

chy which is founded upon a folid Rock.

The Indignation we have conceiv'd at that Impious Fellows casting such Injurious Reproaches against the Holy Man, has caus'd us somewhat to digress. But as I begun to say, though St. Dionysius had been the Man that had taught Holy Orders to have been a Sacrament, yet that's however sufficient to convince Luther, when he afferts the Invention of the Sacraments to be but a new thing; seeing He not only confesses Dionysius to be Antient, but also all the Christian World Honours him for a Saint. So that Luther's Anger against him, is caus'd meerly through Malice, which suffers him to brook nothing contrary to his wicked Heresies.

But now that his Vanity in every place may the more plainly appear: I will shew, that not only St. Dionysius, but also St. Gregory, and St. Augustine, (whom he falsly calls his Patron,) takes Orders for a Sacrament. Moreover, this indefaceable Character (by him derided) though not call'd by that very Name, yet St. Hierom in the Sacrament of Baptism writes plainly enough of the thing it self, to which also St. Augustin has had regard, both in the Sacrament of Bap-

tism and Orders.

I will therefore begin with St. Hierom of the Character of Baptism, that the Character of Orders may more evidently appear; which for its indelibility, both St. Augustine and St. Gregory compares with the Sacrament of Orders. St. Hierom therefore on these words of Ephes. 4. St. Paul to the Ephesian. Do not Contristate the Holy Spirit of God, in which you were signed in the day of Redemption: Writes thus, But we have been sign'd with s. Hierom the Holy Ghost, that our Spirit and Soul may be sealed with the Signet of God, and that we may receive that Image

Image and similitude after which we were first Great ted.

This Seal of the Holy Ghost, according to the Words of our Saviour, is stamp'd by God himself; For, says he, this has God the Father sign d. And a little after, He is therefore sign'd, that he may keep the Seal; and that he may in the day of Redemption, shew it pure, sincere and unchang'd; that therefore he may receive his reward with those who are Redeem'd. Amongst all those, who have ever writ of the Character of Sacraments, none could ever have more plainly express'd the Character, whereby God Almighty figns the Soul through the Sacraments, than St. Hierom has done in these words; not by Humane Fiction (as Luther, that execrable Scoffer of Sacraments, feigns,) but by folid Testimonies of Holy Scriptures.

Character

OTH

What a For a Character is that quality of the Soul, which God Almighty, (best known to himself, and to Us Inscrutable,) doth impress as a Seal, whereby to know his own Flock from Strangers. Which Character, though they stain it with Vices, and turn it from White to Black, from Perfect to Imperfect, from most Pure to Impure: Yet can they never so raze it out, but that in the day of Judgement, those therewith Sign'd, will be known to all the World, to be of his Flock, who has mark'd them with that Signet. Which is the only reason, why the Church, so constantly obferves; that whereas she renews so often other Sacruments, as the Eucharist, Penance, Marriage, Extream Unction; yet never suffers Baptism, Confirmation, and Holy Orders to be renew'd, having Learn'd from the

In which Holy Ghost, that the Seal of the Character is Imprin-Sacrament ted in these Sacraments, so that it cannot be defac'd, a Charafter therefore ought not to be Iterated.

But that it may more evidenly appear that Orders is in this Case like to Baptism; let us hear St. Gregory, S Gregor. Tis (says he) a ridiculous thing to say, that he who has receiv'd Holy Orders, ought to receive them again; for as he who has once been Baptiz'd, ought not to be Baptiz'd again; so he who has been once Consecrated, ought not again to be Consecrated in the same degree of Orders. You fee that the Church suffers not the Sacrament of Orders to be Iterated, no more than that of Baptism, by reafon of its indelible Character. But to thut Luther's Mouth, who calls that Character a Feign'd Thing, and that St. Dionysius was the only Man of all the Antient Fathers, that call'd Holy Orders a Sacrament. We will, as we have Promis'd, give you St. Augustin's words, s. August. who, in treating of Baptism and Holy Orders, speaks thus, They are both Sacraments, and given to Man after certain Consecration; the one at his Baptism, the other when he receives Holy Orders; Therefore is it not Lawful in the Holy Catholick Church to Iterate either of them. For when any Heretical Minister is Receiv'd into the Church for the good of Peace; if, after the Errour of Schism is corrected; it should seem necessary, they should Exercise the same Office, which they had before: Yet are they not to be Ordain'd again; for as Baptism remains intire in them, so Orders also, because the Vice confifted in the Seperation, not in the Sacraments, which are the same where ever they are. And a little after, Injury must be done to neither of the two Sacraments,

And of the Sacrament of Orders, he adds, That, as he that breaks off from Unity, has it not rightly, yet has it: So likewise he does not rightly give it, yet gives it. And returning again to both, it hinders them not, (fays he) from being the Sacraments of Christ and his Church, because Hereticks and wicked persons use them unlawfully; but these men are to be Corrected, and punish d, and the Sa-

craments.

mords.

mords.

Priefts.

craments to be acknowledg'd and Venerated. You see how void of Truth it is, that Luther so boldly boasts, Luther's viz. That the Sacrament of Holy Orders was unknown to the Church of Christ: That Character is an idle Fiction: That the Invention of Sacraments is a new thing: That Holy Orders was no Sacrament amongst the Antients. You see nothing of what he has said, but has been Rejected by the Testimony of such Persons, as he cannot seperate from the Church of Christ; for they were Illustrious therein by Doctrine of Faith and Exemplary Lives; Nor can he reckon them among the Moderns, if 1000 years be not with him as one day. Notwithstanding he opposes himself against all the Reasons Luther's Authority and Faith of all, by this one Argument. We are all Priests (says he) according to that of St. Peter. Te are all a Royal Priesthood, and Priestly Kingdom; 1 Pet. 2. but as one cannot be more a Man than another, so one can His Lay- be no more a Priest than another: Those therefore who

are call'd Priests, are no other but Lay-men, chosen by the only consent of the People, or Elected by the Bishop, not without the People: For to Preach and Ordain, is nothing but the meer Ministry, without any thing of Sacrament. We have not only Faithfully repeated his Argument, but also freely set down whatever may support him: And yet who would not laugh at this Doltish Divine? For if the Order of Priesthood is therefore nothing, because every Chrstian is a Priest: By the same Reason it will follow, that Christ had nothing above Saul. For David said of Saul, Peccavi tangens Christum Domini, I have finn'd in touching [Christum] the Anointed of our Lord. Or that Christ had nothing above them, of whom it is said, Nolite tangere Christos meos; Touch 1 King. 24 not mine Anointed. Finally, that God had nothing above all those of whom he said by the Prophet, I have

Said ye are Gods, and are all the Sons of the most High.

Ĭπ

of the seven SACR AMENTS.

In a word, all Christians are Kings in the same manner Luther that they are Priests; For its not only said, Ye are a no Kings, Royal Priesthood; but also, A Priestly Kingdom. Let or all us diligently observe what this Serpent Designes, who Kings. I suppose is more Crafty than to think this Argument of any Consequence, but only licks, that he may afterwards Bite: He extolls the Laity to the Priesthood, for this only Reason, that he may reduce Priests to the rank of the Laity; denying Priesthood to be a Sacrament, but only a custom of Electing a Preacher: And faying, That He who Preaches, is no more a Priest, than the other; Nay, no more a Priest, than a Painted Man, is a Man. Contrary to St. Paul, who writing to Timothy, fays, The Priests that Rule well, are worthy of double 1 Tim. 5. Honour, especially such as Labour in the Word and Doctrine. The Apostle by this evidently Teaches, that though those are Most worthy of double Honour, who being Priests, do Labour in the Word and Doctrine: Yet those who perform not This, but can only govern well, are also Priests; and merit double Honour. Otherwise he would not have said, Especially those who Labour in the Word and Doctrine; but only fuch as labour therein.

Furthermore, that Luther may not be able to hold what he says, viz. That the Priests Office, is nothing Luther's but to Preach to the People: For to say Mass (says he) is nothing but to receive the Communion for himself. I fay, that it may appear how false this is, let us again hear the Apostles words, Every Priest (says he) that is taken out from amongst Men, is constituted for Men, in the things which belong to God, that he may offer Gifts and Sacrifices for their sins. Does not this plainly shew us, that a Priests Duty requires from him, to offer Sacrifices to God for Men? Though writing to the Hebrews, (yet not willing, Christians should be any thing Fewilby)

fewish:) It is evident to be spoken of the Priestood of both laws; so that Luther is twice pres'd by this Testimony. For he also Teaches Mass to be a Sacrifice, and to be offer'd for the People, seeing the Church offers no other; And he Teacheth, that the Duty of Offering it, is the chief part of the Priests Charge. And truly if Luther's words were not false, how easily may you see it to follow: That seeing none but a Priest can Consecrate our Lords Body, of so many thousand Priests that have not the Gift of Preaching, if they were not truly Priests, but only Equivocally so call'd, as a Painted Man is call'd a Man: Then would almost all the Christian World have no other God, or People but Idolaters, Adoring Bread for Christ, and bending their knees to Baal.

In the Rite of Electing, as he calls it, he Attributes the Chief Power to the People; for though in one place, he feems to give this Rite promiscuously to the Bishop and People, when he says, That although tis certain all Christians are equally Priests, and that they have alike Power in all the Sacraments: Tet that none can lawfully Exercise this Power, without the Consent of the Congregation, or the Vocation of a Superior. Yet in another place he gives the greatest Right to the People, when speaking of Priests, he says, Who if they were compell'd to admit all of us, who have been Baptiz'd equally to be Priests, as indeed we are: And that the Ministry is only given to them by our Consent, they should know also that they have no right of Ruling over us, but what we admit them of our own free Will. Which two places being compar'd together, shews his Opinion to be, That the People without the Bishop, but not the Bishop without the People can Ordain Priests; As appears by his faying, That the Ministry only is permitted to the Priests, and that not without the Consent of People: Which

of the Jeven SACRAMERIS.

Which if true, a Priest cannot be Ordain'd without the Peoples Confent; by which alone, he fays, That

Bishops were formerly made Rulers of the Church.

It cannot be deny d, (fays he) that the true Churches Luther were formerly govern'd by Elders, without the Ordinati-prophanes ons and Consecrations; being chosen to this by reason of ment of their Age and long Experience in things of that kind. Orders. Pray let him shew us where he finds these things? For my part, I do not think them to be true. For if every Lay-man have equal Power over any of the Sacraments, with a Priest; and if the Order of Priesthood stand for nothing, why writes the Apostle thus to Timothy, Neglect not the Grace which is in thee, and which has I Tim. 4. been given thee by Prophesy, by the Imposition of Hands of the Presbetery? And in another place, to the same, I admonish thee, that thou stir up the Grace of God that is in thee, by the Imposition of my Hands. Again, Impose Hands suddenly on no Man, neither be thou partaker of other men sins. Finally, these are the words of the Apostle to Titus. For this cause left I thee in Crete, Tit. 3. that thou should'st correct the things that are wanting; and constitute Priests by Cities, even as I have appointed thee.

Now Reader, have you in a few words feen some passages of the Apostle, by comparing of which, you may eafily discover, that whatsoever Luther has thus disorderly vented against Order, are meer Fictions and Lyes. For what he fays, Is done by the Peoples Confent, St. Paul shews to be done by the Bishop, while he says, He has left at Crete, to that end that he should Ordain Priests in the Cities, and that not rashly, but as he himself, when present, had appointed. You see Priests to be made by Imposition of Hands. And that it may not be doubted that Grace is also given at the same time, you fee that it is confer'd by Imposition of Hands. Stir

110

up (says he,) the Grace of God, which has been given thee by the Imposition of my Hands. And this also, Neglest not the Grace which is in thee, and which has been given thee through Prophesy, by Imposition of the Hands of the Presbytery. Take notice of these things. I admire that Luther is not asham'd to deny the Sacrament of Holy Orders, being not Ignorant that the Words of St. Paul are in every mans Hands; which Teach, that a Priest cannot be Ordain'd but by a Bishop, and not without Confecration: In which both the Corporal Sign is adhibited, and so much Spiritual Grace infus'd, that he who is Confecrated, not only receives the Holy Ghost for himself, but also the Power of Imparting it to others. Can that which the Apostle has writ be New, though 'tis so affirm'd by Luther? How can it be unknown to the Church, which is, and has at all times been read through the Universal Church of Christ? By these things, 'tis manifest, that of all whatsoever, Luther has rail'd out so confidently against Holy Orders, not one Syllable is true, but all the meer lying Inventions of his malice.

Of the Sacrament of Extream-Unction.

In this Sacrament of Extream-Unction; that Luther might be twice derided himself, he twice Scoffes the Church. First, Because Divines, (says he) do call this Unction a Sacrament; as if those he calls Divines, were the only men who calls it a Sacrament. Again, Because they call it Extream; to which, as to the second, he himself objects after a Joaking manner, what he

he can never Answer in earnest: For it may be rightly called Extream, as being the last of four. Afterwards, to shew that it is no Sacrament, himself first Objects, what he foresees every Body will Object against him, viz. The words of St. James the Apostle, If any be Sick among st you, let him send for the Priests of Jam. 5. the Church; and let them Pray over him, Anointing him with Oyle in the Name of our Lord: And the Prayers of the Faithful shall save the Sick, and our Lord will raise him up; and if he be in Sins, they shall be forgiven him. These words, which according to his own Definition, most apparently testifie Extream-Unction to be a Sacrament, as wanting neither a Visible Sign, nor Promise of Grace: He immediatly begins with most impudent Confidence to deride, as if they were of no manner of force. For my part; (says he) I fay, that if ever there was folly acted, it is especially in this place. And I, again on the contrary do affirm, that if ever Luther was Mad at any time, as indeed his Madness appears almost in every place, he is certainly Distracted here, in the Sacrament of Extream-Unction, to an extream height of Madness. I omit (says he) that many do probably affert this not to be the Epistle of the Apostle St. James, nor worthy an Apostolick Spirit, though by custom, whosoever it be, it has obtain'd Authority. Tet if it were certainly written by the Apostle St. James, Ishould say that it is not lawful for an Apostle to Institute a Sacrament by his own Authority: That is, To give a Divine Promise, with a Sign joyn'd thereunto: This belongs to Christ alone. So that St. Paul Says, That he receiv'd from our Lord the Sacrament of the Eucharist; and that he was sent, not to Baptize, but to Preach the Gospel. But of the Sacrament of Extream-Unction we read no where in the Gospel. You see how he endeavours here, two ways to weaken the words of the Apostle.

Luther

8 19

Apostle. First, He will not have the Epistle to have been writ by the Apostle. Secondly, Though 'twas by Him written, yet will he not have the Apostle to have Authority of Instituting Sacraments. Although he has propos'd these two things in a few Words, and passes hastily on to some other; yet are they the chief Weapons by which he intends to destroy this Sacrament; for what else he says are but trifles, whereby he takes occasion to Laugh, as if the Church did not well in obseving this Sacrament. But these two do come both to the same thing: For if the Epistle has not been writ by the Apostle, or is not worthy an Apostolical Spirit; or if for the Apostle's giving this Unction for a Sacrament; it be not the more approv'd to be one: Yet it should follow plainly, that nothing could be effected by these words. If he had said, that it was formerly doubted whose Epistle this was, he had said truly; for the Church admits nothing rashly, it discusses every thing diligently; And this it doth, that every thing it receives, may be had for greater Certainty; though it were only directed by Humane Policy. But when he says, That many do affert this Epistle, not only, not to be of the Apostles writing; but also, unworthy of an Apostolical Spirit; and that they not only affert, but probably affert this: 'Tis more than probable, he cannot prove what he fays; otherwise let him Name some of these many persons; which if they be of the Church, I suppose they are not so many, nor of so great Authority, as to be able to stand out against the whole Church. But as yet he has produc'd none; I will therefore bring one who may suffice against his many, to wit, St. Hierom, who in Holy Scriptures was the most Learned of his time, and has as exactly distinguish'd between dubious and real things, as could be possible. This great Man, after he had for some time remain'd doubt-

ful

of the seven SACRAMENTS. 119

ful of the Epistle of St. Saul, and that only at such time as it was not confirm'd by a full Confent of the whole Church: Yet he pronounces the Epistle of St. James to be undoubtedly of his own Writing; his words s. Hierom. are these, St. James, St. Peter, St. Jude, and St. John, have publish'd seven Epistles, as Mistycal, as they are Succinct and short; yea, likewise long: Short in Words, and long in Sentences, so that there are not many, who would not be blinded in the Reading them. The same St. Hierom of the seven Canonical Epistles speaks thus, The first of them is one of St. James's, second of St. Peter's, three of St. John's, one of St. Jude's: You fee how this Father has the same Opinion of of St. James's Epistle that he has of St. Peter's; nor does he think it unworthy an Apostolical Spirit: Truly if Luther had brought us any reasons why this Epistle must not be accounted St. James's, though of some other person, who should speak in the same Spirit; yet should he be in some case tolerable. But now he fays, It is not probable it should be St. James's, because it is unworthy an Apostolical Spirit: In which thing, I will bring no Objections, but Luther's own against Luther; for none did ever more frequently and strongly contradict himself, then Lu-wounded ther. In the Sacrament of Holy Order he fays, The by his own Church has Power given her to discern the Word of God, weapons. from the Words of Men. How then does he fay, that this Epistle unworthy an Apostolical Spirit, which the Church, whose Judgement (as himself confesses) cannot Err in this, has judg'd it to be full of Apostolical Spirit? Wherefore he has now by his own Wisdom fo hemm'd himself in on all sides, that he must necessarily consent that this Epistle belongs to the Apostle, contrary to what he has affirm'd to be probable. Or that the Church can Err in distinguishing Scripture, which before he deny'd. If he says that the Church.

has approved, as worthy of an Apostolical Spirit, what is unworthy, then is he a Blasphemer against the Church: If he hold that the Apostle has writ what is unworthy an Apostle, then is he a Blasphemer against the Apostle.

We have therefore sufficiently confuted this: Indeed he has fufficiently confuted himself, in denying the Epistle to belong to the Apostle, or to be worthy an Apostolical Spirit. Now come we to that, in which, like a Valiant Man, he openly sets upon the Apostle himself, saying, That though it was of the Apostles writing, yet is it not lawful for an Apostle to Institute a Sacrament by his own Authority; that is, To give a Divine Promise with a Sign thereunto adjoyn'd. For this (fays he) belongs to Christ alone. Othis Happy Age! in which Luther this new Doctor of the Gentiles is risen, who will seem himself to follow the Example Luther's of St. Paul, by refifting an Apostle to his face, as not Arguments going the right way to the Gospel of Christ, but (which is more then if he should teach the Gentiles to Judaize)

Ifaiæ 14.

arrogating to himself the Power of Promising Grace, and Instituting Sacraments, usurping in that the Power of Christ: Like the proud and Traterous Angel, who faid, I will establish my Throne in the North, and be like to the most High. The Pope has no great cause of being vex'd at his Reproaches, who charges such Inormous Crimes upon the Apostle himself. For seeing 'tis certain this Epistle belongs to the Apostle, what else does he then, but manifeltly accuse the Apostle of having (without Authority, and against all Right) Instituted this Sacrausent? Nay, when he denies the Epistle to belong to the Apostle; least he should leave off his Calumny, he professes, that he would say as much, if it were of the *Apostles* own writing. Indeed, though some think, that the *Apostles* received Power of Instituting Sacraments, not without the Power of the Holy Ghost,

Ghoft, which God fent them at Pentecoft, and of which Christ had foretold, The Holy Ghost which I will send Joh. 14. unto you, He shall Teach you all things. Yet shall not I dispute it at this time, whether an Apostle has such Power or no, because tis now, not necessary to dispute it. But seeing 'tis evident, that the Apostle gives Us this Unction as a Sacrament; I do not doubt, but it is really a Sacrament: And that the Apostle was not so Impiously Arrogant, as to give the People for a Sacrament, what was in reality no fuch thing. But if the Apostle had not the Power of Instituting this Sacrament himself, then has he deliver'd it to the Peope in these words, as he receiv'd it from Christ; Who, as he would notify to the World somethings by St. Matthew, fome by St. Luke, some by St. John, and some by the Apostle St. Paul. Why is it not possible he should be pleas'd to make known some things unto us, by the Apostle St. James?

Luther having thus strenuously behav'd himself a- Luther gainst the Apostle, begins now altogether to turn him-leaves the self against the Church, Which (as he says) abuseth the Apostle, and begins Words of the Apostle, in not Administring this Unction with the to the Sick, but when at the point of Death: Whereas whole Church. St. James says, If any be Sick, not if any be Dying. As if the Church sinn'd in not exhibiting inconsiderately in every light Feaver, contracted perhaps by too much Drinking, so great a thing as a Sacrament: Or in not attributing to her self a Miracle in Healing such Disease, as either Sleep, or Abstinence can Cure. That it may not be doubted, though the Apostle Writes Sick, yet he did not mean a Man in every light Sickness, but troubled with fuch Sickness, as if Cur'd, may shew to

be taken away by Virtue of the Sacrament.

And that this Sacrament is not to be adhibited, but in great Sickness, appears by all the Prayers which are faid

122

faid over the Sick person, which no doubt are very Antient, and not of the new Invention of those he calls Divines. And though they do not Promise an assured Health of the Body, yet do they not despair of Health, Nor do they (as Luther says,) come to such only, as are sure undoubtedly to die, for it should be in vain to Pray for his Health, if they were sure of his Death.

Therefore the Churches intention, is, not (as he Importinently Cavels) that this should be the Last Sacrament, although it is so call'd, but on the contrary, and that the Sick person may recover his Health: Which if God is not pleas'd he should; yet that is no prejudice to the force and Virtue of the Sacrament, which tends more to the Curing of the Soul, than to the Health of the

Body.

As for Luther's Reason, concerning the Efficacy of the Sign, 'tis altogether without Reason or Efficacy: If that Unction be (says he) a Sacrament, it ought without doubt to be an Effectual Sign of what it Promises; but it Promises the Health and Recovery of the Sick, as appears by the Words, The Prayers of the Faithful shall Save the Sick, and our Lord will raise him up. who sees not but this Promise is fulfill'd in very few? What shall we say then, (saith he) For either the Apostle speaks false in this Promise, or else this Unction is no Sacrament; for a Sacramental Promise is certain, but this for the most part fails. It appears by this only Argument, that Luther cares not much how open his Calumnies are, so that he can but under some pretext of Truth, impose upon the unwary: For he shames not to object against the Divines, (as said by them,) what they never spoke: A Sacrament (says he) is according

vines say no such thing as he to their sayings, an Effectual Sign of what it Promiseth; affirms but this Sacrament gives not the Health of the Body, which

which it promiseth. But Divines say no such thing; they say it is an Effectual Sign of Grace, defining it thus, A Sacrament is a Visible Sign of Invisible Grace: They do not speak of the Health of the Body, which may be given without Grace. So that when he fays, That if Unction be a Sacrament, the Apostle should Lye: 'Tis Luther himself that Lyeth. For the Sacrament, in as much as it is a Sacrament, Promiseth not the Health of the Body, but of the Soul, by a Corporeal Sign. Nevertheless, Luther comprehends under the same Lye, not only the Apostle, but Christ himself, though Unction were no Sacrament: For the Words and Promise ought to be true also, without the Sacrament. Therefore when the Apostle says, The Sick shall be Healed by Unction and Prayers; And when Christ fays, These Signs shall follow those that believe in him, to wit, That they should lay Hands on the Sick, and they (bould be Healed: Who sees not that sometimes these things are perform'd, but not always? Neither yet are they false who Promis'd them: For in whatsoever words they Promis'd Coporeal things, yet every body knows, they never Promis'd them to be perpetual, when the Body in which they are to be done, cannot last always. But Spiritual things are here to be understood, because the Spirit is to live for ever. For Luther's Sentence, which exacts from the Divines, that if Unction is a Sacrament, it may always Cure that it may not be an Ineffectual Sign: Undertakes to prove that it cannot be a Sacrament, if it renders not the Body Immortal. Which nevertheless he himself Promises to be done by the Prayers of Good men, without the least staggering in Faith. For (says he) there is no doubt, but at this day, as many as we please may be Cur'd: Which if true, such a Faith as this may preferve Man Immortal. For feeing this may be done R 2

by Faith, not only sometimes, but, as he affirms, always, if Faith be stable and indoubtful: 'Tis probable indeed, if any one ever meet with such a Faith: And doubtless Luther was a man of such Faith, as Luther's having so much thereof, that in favour of it, in many places he almost bids Defyance to Good-Works: Being likewise one to whom God has reveal'd so many, and so great Mysteries, and who Erects a new Church, for for which Miracles are absolutely necessary: Tis therefore likely that Luther can perform abundantly whatever can be done by Faith. If this be true, I wonder he Cures not every dying person! We look for News daily from Germany of his raising the Dead. Yet for all this, we hear that not only none are Cur'd by him, but that by his Adherents many Good and Innocent Priests are kill'd, and cruelly Murther'd for his sake, that by this Example he may Teach, That Holy Order is nothing: That Character is a Fiction: That David was timorous for repenting himself to have touch'd the Lords Anointed.

These are Luther's Cures wrought by his great Faith without Good-works. For seeing he Kills, and Cures not, it appears plainly, (as he fays, That Prayers are to be made not only by Faith, but also by Good Men,) that Luther's not being a Good Man, can therefore Cure no body himself. This Unttion, he says, is no Sacrament, because it does not always heal the Body: But himself is a Holy Man, by whom as 'tis reported, Luther a the Body is kill'd, and certainly Souls are kill'd. Destroyer James writes nothing worthy an Apostolick Spirit: dy and Soul But Luther writes every thing worthy fuch Spirit, and descerns things unworthy thereof, and that against the whole Church; which as he acknowledges, cannot be deceiv'd in differning such Scripture. In which thing, when I had read St. James's Epistle, and saw

Faith.

of the Seven SACRAMENTS.

fo many things worthy an Apostolick Spirit therein, as The Sanstithe Joy in overcoming Temptations, Patience in Ad-James his versity, Wisdom to be beg'd from God, Hopes to be Epistle, placed in God without staggering, with many such and how worthy an like; all which are read in the Apostle: I much won-Apostoder what Reason Luther had to think them unworthy lickspirit.
to have been writ by an Apostle. But perhaps Luther would that the Apostle had writ such things as these, Writings to wit, That Mass is not prositable to the People, that worthy an Order is a vain Fiction: and such like, as himself Apostowrites, which are all things worthy an Apostolick Spirit. lick Spirit.

But though, as I faid, I admir'd why Luther should be so much displeas'd at St. James's Epistle; yet ha- why Luving read it more attentively, I wonder not at all: there is so displeas'd For by the Apostles Writings, I find that he so nar-atthe Apostles rowly touches Luther every where, as if by his Pro- file St. phetick Spirit, he had plainly foreseen him. For when Episte. Luther, under pretext of Faith, despises Good Works, St. James on the other side Disputes by Reason, Scripture, and Example, that Faith without Works is Dead : Ja. 10 Nor is it in one place alone, that by bitter Words, he refists that Pratling Petulancy of Luther. If any one (says he) esteem himself Religious, not Bridling his Tongue, but seducing his own Heart, his Religion is vain. Besides Luther frets at this, which he sees very is a restless evil, full of deadly poison. Finally he perceives, what the Apostle has writ against Contentious Persons, is truly spoken against his own Opinions: For (says the Apostle) Who is Wife and well Disciplin'd among you? Let him shew forth his Works by a good Con-place. versation, in the meekness of Wisdom; because, if you have the Zeal of Souls, and Contentions be in your Hearts, do not glory, being Lyers against the Truth. For this is not Wisdom descending from above from the Father of

Lights,

Lights, but an Earthly, Beastly, and Diabolical Wisdom: For where Zeal is joyn'd with Contention, there also is Inconstancy, and every Naughty Work. But the Wisdom which is from above, is first of all shamefac'd, then peaceable, modest, complyable, agreeiug with good things, full of Mercy and Good works, Judging without dissimulation: And the fruit of Justice is sown in Peace to the workers of Peace.

These (Gentle Reader) are the Words which moves Luther to wrath against the Apostle. These, I say, are the Words whereby the Apostle as openly touches Luther's Petulancy, Railings, Wicked and Contentious Opinions; even as if he had seen Him, and Read his Words. I question not but his Epistle, though never so much despis'd by Luther, will sufficiently prove to all Christians the Sacrament of Extream-Unition; nor shall Luther be ever so Powerful, as to be able to abolish any Sacrament, which for the Salvation of the Faithful has been receiv'd by the Church, Against which the Gates of Hell shall never prevail; much less this single Brother, who is but a Sooty Wicket of Hell.

We have in this little Book (Gentle Reader) clearly demonstrated, I hope, how absurdly and Impiously Lattlet has handled the Holy Sacraments. For though we have not touch'd all things contain'd in his Book; yet so far as was necessary to defend the Sacraments, (which was only our Design) I suppose I have treated, though not so sufficiently as might have been done, yet more than is even necessary; Insomuch that it behoves me not to insist any longer thereupon, else were it no hard matter to enrich this Discourse with more plentiful Arguments, Laws and Sentences of the Holy Fathers, and Scripture it self, if it were not in vain upon Luther's account, and for others more than necessary;

ceffary; for 'tis as easie for the Æthiopian to change his Colour, or the Leopard his Spots, as for Luther to be Converted by Teaching. But that others may understand how false and wicked his Doctrine is, least they might be so far deceived as to have a good Opinion of him; I doubt not but in all parts there are very Learned Men, though I had faid nothing at all of this matter, who have much more clearly discover'd the fame, then can be shewn by me. And if there be any who defire to know this strange Work of his, I think I have fufficiently made it apparent to them. For feeing by what has been faid, it is evident to all men, what Sacrilegious Opinions he has of the Sacrament of our Lords Body, from which the Sanctity of all the other Sacraments flow: Who would have doubted, if I had faid nothing elfe, how unworthily, without scruple, he treats all the rest of the Sacraments? Which, as you have feen, he has handled in fuch fort, that he Abolishes and Destroys them all, except Baptism alone; and That too, he has abus'd and depriv'd of all Grace, leaving it for no other end, than in a Contumely of Penance; in some denying the Sign, in others the Matter it felf: Neither proves he anything in this for great a matter; nor brings he any thing in Confirma-tion of his Doctrine, contenting himself in only deny-ing what ever the Church admits. What every body believes, he alone by his vain reason laughs at, denouncing himself to admit nothing, but clear and evident Scriptures: And these too, if alledg'd by any against him, he either evades by some private Exposition of his own, or else denies them to belong to their own Authors. None of the Doctors are so Antient, none so Holy, none of so great Authority in Treating of Holy Writ; But this New Doctor, this little Saint, this man of Learning; rejects with great Authority.

King Henry VIII's Affertion
Seeing therefore he despiseth all men, and believes \$28

none, he ought not to take it ill, if every Body discredit him again. I am so far from holding any further dispute with him, that I almost repent my self of what I have already argu'd against him. For what avails it to dispute against one, who disagrees with every one, even with himself? Who affirms in one place, what he denies in another; denying what he presently as
Luther firms: Who, if you object Faith, Combates by Reason;

tens.

Like Proif you touch him with Reason, pretends Faith. If you

alledge Phylosophers, he flyes to Scripture; if you propound Scripture, he trifles with Sophistry: Who is asham'd of nothing, fears none, and thinks himself under no Law. Who contemns the Antient Doctors of the Church, and derides the New ones in the highest degree; Loads with Reproaches the Chief Bishop of the Church. Finally, he so undervalues the Customs, Doctrine, Manners, Laws, Decrees, and Faith of the Church; yea, the whole Church it felf, that he almost denies there is any fuch thing as a Church; except per-haps fuch a one as himself makes up of two or three Hereticks, of whom himself is Chief. Wherefore since he is such a one, as will have no solid or certain principle betwixt himself and his Adversary; but requires to be free in whatever pleases him, when it pleases him, and as often as it pleases him, lawfully to affert or deny; when, neither Reason, Scripture, Custom, Laws, Humane or Divine Authority, binds him. I thought it not fit to dispute any longer with him, nor to contend by painful Reason against his Heresies, which he confirms by no Reason. But I rather advise all Christians, that as the most exterminating of Plagues, they shun him, who endeavours to bring into the Church of Christ such foul Prodigies, being the very Doctrine of Antichrist. For if he who Studies to move a

be avoid. ed as a Plague.

Schilm

Schism in any one thing, is to be extirpated with all Care; with what great endeavours is He to be rooted out, who not only goes about to fow Diffention, to ftir up the People against the Chief Bishop, Children against their Parents, Christians against the Vicar of Christ: Finally, who endeavours to dissolve by his Tumults, Brawls, and Contentions the whole Church of Christ, which He in the time of his Precious Death has bound together by the Bond of Charity and Love: And also to Destroy, Prophane and Pollute with a most execrable Mind, filthy Tongue, and detestable touch what is most Sacred therein? Who if he did but give any hopes of Cure in himself, or any Sign of Amendment, he would thereby move all People to regard his Disposition, and to endeavour by all good means posfible to heal him, and to restore him to Soundess of Mind, that he might again revoke the Herefies he has Broach'd. But indeed, as yet I see in him all the Signs that precede Death; I am not so much mov'd to think thus, by reason of his Disease, though never so Mortal; as by his admitting of no Medicine, nor of any Manual Operation of the Chyrurgion: For how can he be Cur'd, who will not fuffer himself to be handled? Or in what manner is he to be dealt withall; who, if you Teach him, trifles with you? If you Advise him, is Angry? If you exhort him, Resists? If in any thing you would appeale him, is incens'd? If you refist him, is Mad? Otherwise if he could be Cur'd, what has the Pious Vicar of Christ omitted, who following the Example of a good Shepherd, would feek, find, take on Bishops his Shoulders, and bring home to the Fold this lost Pious Care Sheep? But alas the most greedy Wolfe of Hell has Sur. for him, pris'd him, Devour'd and Swallow'd him down into the lowest part of his Belly, where he lyes half alive, and half dead in Death: And whilft the Pious Paftor calls

130

King Henry VIII's Affertion
him, and bewails his loss, he Belches out of the filthy
Mouth of the Hellish Wolf these foul inveighings,
which the Ears of the whole Flock do detest, disdain,

and abhor.

For first of all, being un-provok'd in any kind, he propos'd some Articles of Indulgences; in which (under pretence of Godliness,) he most Impiously Defam'd the Chief Bishop: Afterwards, that he might under pretence of Honour and Duty, cast on the Pope the greater Aspersion, he transmitted them to Rome, as if submitting himself to the Pope's Judgement; but he augmented them with Declarations, much worse than they were themselves; That it might appear to all men, the Pope not be Councell'd by a good and Pious man, but derided by a Knavish little Brother, as if so stupid as to hold for an Honour such a Contumely as the like thereof had never before been heard. If the Pope deserv'd no ill, why has this degenerate Son, cast a false and undeserving Scandal on his Father? But if any thing had been done at Rome, which needed Reforming: yet if Luther had been (as he would be accounted) an Honest man, and zealous Christian, he should not have prefer'd his own private Glory before the publick Good of all others, nor have defir'd to have had the Credit of a Scorner amongst the Wicked, Laughing at the Nakedness of his Sleeping Father, uncovering, and pointing thereto with his Finger: But contrariwife, would have cover'd the fame, and would have more fecretly advis'd him in his own Person by Letters, following the Example of the Apostle, who commands us not to deride or reproach our Superiours, but to feek of them. Which if Luther had done, I doubt not but the most holy Pope, (fo well is his great Benignity known to all men) being awaken'd, should have Bleffed his Son Faphet, would have render'd him Thanks for his Piety: And

And would not have Curfed him in his Anger, who has forborn to Curse him when he was mocked by him; but pittying the miserable, and more tender of a Son, than mindful of a Scoffer, has dealt with him by most Honourable Men, in whose presence he was not worthy to appear, that he might defift from his Iniquity: To which Pious and wholesom Councel, he was so far from obeying, that he not only derided the Legate, careful for his Salvation, but also immediately published another Book, in which he endeavour'd to overthrow the Pope's Power: After which, he was fummon'd to Rome, that he might either render Reafons of his Writings, or recant what he had inconfiderately written, having any Security imaginable offer'd him, not to undergo the Punishment which he deferv'd, with sufficient Expences offer'd him for his Journey: Yet for all this, this Silly Brother, to shew his great Modesty and Obedience to the Pope, resus'd to go, unless in the Equipage of a King, and Guarded by a War-like Army: But this Wary Man made his Appeal to a General Council; yet not to every Council, but to fuch as should next meet in the Holy Ghost, that in whatsoever Council he was Condemn'd, he might deny the Holy Ghost to be present therein; for this Holy and Spiritual Man denies him to be any where, but in his own Bosom: Wherefore, being oftentimes The Good advis'd to Repent of his Impiety, he as often adds ving at last Impiety to Impiety. So that the Good Shepheard cast away was at the last forc'd to cast away from the Fold this Incurable Scabbed and Incurable Sheep, fearing least by its touch provides it might Infect the whole Flock; and to bewail his for the rest Absolom's Death, whose Life he could not save; whilst of the flock. he beheld him hang in the Tree by his Comely Hair, 2 King. 18 of which he was foolifhly Proud. Luther therefore, finding himself cast out from the Fellowship of the

Faithful, began to to do like the deplorable Impious, who contemn the Gulf they are ready to be plung'd in-He has not Sigh'd, he has not Lamented his Fall. in which, like Exalted Lucifer, he has been cast down. and broken even as a flash of Lightning; but imitating the Devil in despair, he becomes like the Devil himself; that is, a Slanderer, beginning to break out into Blasphemies and Contumelies against the Pope, and envying all the rest of the Faithful: Like the Old Serpent, he begins to spread abroad the Snares of Infidelity, that by tasting of the forbidden Fruit of hurtful Knowledge, he might procure their Expulsion out of the Paradice of the Church (from which he had fallen himself, into a land of Thorns and Thistles. I am indeed heartily forry for his so great Madness, and Miferable Fall; and I wish, that as yet, by the Inspiration of Gods Grace, he may Repent, be Converted, and Live: Nor do I so much desire this for his sake alone (though for him also, as wishing the Salvation of every Man possible,) as that he being at last Converted, and like the Prodigal Son returning to the Mercy of so Bountiful a Father, and Confessing his Errour, may re-call again into the right way, those whom he has missed. But now if he has so deeply plung'd himself, that the Pit of Wickedness and Despair has gorg'd him into its Mouth, he Rails, he Blasphemes, He Slanders, He Rages, And He who is Apoc. 22. filthy, becomes more filthy still.

But I befeech all the rest of Christians, and beg of them through the Bowels of Christ, (whose Faith we profess) to shut their Ears against his Impious Words, and not to entertain any Schisms or Discords amongst them, especially at this time, when all Christians ought, however, to agree together against the Enemies of Christ; Also let them not give Ear to Opprobrious

De-

Detractions against the Vicar of Christ, thrown upon him by this Wicked Brother: Neither let them contaminate their Hearts Consecrated to Christ, with Impious Heresies, sown by him who is void of Charity, swell'd with Pride, in Reason cold, but hot in Envy. Finally, let them stand up against this puney Brother, weak in Power. but in Mind more Pernicious, than either Turk, Saracen, or Insidel: Let them, I say, resist him with the same mind and resolution, that they would the Turks, Saracens, and worst of Insidels.

FINIS,

ERRATA.

N page 2. line 4 for Quarrels read Contumelies, l. 33. for not not r. not. p. 6.1. 28. r. unmoved, and l. 29. for not r. but. p. 24. l. 7. r. bis Apostles, p. 32. l. penult r. Testament, p. 46. l. 17. r. Bowels of Christ, p. 54. l. 19 r. the second Table, p. 58. l. 16 r. I would not have, p. 61. l. 7. r. has not scrupled, p. 72. l r. the words of Christ, p. 78. l. 15. r. if he think, p. 86. l. 27. r. Husbands, p. 88. l. 20. r. Body of Christ, p. 102. l. 14. same Buckler, p. 104. l. r. by God himself.

Books Printed and Sold by Nathaniel Thompson, at the Entrance into the Old-Spring-Garden near Charing-Cross.

Manual of Controversies, clearly demonstrating the Truth of Catholick Religion, By Texts of Hoy Scripture, Councils of all Ages, Fathers of the first 500 years, Common sense and Reason. And fully Answering the principal Objections of Protestants, and all other Sectaries. Price bound 1.6.6 d.

A Manual of Devout Prayers, Fitted for all persons and Occasions. To which is added the Rosary, Latine and Engish, Prayers to the Blessed Virgin Mary, &c. the Prayers of St. Bridget, and other most devout Prayers upon several occasions, never before published. With a Catholisk Calender for ever.

price I s.

A most excellent way of hearing Muss, with profit and Devotion; Containing the whole Mass in Latine and English; with divers choice Select Prayers before and after Confession and Communion: And an Examen Conscience To which is added the Rosary of our Blessed Lady, Prayers to the Blessed Virgin Mary, the Prayers of St. Birdget, &c. And several other most Devout Prayers to our Blessed Redeemer. Also the Vespers or Even-Song, in Latine and English, as it is Sung in all Catholick Churches. Newly Corrected. price 1 s.

ACollection of 86 Leyal Poems, all of them Written upon the two late Plots, viz. The horrid Salamanca Plot in 1678. and the real Fanatical Conformacy in 1683. To which is added Advice to the Carver, Written on the Death of the late Lord Stafford. With several Poems on their Majesties Coronation, never before published. The second Edition Collected by N. T. Price Bound 2 s.

A choice Collection of 180 Loyal Songs, all of them Written fince the two late *Plots*, &c. Intermixt with fome new Love Songs. With a *Table* to find every Song. To which is added, the *Musical Notes* to each Song. The Third Edition with many Additions. price 2 s.

The Lawyer Out-Law'd; or a brief Answer to Mr. Hunt's Defence of the Charter. With some useful Remarks on the Commons proceedings in the last

Parliament at Westminster, in a Letter to a Friend. Price 6 d.

Some Brief Remarks on the Debates of the House of commons in the last Parlia

ment at Oxford.

Janua Scientiarum; or, a Compendious Introduction to Geography, Chronology, Government, History, Philosophy; and all gentile forts of LITERATURE. Price Bound is.

The State of the Church Affairs in this Island of Great Britain, under the Government of the Romans and British Kings: Written by a Person of Quality: And published by Authority. price 45.

The Succession of the Church and Sacraments, from Christ and his Apostles to the end of the World, cleared, and stated, according to the Antient Doctrine

of the Greek and Latine Churches. price 6 d.

A brief Explanation of several Mysteries of the H. Mass, and of the Actions of the Priest Celebrating: Very necessary for all Roman Catholicks, for the understanding thereot. Together with certain Resections upon the Apostles Creed, touching the B. Sacrament; and also divers Moditations and Prayers both before and after Communion. price 15.

Veritas

Veritas Evangelica, or the Gospel-Truth, afferted in Sixteen useful Questions, which being seriously searched into, will open the way to find out affuredly the True and Saving Faith of Christ, which is but One, as the Apostle affirms; One Lord, one Faith, one Baptism. Price 1 s. 6 d.

A Discourse of Confession to a Lawful Priest, wherein is Treated oft he last Judgement; By Peter Manby, Dean of London-Derry in Ireland. Price 1 s.

The Confiderations which obliged Peter Manby Dean of London-Derry, to embrace the Roman Catholick Religion. Humbly Dedicated to his Grace the Lord Primate of Ireland. Price 6 d.

Some Queries to the Protestants conerning the English Reformation. By

Peter Manby Dean of London-Dery in Ireland.

Popery Anatomiz'd or the Papifts cleared from the falle Aspersion of Ido latry. price, 4 d.

A Papist Misrepresented and Represented: Or a two-fold Character of

Popery, &s. Price 1 s.

Ordo Recitandi Officii pro Anno Dommini 1687. Price 6 d.

A Net for the Fishers of Men; being the same which Christ gave to his Apostles; wherein the points controverted betwixt catholicks and Sectories are briefly vindicated by way of Dilemma: By two Gentlemen Converts price 4 d.

An Explication of the Holy Ornaments and Ceremonies which the Priest

uses at Mass. Price 2 d.

A Sermon preach'd before the King and Queen in their Majesties Chappel at James, on Sunday, Octob 24. 1686. By the Reverend Father Dom. W. Marsh, Monk of the Holy Order of St. Benedict: Chaplain in Ordinary to his Majesty, published by his Majesties Command; price 6 d.

A Sermon preach'd before their Majesties in their Chappel at St. James's the 25th. Sunday after Pentecost, Nov. 17. 1686. By folin Dormer of the

Society of Fefus. Price 6 d.

A Sermon Freach'd before their Majesties in their Chappel at St. Jamis's the 2d. Sunday in Advent: Dec. 6. 1686. By John Dormer of the Society of

Fesus. price 6 d.

A Sermon of Judgement preach'd before the Queen Dowager in her Majesties Chappel at Somerset-House, on the first Sunday in Advent, being the 25th. of November 1686. By John Dormer of the Society of Jesus. price 6 d. all published by his Majesties Command.

A Sermon preach'd before the King and Queen at their Chappel at St. James's on the Feast of the Holy Patriarch St. Bennet. By J. Cross of the Holy Order

of St. Francis.

An Apology for the Contemplations on the Life and Glory of the Holy Mother of JESUS, shewing the Innocency, Equity, and antiquity of the Honour and Veneration given to Her by the Holy Catholick-church. By J. C.

A New Test of the Church of Englands Loyalty.

Animadversions by way of Answer to a Sermon Preach'd by Dr. Thomas Kenne, Lord Bishop of Bath and Wells; in the Cathedral Church of Bath. On Ajen-

sion-day last, being the fifth day of May, 1687. price 6 d.

A Reply to that Pernicious and Scandalous Libel, lately Printed in Holland, in an Almanack for the year 1687. Written by (whom? but) Jo. Partridge an English Renegado. Manisesting his horrid Treasons, wicked Blassphemies, and most absurd Errours in Art; and exhibiting the genuine fignification of the Stars toward Great Britain. price 6 d.

Stafford's Memoires: Or a brief and Impartial Account of the Birth and Quality, Imprisonment, Tryal, Principles, Devotion, Last Speech, and Final End, of William, late Lord Viscount Stafford. Beheaded on Tower-bill Wednesday the 29. of Decemb. 1680. Whereunto is annexed a short Appendix concerning

fome Passages in Stephen Colle Iges Tryal.

A Remonstrance of Piety and Innocence; containing, the last Devotions and Protessis of several Roman Catholicks, Condemned and Executed on account of the Plot. Faithfully taken from their own Mouths, as they spoke them, or from the Originals written and lest under their own hands. To which are annexed certain Lessons, Psalms and Prayers, selected out of Holy Scripture, Church-Office and Roman-Missal, proper for the present exigence of the times. Hereunto is also added a Summary of Roman Catholick Principles, in Reference to God and the King, explain'd in a short Treatise formerly writ, and published upon that Subject.

A Summary Relation of the Holy Congregation of our B. Lady of Successor, Erected in the Kingdom of New Granada; Approv'd by his Holiness, Pope Innocent the X. And newly Instituted in Her Majesty's Royal Chappel at Somerse.

House.

Indulgences and Priviledges granted to the Sodality of our most Sovereign and Immaculate Lady of the Rosary, founded in the Churches, Chapeli, and Oratories, of the Order of Preachers.

The Use and Great Moment of the Notes of the Church, as deliver'd by C. Bellarmine, De Notis Eccl. Justified. In answer to a late Discourse concerning

the Notes of the Church. price 6 d.

The Genealogies of the Highborn-Prince and Prince's George and Ann, of Denmark, &c. Shewing the Lineal Descent of these two Noble and Illustrious Families. With their Matches, Issue, Times of Death, Places of Sepulchre, Impresses, Devices, &c. From the Year of Grace 1000 to this present year 1684.

A double Grammar for Germans to Learn English; and for Englishmen to Learn the German. Tongue. Wherein all Latine Words, belonging to the Grammar, are Translated both into the German and English Tongue. Treating besides of the Derivation of the English Tongue, with all Grammatical Rules, and Dialogues, Treating of all necessary Matters that daily may be spoken of. And especially what is to be seen for a Stranger at Versailles in France, and England; with a Compendium of the Estate of the German Empire.

The Arraignment of Thomas Howard Duke of Norfolk, before the Earl of Shrewsbury Lord High Steward of England. Also, a brief Derivation of the most Honourable Family of the Howards. With an Account of what Families they are Related to by Marriages. Transcribed out of Antient Manuscripts.

never before publish'd.

A Vindication of the Lord Ruffel's Speech and Innocence, in a Dialogue betwixt Whig and Tory:

Climax Panegyrica Vitæ Serenissimi ac Celussimi Principis Jacobi Eboraci & Albaniæ Ducis, &c.

A Defence of the Duke of Buckingham's Book of Religion and Worship, from the Exceptions of a Nameless Author.

The Saints new Charter. Written occasionly upon the Que warranto, with

some Remarques upon the late Ryots, &c.

A Dialogue between Jack Presbyter and his Master concerning Popery. price 2 d .













